

NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

---

GEORGE RADANOVICH

Petitioner,

CHARLES PATRICK

Petitioner,

GWEN PATRICK

Petitioner,

OMAR NAVARRO

Petitioner

TRUNG PHAN

Petitioner

vs.

DEBRA BOWEN, SECRETARY OF STATE  
OF CALIFORNIA

Respondent,

CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Real Party in Interest.

---

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF VERIFIED  
PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY RELIEF IN THE FORM OF  
MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION EMERGENCY STAY  
REQUESTED; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  
IN SUPPORT THEREOF  
Volume 3**

---

Steven D. Baric, SBN 200066  
Baric, Tran & Minesinger  
2603 Main Street #1050  
Irvine, California 92651  
(949) 468-1047  
sbaric@bamlawyers.com

Paul E. Sullivan, SBN 088138  
Sullivan & Associates, PLLC  
601 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
Suite 900  
Washington, D.C. 20004

*Attorneys for Petitioner*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Pages</u>
A	California State Congressional District 27 2011 Map.	00001- 00003
B	California State Congressional District 28 2011 Map.	00004- 00006
C	California State Congressional District 32 2011 Map.	00007- 00009
D	California State Congressional District 33 2011 Map.	00010- 00012
E	California State Congressional District 37 2011 Map.	00013- 00015
F	California State Congressional District 38 2011 Map.	00016- 00018
G	California State Congressional District 40 2011 Map.	00019- 00021
H	California State Congressional District 43 2011 Map.	00022- 00024
I	California State Congressional District 44 2011 Map, Created by Advancement Project Healthy City.	00025- 00027
J	California State Congressional District 47 2011 Map.	00028- 00030
K	Excerpts from Official Voter Information Guide for the November 4, 2008 General Election.	00031- 00037
L	Excerpts from Official Voter Information Guide for the November 4, 2008 General Election.	00038- 00046
M	Resolution of Certification of Statewide Congressional Map	00047- 00048
N	State of California Citizens Redistricting Commission Final Report on 2011 Redistricting, August 15, 2011	00049- 00223
O	NAACP California Citizens Redistricting Commission, June 1, 2011 Alice Huffman Letter	00224- 00227

P	Citizens Redistricting Commission Transcript, May 28, 2011	00228- 00613
Q	Citizens Redistricting Commission Transcript, July 24, 2011	00614- 01094
R	Dr. Baretto Voting Polarization Study	01095- 01147

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Elizabeth R. Toller, Declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action; my business address is 2603 Main Street, Suite 1050, Irvine, California 92614. On September 2, 2011, I served the following document(s) described as:

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF VERIFIED PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY RELIEF IN THE FORM OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION EMERGENCY STAY REQUESTED; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF - Volume 3**  
on the following party(ies) in said action:

George H. Brown, Esq.  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP  
1881 Page Mill Rd  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
Tel: (650) 849-5339  
Fax: (650) 849-5039  
EM: [gbrown@gibsondunn.com](mailto:gbrown@gibsondunn.com)

*Attorney for Real Party In Interest*  
CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING  
COMMISSION

James Brosnahan, Esq.  
Morrison & Foerster, LLP  
425 Market St  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482  
EM: [jbrosnahan@mofocom](mailto:jbrosnahan@mofocom)  
Tel: (415) 268-7189  
Fax: (415) 268-7522

*Attorney for Real Party In Interest*  
CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING  
COMMISSION

George Waters  
Deputy Attorney General  
Department of Justice  
1300 "I" Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
EM: [George.Waters@doj.ca.gov](mailto:George.Waters@doj.ca.gov)  
Tel: 916-323-8050

*Attorney for Respondent*  
SECRETARY OF STATE

X **BY U.S. MAIL:** By placing said document(s) in a sealed envelope and depositing said envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service mailbox in Sacramento, California, addressed to said party(ies), in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

X **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL:** By causing true copy(ies) of PDF versions of said document(s) to be sent to the e-mail address of each party listed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on September 21, 2011 at Irvine, California.

  
Elizabeth R. Toller



1 or the other -- the Merced -- the Merced Section  
2 5?

3 MS. ALON: These are taking that  
4 into consideration what was initially, but I  
5 haven't checked in with Jamie in the past 24 hours  
6 to see if there's anything new pushing them, no.

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It appears  
8 as if that decision to tie San Benito to Merced,  
9 which was a -- for that is -- poses a real problem  
10 on this side. And it might be worth a revisit, I  
11 think.

12 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Could I ask  
13 how this affects -- how does it affect -- if you  
14 wanted to cut off some of the top of Santa Cruz  
15 and then bump it down south into Santa Barbara,  
16 fully engulf Santa Barbara -- I'm not sure what  
17 the population difference is and what that  
18 affects.

19 Of course, this all has ripples,  
20 but then what the effect it has for Santa Barbara,  
21 San Luis Obispo what we -- what we decided prior  
22 with those areas as well.

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: This  
24 district is starting to look like an upper --  
25 upper California district. The distance of this

353

1 district is -- is really something given the  
2 population of those areas around it. That's at  
3 least a three- to four-hour drive, if not more.

4 It's a very pretty drive, I would  
5 mention that, but --

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well --

7 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: It's a pretty  
8 district.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: It also protects  
10 the entire coastline.

11 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It does, but  
12 now you're -- but you're -- you're in the middle  
13 of Silicon Valley as well.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah. Yeah.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So the  
16 community of interest there I think is really  
17 questionable.

18 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, that  
19 was a bit of my question, if you took the Silicon  
20 Valley interest off, you would have a purely  
21 coastal district, right, with probably a good part  
22 of Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo and -- and  
23 Monterey.

24 Again, that's still a very long  
25 distance, but it's a coastalesque thing without

354

1 the -- the Silicon Valley.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think  
3 we're about to lose three commissioners, because  
4 otherwise they're going to miss an airplane. And  
5 so I think what you're hearing is there's a lot of  
6 concern about this senate district.

7 MS. MACDONALD: Okay. I think --  
8 you do have another iteration of it?

9 The other one is also a similar  
10 iteration, so what I'm -- what I'm hearing from  
11 Tamina here is that she did talk to Jamie about  
12 the previous direction that was given about the  
13 Merced Section 5, and they do not think that  
14 there's too much -- there would be too much of a  
15 conflict between the two.

16 You know, this is Section 5. Given  
17 the direction that you've given about, you know,  
18 we're not supposed to go across the Golden Gate  
19 Bridge and, yeah, I -- I hate to talk about the  
20 Golden Gate Bridge when we're looking at Monterey,  
21 but that is just kind of how the population  
22 pushes.

23 Because you do have a lot of  
24 geography here that, you know, forms natural  
25 boundaries, and this is the coastline and it is

355

1 Section 5, so you really are extremely limited  
2 in -- in what you can do.

3 And based on what I've seen from  
4 some of the group plans, that got very creative.  
5 Maybe a little bit more creative than -- than this  
6 Commission might be willing to investigate with  
7 respect to Section 5.

8 So you're -- you're -- it is rather  
9 limited, so we can obviously explore, but there's  
10 only so much exploration, I believe, possible, so  
11 general direction on what you'd absolutely like to  
12 not see, if at all possible, might -- might be  
13 better. I'm just not sure that we're going to get  
14 away from some -- somewhat of a configuration like  
15 that.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Commissioner  
17 Blanco.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So not what  
19 we don't want to see but maybe coastal as a  
20 concept? You know, I mean, if you're going to be  
21 spread out and long, more consistent to be  
22 coastal.

23 I mean, I'm just -- I'm proposing  
24 that, that that might be something we would  
25 agree -- it's stretched out either way. Why not

356

KELLINORDEN AND ASSOCIATES' 310.820.7733 FAX: 310.820.7933

00584

1 have a community of interest which is the coast.

2 I mean, it's -- once you get into  
3 these stretches like this, talking about community  
4 of interest in general is very difficult. So the  
5 closest I can see is a coastal district.

6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: One parting  
7 comment before I go is that if our base is  
8 Monterey that what we're trying work around, I'd  
9 take a look at what Monterey is, which is -- is  
10 more -- which is not a big urban environment.

11 So if you're trying to match up  
12 with that, I think your natural inclination would  
13 be to go south rather than to go up and pair  
14 Monterey with highly urbanized areas.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, but  
16 you could also pick up the rest of Santa Cruz and  
17 then you wouldn't have to go -- you'd go less  
18 further south.

19 MS. MACDONALD: Correct. We can  
20 absolutely look in --

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And that's  
22 very close to including something called the  
23 Monterey Bay.

24 MS. MACDONALD: Could you point  
25 that out on the map?

357

1                   COMMISSIONER BARABBA:  So I -- I  
2     think a direction that says let's see if you could  
3     make that more coastal and get up into Santa Cruz  
4     Count and then see how far down south you have to  
5     go to do that would be an option to consider.

6                   MS. MACDONALD:  Okay.  Thank you.  
7     Would you like to look at the congressional  
8     district very quickly?

9                   COMMISSIONER BARABBA:  Very  
10    quickly, yes.

11                  MS. MACDONALD:  And then please,  
12    after the congressional district, if -- whoever  
13    can still stay here --

14                  (Inaudible.)

15                  MS. MACDONALD:  Are we okay?  
16    Because there is definitely some questions that  
17    she absolutely has to have answered, so before we  
18    lose the quorum if we could get a warning.

19                  UNKNOWN SPEAKER:  Yeah, we still  
20    have a quorum.  Just keep going as if we have one.

21                  MS. MACDONALD:  Thank you very  
22    much.  This will -- you staying will make our work  
23    doable, actually, over the next three days.

24                  MS. ALON:  So this is Congressional  
25    District 17 as it currently stands, and as you can

358

1 see, it has Monterey County, San Benito County,  
2 and then comes up and does this bite into Santa  
3 Cruz County. And I think it has a tiny bit of  
4 Santa Clara in here.

5 And so the iterations that I've  
6 done have been pretty much moving north and south  
7 with, again, kind of being constrained by the  
8 Section 5 areas on the east here.

9 The benchmark for these areas, so  
10 now we're done here in C.D. 17, because all of  
11 Monterey County is in one congressional district,  
12 so we only have to deal with one. So this is 27.7  
13 percent Latino C.V.A.P., 3.45 percent black  
14 C.V.A.P., and 6.32 percent Asian C.V.A.P.

15 So in this congressional district,  
16 we cut out the Santa -- the Santa Cruz areas and  
17 went Monterey south. And so we have Monterey, San  
18 Luis Obispo County, down the coast of Santa  
19 Barbara. And I'll show you which cities are in  
20 here.

21 This does not have Santa Maria in  
22 it. Again, the 99 thousand was too much  
23 population, and so it just comes down -- oops --  
24 takes Casmalia and Vandenberg Air Force Base, and  
25 this little area here is Vandenberg Village.

359

KELLINORDEN AND ASSOCIATES 310.820.7733 FAX: 310.820.7933

00587

1                   COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Commissioner  
2     Raya.  
3                   COMMISSIONER RAYA: A question:  
4     Does -- does that pick up all the military  
5     installations that were talked about in the  
6     Salinas testimony? It seemed like Vandenberg was  
7     further south. I'm not -- I'm not sure.  
8                   MS. MACDONALD: Well, Vandenberg  
9     was definitely talked about, but also Santa Maria  
10    was also talked about.  
11                  COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, right, but  
12    there were other military installations, which are  
13    really I think reserve bases now, and I think  
14    they're in between -- they're somewhere in San  
15    Luis Obispo County --  
16                  MS. MACDONALD: Correct, there were  
17    some in San Luis Obispo and then there's some in  
18    Monterey.  
19                  COMMISSIONER RAYA: So to me  
20    that --  
21                  COMMISSIONER BARABBA: All along  
22    Highway 101.  
23                  COMMISSIONER RAYA: That, you know,  
24    addresses some of that -- some where it addresses  
25    that concern of all of those being represented.

360

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I had a  
2 little --  
3 MS. MACDONALD: Would you like to  
4 seat northern edge of this --  
5 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.  
6 MS. MACDONALD: -- before she goes  
7 to the next snapshot?  
8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah.  
9 MS. MACDONALD: Yeah.  
10 MS. ALON: So this is a clean break  
11 of Monterey County line right up here.  
12 MS. MACDONALD: So this splits  
13 Monterey Bay in half but it's the border of  
14 Monterey County.  
15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So again, if  
16 you went into Santa Cruz County, you wouldn't have  
17 to go so far down south; right?  
18 MS. MACDONALD: That's correct.  
19 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Question.  
20 MS. MACDONALD: That's the next  
21 iteration.  
22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Oh, okay.  
23 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Oh, okay.  
24 What's the -- what is the population of the  
25 portion of the district that is in Santa Barbara

361

1 County?

2 MS. MACDONALD: Do you want me to  
3 select it or do it in my head?

4 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Either.

5 MS. MACDONALD: Seven thousand,  
6 plus 138, plus 3,300, plus 6,500.

7 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: That's not a  
8 lot.

9 MS. MACDONALD: So it's -- it's  
10 little.

11 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: 17 thousand.

12 MS. MACDONALD: It's not much.  
13 Yeah, 17 thousand, something like that.

14 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And is the  
15 Latino C.V.A.P., is it in the green box up there,  
16 is that what we're looking at? It looks lower.

17 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, the Latino  
18 C.V.A.P. has -- has dropped, actually.

19 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Okay.

20 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: That's a problem.

21 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, that's a  
22 problem.

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And I think  
24 it would go up if you included Santa Cruz County.

25 MS. MACDONALD: So we'll go to the

1 other iteration.

2 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Or Santa  
3 Clara if you go into Gilroy.

4 MS. MACDONALD: Okay.

5 MS. ALON: So this is, again, going  
6 north population-wise, and again, we have, coming  
7 from 27.7 going down to 23.79 percent; 345 going  
8 to 322 and 632 going to 598. So that's also  
9 possibly an issue.

10 So what's in this one is we took --  
11 excuse me -- all of Santa Cruz County and then  
12 went upward to San Mateo over here. So San Mateo  
13 is split and -- but this -- it is split along the  
14 freeway, and so we have the coastal communities  
15 together here as opposed to more of the inland  
16 Silicon Valley areas.

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Ancheta.

18 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So did you  
19 try a variation where you picked up, let's say  
20 Watsonville and split Santa Cruz, then went up to  
21 Gilroy? Did you try that possibility? Well, or  
22 just keep going into Santa Clara a little bit  
23 to -- to pick up that population, because I think  
24 the Latino percentage would -- would go up.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:

363

1 Versus crossing over into San Mateo?

2 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yeah, I'd  
3 actually have to -- I think you'd have to  
4 exclude -- maybe exclude the city of Santa Cruz in  
5 order to -- because Gilroy is pretty sizeable.  
6 I'm lost where -- where's Santa Cruz?

7 MS. MACDONALD: The numbers  
8 currently are -- this is a deviation of negative  
9 1.84 percent. No, I'm sorry. It's 1,847 people  
10 under currently.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner  
12 Forbes. I think we had -- Scotts Valley went  
13 north, as I recall. One of our iterations was  
14 part of Silicon Valley at one point in our  
15 discussion the other day, so you might want to  
16 come all the way back down to -- to Soquel? Is  
17 that what that's --

18 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Soquel.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Soquel? And  
20 get rid of everything north of that and then go  
21 out to Gilroy and see what you got.

22 MS. MACDONALD: Yeah, we could --  
23 we could explore that. I don't know if you want  
24 to wait for that right now or if you could do that  
25 as a general direction, because otherwise, we'll

364

KELLINORDEN AND ASSOCIATES 310.820.7733 FAX: 310.820.7933

00592

1 be watching the blue thing spin.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Just  
3 a general direction to go. Go to the east with  
4 Gilroy and deplete the population down through  
5 Santa Cruz County, similar to what we did with the  
6 assembly districts, as I recall.

7 MS. MACDONALD: Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would only  
9 point out several people have commented about the  
10 communication media and how they reach out, and if  
11 you're an elected official, your ability to  
12 communicate, you cut the -- the communications of  
13 several T.V. channels as well as the major  
14 newspaper in Santa Cruz in half.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: But  
16 did we do that the other day when we discussed the  
17 assembly districts?

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: We -- well,  
19 whenever you start cutting through Santa Cruz  
20 County beyond Watsonville, you're starting to get  
21 into a lot more commonality. I mean, common -- I  
22 can understand moving Watsonville into a different  
23 district because of the agriculture.

24 But when you start putting Scotts  
25 Valley into the Silicon Valley, that -- that's not

365

1 the place it used to be and it's certainly not  
2 like the Silicon Valley. I mean, it's -- there's  
3 a lot of technology there, but there's also this  
4 thing called Highway 17.

5 MS. MACDONALD: Well, we didn't fix  
6 the communication infrastructure, but we did just  
7 fix that district by using your suggestions.

8 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Well, I  
9 think the overriding concern is still the numbers,  
10 so obviously if we could do that going up into  
11 Santa Cruz, we -- I think it would be better, but  
12 I don't know if we can given the demographics of  
13 that part of Santa Cruz County.

14 So that's why Santa Clara would be  
15 the -- the better choice, because we -- we'd have  
16 to get the percentage above 27.7.

17 MS. ALON: Soy this is what you  
18 were describing, Monterey, and I took San Benito  
19 and then went up into Santa Clara County, and  
20 actually, we got to 29.26. This is the only one  
21 I've been able to do so far to beat the benchmark.

22 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And San  
23 Benito has been allocated somewhere else.

24 MS. ALON: Has it?

25 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I don't

366

KELLINORDEN AND ASSOCIATES 310.820.7733 FAX: 310.820.7933

00594

1 know.

2 MS. ALON: No, not for  
3 congressional.

4 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Okay.

5 MS. MACDONALD: This is her area,  
6 so she would know.

7 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Oh, okay.  
8 So San Benito wasn't in the previous one?

9 MS. ALON: No.

10 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Oh.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner  
12 Forbes again. One thing I would -- as a  
13 suggestion is that if you could -- you may have to  
14 pull back a little bit from -- from the Santa  
15 Clara part and try and see if you could pick up  
16 the bay.

17 Don't do it now. So at lease once  
18 the bay is, you know, is in one -- is in the same  
19 district.

20 MS. MACDONALD: Okay. We'll take  
21 that as a general direction.

22 Okay, would you -- are you happy  
23 with the general direction this is going?

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: As long as  
25 we have several options there, I'd like to see

367

1 what the trade-off is for keeping Santa Cruz  
2 County whole versus going into Santa Clara and  
3 see -- because you got Watsonville in there. I'd  
4 like to see what those numbers are.

5 MS. MACDONALD: Well, we -- as long  
6 as you're not leaving, we could just do that right  
7 now.

8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. If  
9 you could. Is the Commission willing to take a --  
10 take a minute or two to take a look at that?

11 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Sure. Yeah.

12 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I wanted to  
13 leave.

14 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And I  
15 think --

16 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: You gave that as  
17 an option, Ms. MacDonald, so I thought --

18 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And I think  
19 San -- the inclusion of San Benito may -- I think  
20 it could be very helpful with the numbers, so  
21 maybe the --

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, but  
23 these pretty much go back to what we talked about  
24 with the original. But not the Santa -- that  
25 Santa Clara part, all right.

368

KELLINORDEN AND ASSOCIATES 310.820.7733 FAX: 310.820.7933

00596

1 MS. ALON: So now we have a  
2 deviation -- we're actually 29 thousand people  
3 over, and we did drop a little from the benchmark  
4 of 27.7 to 25.33.

5 MS. MACDONALD: So we could play  
6 with the San Martin and Gilroy area, but that  
7 would necessitate cutting some of Santa Cruz  
8 probably, unless you wanted to split some of  
9 Monterey.

10 So if you want to give us some  
11 general direction of which way you would like to  
12 go.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I  
14 think is the trouble that we run into with the  
15 Section 5 and the surrounding communities of  
16 Section 5, so despite Commissioner Barabba's  
17 obviously familiarity living there for -- forever  
18 and the -- the media and maybe some of the C.O.I.,  
19 gosh, there -- there has to be some sacrifice for  
20 the C.O.I. to pick up the population and not  
21 retrogress.

22 So I -- I think if we did do as  
23 you -- we had talked about before, kind of pulling  
24 back in Santa Cruz and looking at bumping up those  
25 numbers into Santa Clara.

369

1 MS. MACDONALD: Would it be helpful  
2 to keep as much of Santa Cruz as possible?

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: If you could  
4 do what -- what Commissioner Filkins-Webber  
5 suggested, the other thing is you might want to  
6 see what you can cut off of the bottom of  
7 Monterey.

8 MS. MACDONALD: Correct.

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: There's not  
10 a lot of population down there, but I don't think  
11 it's very agriculture. There's --

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: But  
13 you can't switch -- you can't split the county.

14 MS. MACDONALD: Correct.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:  
16 Because we're in a Section 5 county, so.

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. That  
18 we would have -- that's what you would have to do?

19 MS. MACDONALD: Well, you'd create  
20 another Section 5 district. And I think you'd  
21 probably get a lot of thank-you letters from the  
22 Commission in ten years.

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think the  
24 suggestion, then, to see how much you have to come  
25 down from the north of Santa Cruz County but keep

370

1 as much of the bay covered as you can.  
2 MS. MACDONALD: Okay. Do you want  
3 us to do now or should we explore that?  
4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Explore  
5 that, yes.  
6 MS. MACDONALD: Okay.  
7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA:  
8 Commissioner -- okay.  
9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I was just  
10 going to ask you, Commissioner Barabba, whether  
11 even in Santa Cruz -- where does 17 go? I mean,  
12 is there any -- we've been talking about cutting  
13 it -- cutting pieces off at the north. Is there  
14 ways to slice it -- you know.  
15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The eastern  
16 part of Santa Cruz County?  
17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, the  
18 eastern part.  
19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: That's --  
20 I -- I'd have to think about that a little bit,  
21 but there's not much --  
22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I know,  
23 there's not much and I'm -- and that's -- that's  
24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: All that  
25 blank area there is nothing but mountains.

371

1                   COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah. Yeah,  
2 I know that area pretty, you know. Just a thought  
3 if we could sort of do something like that and --  
4 but then we have --

5                   COMMISSIONER BARABBA: How many --  
6 you have to take 24 thousand out, did you say?

7                   MS. ALON: 29 thousand.

8                   COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay, so  
9 we -- we went to Scotts Valley, Bonny Doon,  
10 Lompico, you start getting pretty close, I guess.

11                   MS. MACDONALD: Bonnie just read  
12 some public testimony off and this is something  
13 that somebody else has already mentioned.

14                   MS. GLASER: Somebody mentioned  
15 Scotts Valley being part of Silicon Valley. That  
16 was actually the testimony by the Silicon Valley  
17 Leadership Council.

18                   COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes -- yes,  
19 they were. The last time I checked, they were  
20 still in the Silicon Valley. Okay. But I think  
21 it's -- you know, it's -- it's not going to be  
22 easy, but it's something that could be considered,  
23 and --

24                                   But when you see Highway 17 there  
25 at -- if you had to make a cut, you would probably

372

1 go for Scotts Valley, I guess, and argue that  
2 it's -- I think we've come down as far as we can  
3 to get the population and see what -- what it  
4 looks like.

5 MS. MACDONALD: Okay. Thank you.  
6 Now would you like to see some of the  
7 visualizations from yesterday that Tamina worked  
8 on --

9 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes.

10 MS. MACDONALD: -- overnight, or  
11 should she just give you the -- the hard  
12 questions?

13 Let's do some visualizations,  
14 please.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: We could  
16 make a computer game about figuring out which --  
17 which district is which designation. They did a  
18 good job of --

19 MS. MACDONALD: Jamie's just --  
20 Jamie's just showing her how to -- how to make  
21 something a little bit easy -- easier, visible on  
22 this particular screen.

23 MS. ALON: So this -- these are  
24 assembly districts, and the ones that have J here  
25 are the ones that Jamie has drawn according to

373

1 your direction.

2 And so as we discussed yesterday,  
3 because I have no Section 2 areas, I get pushed  
4 around a lot by the Section 2 areas of everybody  
5 else, and because I'm the last one to get  
6 direction, I get pushed around by the direction of  
7 everybody else. So.

8 So the direction that you clearly  
9 gave me was to go and take a look at what  
10 C.A.P.A.F.R. had done in San Francisco, and so I  
11 uploaded their equivalency files and started --  
12 I'm sorry, not their equivalency files, their  
13 shape files and started with that.

14 And so these are the two that they  
15 drew, and then the first thing I did -- and I'm  
16 sorry I didn't get to the second yet, but I'll  
17 tell you why. There were two options you gave me.  
18 One was just to continue down the peninsula just  
19 straight-up looking at population.

20 And the other one was to go down  
21 the peninsula and try to take just this coastal  
22 area versus kind of more the inland area.

23 And so I tried that first and we  
24 really stretched really far south with the inland  
25 area, and so what you're looking at now is just

374

1 looking at basic -- just looking at really coming  
2 as close to a zero deviation as I could, just  
3 population-wise in between these.

4 Now, the zero deviation did cause a  
5 few cities to be split along the different  
6 boundaries here, but I tried to keep them as much  
7 as possible intact.

8 And so this is coming down and you  
9 have kind of the upper peninsula down into Redwood  
10 City, and then there is a San Mateo district,  
11 which is a little bit further south.

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: We have to  
13 make a commission judgment. We need to take a  
14 break, and the question is, do you want to stop  
15 now and end it here, or we take a break and come  
16 back and spend a little more time?

17 I think our staff has some  
18 airplanes to catch, as I recall. So we're going  
19 to -- that's the question I have for you. How  
20 much time would you need?

21 (Whereupon, a discussion was held  
22 off the record.)

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So let's  
24 take a -- I mean, really a five-minute break, and  
25 then we'll try to wrap everything up at the end of

375

1 that.  
2 (Whereupon, a recess was held  
3 from 5:55 p.m. to 6:04 p.m.)  
4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Let her --  
5 let her rip.  
6 MS. ALON: Okay. Read them all off  
7 to you, but I'll tell you what they are. So the  
8 top number is the population of this new -- this  
9 new district. The second number is the deviation  
10 in -- in persons. The third number -- whole  
11 persons.  
12 The -- the third number is percent  
13 deviation. The -- the fourth number is Latino  
14 C.V.A.P. The fifth number is black C.V.A.P. And  
15 the sixth number is -- sorry. I'm sorry.  
16 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Slowly one more  
17 time.  
18 MS. ALON: Okay.  
19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay.  
20 MS. ALON: The first number is  
21 population. The second is deviation. The third  
22 is percent deviation. The fourth is Latino  
23 C.V.A.P., C-V-A-P. The fifth is black C.V.A.P.,  
24 C-V-A-P. And the sixth is Asian C.V.A.P.,  
25 C-V-A-P.

376

1                                   COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:  
2     Without looking at the details, that looks  
3     marvelous. With the population deviations. I  
4     mean, aside from anything -- I have no idea how  
5     close we are right now, whether you followed  
6     anything of what we said, but I'm sure you did.  
7                                   And that -- that -- just, you know,  
8     on the -- just general speaking, it looks great.  
9     Thank you very much.  
10                                  MS. MACDONALD: That -- that's the  
11     nice thing about looking at it macro rather than  
12     micro, because once you start zooming in, it may  
13     not be all that attractive anymore.  
14                                  MS. ALON: Okay, so coming further  
15     south, we have the Santa Cruz district here, which  
16     takes into account Gilroy, San Martin and Morgan  
17     Hill.  
18                                  And if you remember that this --  
19     these two were your preferred options for the  
20     Monterey area that we talked about before, and so  
21     this particular map takes into account these two  
22     districts. And so this will end here. Hopefully.  
23                                  So here is kind of the -- the south  
24     bay area. This box belongs to this one.  
25                                  And in here is another area of San

1 Jose, deviation 30.

2 This area here took into  
3 consideration the C.O.I. testimony of keeping  
4 together Fremont, of course with Newark completely  
5 encompassed by Fremont. It does split Fremont a  
6 little at the top, but it takes Lopillas and then  
7 the Barillessa area, which was discussed earlier.

8 Over here we have Union City and  
9 Castro Valley, Ashland and Cherryland.

10 I have to zoom out a little bit for  
11 this one. Sorry. This one is our San Ramon  
12 Valley. I did take Sunol, but San Ramon, Dublin,  
13 Pleasanton, stretching all the way up here to  
14 Walnut Creek.

15 For the sake of getting close to  
16 the deviation, Walnut Creek is split a little bit,  
17 because it just had too many people, but then it  
18 also takes La Mirinda in here, according to -- of  
19 course, this all according to the C.O.I.  
20 testimony.

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You couldn't  
22 get any closer than three, huh?

23 MS. MACDONALD: We'll be working on  
24 that over the weekend, Commissioner Barabba.

25 MS. ALON: Here we have Oakland,

378

1 Piedmont, San Leandro. Oakland split off a little  
2 bit at the top above Piedmont, but in order to  
3 give some population up here to the Emeryville,  
4 Berkeley, Alameda area, which is going to stretch  
5 up to the Carquinez Bridge up here.

6 And it does for population's sake  
7 take a little bit of Vallejo in here just because  
8 I needed the numbers up there.

9 This district over here takes on  
10 this other side of Contra Costa County and from  
11 Mountain View all the way over here to Bethel  
12 Island, Knightsen, Oakley. Brentwood Discovery  
13 Bay and Byron did not make it in here just because  
14 of numbers.

15 Moving up north, we have the Marin  
16 area over here, which crosses over -- this is  
17 where Jamie and I worked together a lot on this,  
18 crossing over here so that we could have this  
19 Sonoma-Mendocino district that you instructed  
20 Jamie on.

21 And then we put Lake Napa and this  
22 section over here of Sonoma, again trying to keep  
23 more of these wine-growing areas that you had  
24 mentioned before together that you expressed  
25 wanted to be with Napa and Lake County.

379

1                    Again, this was one that you gave  
2                    direction on earlier to Jamie.

3                    And so where we are now is Jamie  
4                    and I are stuck in between trying to -- I won't  
5                    say we're stuck. We are developing many creative  
6                    options for -- for the places which have  
7                    population which are not assigned right now.

8                    For example, right down here I told  
9                    you that these parts of Contra Costa County  
10                    couldn't make it, and I know that it was mentioned  
11                    that we could move them into San Joaquin, and so  
12                    that's what I wanted to know is if these are okay  
13                    to move over that way..

14                    We're looking at -- you know, we  
15                    have 51 thousand here, 13 thousand here, and one  
16                    thousand over here. And if you had any  
17                    suggestions or if you had any preferences as to  
18                    whether to link it south or to just kind of go  
19                    straight across or -- or to go north.

20                    And then we have Solano County.  
21                    And this part for Yolo County up here, which when  
22                    you were instructing Jamie, we were kind of  
23                    speaking of these areas, and so population-wise we  
24                    don't have a lot going to in Solano County. Well,  
25                    we have 413 here, but then Yolo is two hundred

380

1 thousand.

2 And so the question is whether we  
3 go up and take some of this and then split Yolo  
4 yet again, which we weren't -- we need guidance  
5 on, or whether you wanted us to connect these --  
6 this Woodland, Davis, Winters area into Sacramento  
7 and then connect maybe Solano through this  
8 Sacramento Isleton area either over this way or  
9 down this way, or any other idea that you have.

10 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Commissioner  
11 Forbes.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, I -- I  
13 mentioned to Jamie that Davis is a bedroom  
14 community of Sacramento, so Davis without -- could  
15 go into Sacramento just like West Sacramento did.

16 THE REPORTER: I'm having a hard  
17 time.

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Commissioner  
19 Forbes, did you hear? Or is he too fast?

20 THE REPORTER: Everyone slow down.  
21 I'm like --

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay.  
23 You're going to have to slow down in those  
24 comments.

25 Why don't you repeat it, please.

381

1                   COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay. I said  
2 that I told Jamie -- this is Commissioner Forbes.  
3 I told Jamie that Davis between the University of  
4 California Davis and Sacramento, that's where  
5 everybody works, and so it functions as -- as a  
6 bedroom community.

7                   COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Slow down.

8                   COMMISSIONER FORBES: So -- so it  
9 would be okay to -- I mean, it would not violate  
10 any, you know, great principles to have Davis be  
11 considered part of Sacramento, much like West  
12 Sacramento is.

13                  COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. Thank  
14 you.

15                  UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Do we need to  
16 take a break?

17                  COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Let's take a  
18 break here. Or not break, but let's take a pause.

19                  THE REPORTER: I don't know how  
20 much longer I can go on.

21                  COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. Is  
22 there --

23                  MS. MACDONALD: This is all we --  
24 we have for assembly.

25                  COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. I

382

1 think we need a --  
2 MS. MACDONALD: And this, actually,  
3 all she's been able to develop over the last --  
4 just over the last 24 hours.  
5 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay, I  
6 think we should bring it to an end. Okay?  
7 Commissioner Raya.  
8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: It's okay if  
9 this doesn't get in the transcript, but I just  
10 want to salute the women in black.  
11 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, she  
12 did a really good job.  
13 Commissioner Yao.  
14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Can we just take  
15 another 30 seconds and look at the Silicon Valley  
16 to see how --  
17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I -- I don't  
18 think so.  
19 COMMISSIONER YAO: No?  
20 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It's not  
21 going to get recorded.  
22 COMMISSIONER YAO: All right.  
23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. So --  
24 Commissioner Aguirre.  
25 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Just a --

383

1 just a question about when -- not to put any  
2 pressure on you, but it would be good to have some  
3 either data files available to us that we could  
4 download and look at and perhaps print so that  
5 when we meet next week, we will have reviewed the  
6 materials or we'll be better prepared for a  
7 discussion.

8 MS. MACDONALD: If -- if I may,  
9 these -- we will do what we can. We will probably  
10 be drawing up until pretty much the very last  
11 minute before we come up to Sacramento.

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: We're going  
13 have to bring the meeting to an end. Okay?

14 MS. MACDONALD: Yeah. So -- so we  
15 will do what we can.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think what you  
17 have just shown, if you can get us --

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Peter, we  
19 have to bring the meeting to an end. Okay? The  
20 meeting is now adjourned.

21  
22 (Whereupon, AT THE HOUR OF  
23 6:14 p.m., the proceedings  
24 were concluded.)

25 -o0o-

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State of California )  
 )ss  
County of Los Angeles )

I, SAMANTHA AVENAIM, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate Number 10627, for the State of California, hereby certify:

The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth;

The proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;

The foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken;

I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action, nor in any way interested in the outcome thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 8th day of June, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_



**EXHIBIT Q**

BEFORE THE  
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

In the Matter of  
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law  
Classroom C  
Sacramento, California

July 24, 2011

Reported by:  
Lori F. Hildebrand

Foothill Transcription Company, Inc.  
2893 Sunrise Blvd., Suite 102  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95742  
(916) 443-7400

**APPEARANCES**

**Commissioners Present:**

Gilbert R. "Gil" Ontai, Chair  
Connie Galambos-Malloy, Vice Chair  
Gabino T. Aguirre  
Angelo Ancheta  
Vincent Barabba  
Maria Blanco  
Cynthia Dai  
Michelle DiGuilio  
Jodie Filkins-Webber  
Stanley Forbes  
M. Andre Parvenu  
Jeanne Raya  
Michael Ward  
Peter Yao

**COMMISSIONERS ABSENT:**

**STAFF PRESENT:**

Dan Claypool, Executive Director  
Marian Johnson, Staff Attorney  
George Brown, VRA Attorney  
Nicole Boyle, Q2  
Karin MacDonald, Q2  
Tamina Alon, Q2  
Janeece Sargis, Commission Liaison

ALSO PRESENT:

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Don Lane,  
Glen Shaller  
Matt Rexroad  
Chuck Bell  
Chandra Sharma  
Bob Gutierrez  
Joe Dibbs  
Lauren Greenwood  
Nick Bonavich  
David Salavari  
Erica Teasley-Linik  
Jacqueline Dupont-Walker  
Deborah Howard  
Paul Mitchell  
Robert Napf

-oOo-

## INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
1	
2	
3	Proceedings.....3
4	Public Comment.....5
5	Agenda.....22
6	Motions.....24
7	Orange County, Senate Lines.....69
8	San Diego Area, Congressional Maps.....120
9	I-215 Corridor & Riverside, Congressional Maps.....125
10	San Bernardino County, Congressional Maps.....133
11	Eastern Sierra Mountain Communities & Desert
12	Communities, Congressional Maps.....165
13	San Fernando Valley, Congressional Maps.....167
14	Foothills Area, Congressional Maps.....170
15	Pasadena/West Covina, Congressional Maps.....178
16	Whittier, Congressional Maps.....179
17	Cal Poly Pomona Split, City Splits of Anaheim,
18	Buena Park, Chino & Industry, Congressional
19	Maps.....183
20	Orange, Villa Park, Orange Hills, Tustin, Anaheim
21	Hills & Irvine, Congressional Maps.....184
22	Coastal Districts, Congressional Maps.....185
23	Southern LA County & Beach Communities,
24	Congressional Maps.....186
25	Lake County & Yuba District, Congressional Maps.....240

1 Sacramento Area, Congressional Maps.....260  
2 Central Valley & Foothill Communities,  
3 Congressional Maps.....262  
4 Ventura County/Simi Valley, Congressional Maps.....270  
5 Monterey/Santa Cruz, Congressional Maps.....271  
6 San Francisco, Congressional Maps.....300  
7 East Bay Area, Congressional Maps.....303  
8 Contra Costa County, Congressional Maps.....306  
9 South Bay/San Jose Area, Congressional Maps.....310  
10 Adjournment.....476  
11 Transcriber Certification.....477

12 --o0o--  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Here.

2 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Galambos-Malloy?

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Here.

4 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ontai?

5 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Here.

6 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Parvenu?

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Here.

8 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Raya?

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Here.

10 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ward?

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: Here.

12 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Yao?

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: Here.

14 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: You have a quorum.

15 COMMISSION CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Ms.

16 Johnston, would you like to make an announcement?

17 MS. JOHNSTON: This is an announcement about the  
18 closed session that the Commission held yesterday. It  
19 was held pursuant to Government Code 11126(e)(1) to  
20 discuss strategies in anticipation of litigation. No  
21 action was taken.

22 COMMISSION CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Okay, we're  
23 going to go right into public comments. I believe we  
24 have ten -- oh, somewhere between 10 and 15 speakers. If  
25 you can limit the speeches to one minute, that would be

1 very appreciated. So Janeece?

2 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Okay. We have Don  
3 Lane, Glen Shaller, Matt Rexroad and Chuck Bell.

4 **VICE-MAYOR LANE:** Good morning. My name is Don  
5 Lane. I'm the Vice-Mayor of the City of Santa Cruz. I  
6 want to thank you for all of your great work here in this  
7 Commission. I came up from Santa Cruz this weekend to  
8 let you know just two quick things. One, the prospect of  
9 dividing the City of Santa Cruz into two congressional  
10 districts has become a very major concern for the  
11 residents of Santa Cruz. And second, it's so important  
12 that we've created an alternative plan for you to  
13 consider that not only maintains Santa Cruz in a single  
14 district, but it does the same for the Cities of Santa  
15 Clara and Sunnyvale as well. A couple of particular  
16 concerns I want to note. The current proposal divides  
17 our small, compact County seat into two congressional  
18 districts and it separates our University of California  
19 campus from much of the rest of the City of Santa Cruz  
20 and the congressional district. So I urge you very much  
21 to take a close look at our alternative. Thank you very  
22 much.

23 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I have a question, Chair.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu?

25 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** In your proposal, do you

1 examine the ripple effect on a congressional level? We  
2 have to have a zero deviation?

3 **VICE-MAYOR LANE:** You know, if I may, we had an  
4 expert help work on this. So I'm not going to be able to  
5 answer that as well as that person, if that's possible to  
6 have them do that?

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Yao?

8 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** One more question, please.  
9 I'm over here.

10 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Go back to the mic, please.

11 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** We have to -- We have to  
12 balance the district down to a single person. If not  
13 Santa Cruz, what other city would you recommend as  
14 dividing?

15 **VICE-MAYOR LANE:** Well, again, I'm going to let  
16 that -- the person who did it. But we note -- We do  
17 recognize that and that's why we've created a very  
18 specific proposal that has --

19 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Thank you.

20 **VICE-MAYOR LANE:** -- has different lines.

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I should let  
22 the Commission know at this point that this proposal is  
23 something that has been reviewed in much detail by Q2 in  
24 preparation for the -- today's meeting. So they would be  
25 able to provide us with a walk-through of some of the

1 spillover impacts from implementing this for our  
2 consideration.

3           **MR. SHALLER:** Yeah. Glen Shaller. I'm also from  
4 the City of Santa Cruz. And having been here since  
5 Thursday and having watched you online for weeks, I  
6 appreciate even more the hard work you've been doing. I  
7 want to thank you again for drilling right down to the  
8 street level in some instances and in others looking at  
9 what can be done to improve even upon what you've done  
10 before. I do think the plan that we proposed is a good  
11 one. I do think that it addresses more than just the  
12 issues we thought we would be addressing. And I want to  
13 thank you again for your consideration to the plan and  
14 ask Nick to be able to answer questions. You -- There  
15 were two of them that came up on this last part.

16           **MR. REXROAD:** Thank you. My name is Matt Rexroad  
17 and I'm the managing partner of Meridian Pacific. And  
18 I'm urging you to adopt the Board of Equalization map  
19 that was submitted to the African American Chamber of  
20 Commerce yesterday. Yesterday you rejected the map for  
21 the Board of Equalization due to a VRA standard that  
22 we've not heard articulated anyplace else. In fact, that  
23 standard has not been applied to your own Board of  
24 Equalization districts. And largely, the answer is then  
25 I would encourage you to look at your own BOE districts

1 because retrogression of Asians happens even in your own  
2 plan by a single point to Monterey County. And that  
3 standard -- And I realize you may say, well, it depends  
4 on whether you apply the 2001 or 2011 data to that. But  
5 it retrogresses under either standard. And so -- And I --  
6 - The compelling interest you should use to justify that  
7 retrogression as -- of the -- of the plan that we  
8 proposed would be just like the same standard you applied  
9 to Kings County when you looked at congressional and  
10 State senate seats. You did not want to create a  
11 Stockton finger going up. And the compelling reason here  
12 should be that you don't need a district that goes all  
13 the way from Siskiyou County all the way to San Diego  
14 County and one that --

15 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Time.

16 **MR. REXROAD:** -- Thank you very much. I'd  
17 encourage you to look at Kings County numbers and how  
18 they follow the same pattern as the Board of Equalization  
19 plan.

20 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** I had a question. I  
21 appreciate you bringing this up. I know it's something  
22 that the Commission battled with hard yesterday because  
23 we all, I think, in intention, would like to be able to  
24 have some flexibility -- oh -- with the BOE districts to  
25 fine tune them. Your contention is that our Asian CVAP

1 currently retrogresses in the BOE maps that we looked at  
2 yesterday? Is that -- Did I understand part right?

3 **MR. REXROAD:** Well, what's interesting about the  
4 -- the argument is that, largely, throughout the State, I  
5 think the Commission and everyone else who's been  
6 observing you has largely looked at Latino CVAP and  
7 Latino voting age population to determine whether there's  
8 been retrogression. Your Council yesterday applied that  
9 to Asian and African American populations in Monterey  
10 County with -- by looking at that map. That's a new  
11 standard I haven't heard applied anyplace else. And in  
12 fact, even in your own maps for the Commission standard  
13 for Monterey County, you actually go down from Asian  
14 voting age population from 20.12 to 19.12 and African  
15 American from 5.74 to 5.06. Those are interesting facts,  
16 but actually wouldn't even argue that they're relevant  
17 under section 5 in terms of looking whether you  
18 retrogress or not. The appropriate standard is the  
19 Latino CVAP and Latino voting age population to be able  
20 to make that judgment. The Asian population, why that's  
21 important, they actually have -- In Monterey County, five  
22 percent of the population there is Asian, almost 50  
23 percent of it is Latino. And I would argue that Latino  
24 VAP and CVAP is the appropriate standard to judge  
25 retrogression, not the Asian population that you were

1 told yesterday.

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I do have a question or  
3 comment to the speaker. I'm very concerned that the BO -  
4 - BOE districts are going to have some impact on the  
5 business community. That's -- That's a concern to me.  
6 But the issue before the Commission is that we have to  
7 submit plans to the Justice Department. And the Justice  
8 Department is going to be looking at these benchmark  
9 figures. The plan that we've seen recently lowers the  
10 Asian numbers from 20 percent down to six or nine, I  
11 believe, somewhere round there. That's a huge gap. And  
12 I'm wrestling with how we're going to handle that. And I  
13 -- You've just mentioned some figures. But the 20 to six  
14 percent is a huge gap. So we're wrestling with that.  
15 I'm not quite sure how to handle that.

16           **MR. REXROAD:** Well, sir, I guess my contention  
17 would be retrogression is retrogression. If the number  
18 goes down, it goes down. And in past cases involving  
19 this, it's been a simply numerical standard. Does it go  
20 down or not? In this case it has. I understand there's  
21 some variable for CVAP. But the voting age population is  
22 pretty standard and you could drop it down in each case.

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you.

24           **MS. JOHNSTON:** Chuck Bell, Chandra Sharma and Bob  
25 Gutierrez.

1           **MR. BELL:** Good morning, Commissioners. Chuck  
2 Bell, Bell, McAndrews & Hiltack, Sacramento. I'm an  
3 election attorney. I'd also like to support Mr.  
4 Rexroad's position that you're dealing inconsistently  
5 with the Board of Equalization plans as compared with the  
6 congressional plans that you have looked at and agreed  
7 to. You know, Section 5, Retrogression, the Courts have  
8 not dealt with that as clearly, perhaps, as we'd like.  
9 But the fact is that if you apply a simple standard, such  
10 as no change for any affected group, then you really -- I  
11 don't think that the Justice Department has ever taken  
12 that position with respect to all affected groups. It  
13 looks at the principle affected minority group in  
14 determining whether retrogression exists in a district.  
15 Retrogression --

16           **MS. JOHNSTON:** Time.

17           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you.

18           **MR. SHARMA:** Good morning, Commissioners. I'm  
19 Chandra Sharma with the California Institute for Jobs,  
20 Economy & Education. I'm here to discuss Section 2 of  
21 the Voting Rights Acts, particularly in application to  
22 racially polarized voting in Los Angeles County. It  
23 appears that in drawing assembly, senate and  
24 congressional districts in Los Angeles County, the  
25 Commission has chosen to apply a different standard to

1 Latino populations versus African American populations,  
2 due mainly in part to community of interest testimony,  
3 when, in fact, the -- Section 2 of the Federal Voting  
4 Rights Act, in our belief, would require that you draw  
5 Section 2 protected African American districts in the  
6 area. You commissioned Professor Barreto to conduct a  
7 racially polarized voting study in Los Angeles County, in  
8 which Latinos were the only population that were looked  
9 at. We passed around a set of charts that analyze  
10 racially polarized voting in Los Angeles County. Along  
11 the lines of the 2008 Presidential Primary, the  
12 Democratic Primary, looking at President Obama versus  
13 then Senator Clinton, which clearly demonstrates that --

14 **MS. JOHNSTON:** Time.

15 **MR. SHARMA:** -- racially polarized voting exists.

16 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I have a question.

17 **MR. SHARMA:** Yes?

18 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** So your statement is that  
19 there is racially polarized voting in Los Angeles County.  
20 All right. Please specify also congressional, senate and  
21 assembly.

22 **MR. SHARMA:** Sir, if you look at the California  
23 Institute maps that were submitted on two occasions to  
24 this Commission, we were able to draw Section 2 districts  
25 in all three plans for the African American community.

1 And the data with which this originally polarized voting  
2 study was conduct was with data from the statewide  
3 database. If you look at the last two pages, the third  
4 page is the African American population of Los Angeles  
5 County and the fourth is the vote for President Obama in  
6 the 2008 Democratic Presidential Primary. They're  
7 essentially the same. There's not really a discernable  
8 visual difference. If you look at the first two charts,  
9 the first analyzes African American population and the  
10 vote for President Obama in that Primary and the second  
11 overlays that against the Latino population and the vote  
12 against President Obama in that Primary. There's a clear  
13 contradiction correlation. And this is as clear as an  
14 example as I've seen a virtually polarized voting in any  
15 area.

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I have another  
17 question.

18 **MR. SHARMA:** Uh-huh.

19 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** In the last  
20 sentence of your submission, you state that Commission  
21 needs to examine and address why it failed to identify  
22 possible Section 2 districts in Los Angeles County. I'm  
23 assuming you mean for African Americans; correct?

24 **MR. SHARMA:** Yes, correct, for African Americans.

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Do you know what

1 the position is of AARC or the NAACP on this issue?

2 **MR. SHARMA:** I would not be qualified to answer  
3 on their behalf, no.

4 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** You haven't  
5 reviewed any of their submission as to what their --

6 **MR. SHARMA:** I --

7 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- position is on  
8 that?

9 **MR. SHARMA:** -- I haven't reviewed submissions as  
10 -- in regards to their position. I would say that that's  
11 community of interest testimony. It does not supersede  
12 the Federal Voting Rights Act. This -- There's clear  
13 demonstratability [sic] to draw those Section 2 districts  
14 and there's clear evidence of racially polarized voting  
15 in that area.

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Thank you.

17 **MR. SHARMA:** Thank you.

18 **MS. JOHNSTON:** Bob Gutierrez, Joe Dibbs  
19 (phonetic), Lauren Greenwood.

20 **MR. GUTIERREZ:** Good morning. Bob Gutierrez,  
21 Latino Policy Forum. I'll apologize ahead of town [sic]  
22 -- ahead of time. My speech may be a little vague. But  
23 basically, what I wanted to do this morning was just kind  
24 of draw your attention to LA County and the congressional  
25 districts that are in that general area. As it relates

1 to the Latino population, as we've heard many times over,  
2 the voting age -- you know, requirements and so forth --  
3 to take a look at in that general area, one of the things  
4 that we came up in our analysis from our team was that  
5 there's a possibility to have a seventh district in LA  
6 County that would complement the Latino base in the  
7 voting age population in that general area. I apologize  
8 ahead of time I don't have that map with me at the  
9 moment. But it is being sent to me, as well as the  
10 subsequent data that would support that City's  
11 percentages and so forth. So just wanted to give you a  
12 heads up that I will be submitting that testimony via  
13 email for the Commission to consider as you guys are  
14 looking at congressional maps, I believe, this afternoon.  
15 And hopefully, this will assist you in that process.

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Can you just give  
17 us a heads up as to what cities you're talking about? Do  
18 you know what that --

19 **MR. GUTIERREZ:** I don't, no. I don't have the  
20 specific cities. But it is in -- It's generally in the  
21 downtown congressional district and the Comp district.

22 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Well, Comp is  
23 different than downtown, so.

24 **MR. GUTIERREZ:** Right. So -- So it would be kind  
25 of an accumulation of taking various adjustments --

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Well --

2           **MR. GUTIERREZ:** -- from those districts.

3           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay. So you're --

4 Basically, you're looking for the seventh congressional

5 district that you're counting seventh, meaning the

6 seventh one? And you're --

7           **MR. GUTIERREZ:** To be --

8           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- looking at it at

9 the Compton-Carson?

10          **MR. GUTIERREZ:** Correct.

11          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay. I just

12 wanted generally --

13          **MR. GUTIERREZ:** Sorry.

14          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- I don't want to

15 be surprised later. I just want to make sure we --

16          **MR. GUTIERREZ:** No, absolutely.

17          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** And Chair, as a matter of

18 disclosure at this time, I do -- Mr. Gutierrez and I have

19 kids in preschool together.

20          **MR. GUTIERREZ:** It's the preschool connection.

21          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah, I -- I -- It took me

22 awhile last time. He was -- already left the room, so

23 this time I'll get it right.

24          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Oh, you just wanted to

25 say that twice.

1           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I wanted to say that -- The  
2 only person I've been able to disclose is --

3           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you.

4           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- is a preschool.

5           **MR. DIBBS:** This is a statement from the NAACP  
6 while their official representative could not be here. I  
7 am read -- I can't read the whole thing. But I will read  
8 the parts that I think that are of interest. NAACP in  
9 the past few days have come to support a plan for the  
10 Board of Equalization that better balances the interests  
11 of the State in the current visualizations. That  
12 proposed plan meets population equality standards. It  
13 raises both the voting rights, age populations and citing  
14 voting age population for Latinos in all four Voting  
15 Rights Acts. We urge you to maintain the ethnic  
16 composition of the City of Los Angeles and surrounding  
17 cities and not to split communities of interest in Los  
18 Angeles County and not to consolidate two very different  
19 counties of interest, Orange County and Los Angeles  
20 County. In other words, we're saying if it ain't broke,  
21 don't fix it. We believe that this represents a true  
22 composition as close you're going to get. The other  
23 three districts probably need a little bit of tweaking,  
24 but District 4, we believe, is representative of what the  
25 Voting Rights Act is trying to achieve. Thank you.

1           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I have a question.

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu?

3           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** First of all, I want to  
4 say thank you for being here. I understand that there is  
5 a National Conference in --

6           **MR. DIBBS:** Yes.

7           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** -- Los Angeles now. So we  
8 --

9           **MR. DIBBS:** Yes.

10          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** -- appreciate your  
11 presence here. With the BOE, we have certain benchmarks  
12 that we have to achieve and that's why the configuration  
13 exists. You're aware of that. What do you propose as an  
14 alternative to also reach those benchmarks?

15          **MR. DIBBS:** Well, you know, there seems to be  
16 some ambiguity about the data -- the benchmark for the --  
17 for the test. Right now I've -- I'm going to be honest.  
18 I will not try to answer for the NAACP. I will give you  
19 the information of their letter. But again, there has  
20 been some discussion and disagreement as it relates to  
21 the benchmark data and the interpretation of that data,  
22 too, as well.

23          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Okay. Thank you.

24          **MS. JOHNSTON:** Lauren Greenwood, Nick Bonavich  
25 (phonetic), David Salavari (phonetic), Erica Teasley

1 (phonetic).

2 **MS. GREENWOOD:** Hi. My name is Lauren and I'm  
3 here representing Anaheim Planning Commission, Victoria  
4 Ramirez, and my friend, Leticia Ramirez, who couldn't be  
5 here today, but asked me to read this letter.

6 Dear Commissioners: Our family has lived in the  
7 City of Anaheim for over 19 years. We all attended the  
8 local public schools in the area. And now, as a adults,  
9 we have a vested interest in living in this community  
10 long term and hopefully raising a family here. We want  
11 to reiterate the recommendation that the Commission adopt  
12 a senate district in Central Orange County that clearly  
13 can be delineated to encompass the key working class and  
14 ethnically diverse community which is quite different  
15 than the larger and much more affluent areas in Orange  
16 County. We are writing to urge the Commission to reject  
17 any changes to Senate District WSTSA that will further  
18 dilute the lower socioeconomic community of interest that  
19 exists between communities of Anaheim, Santa Ana,  
20 Stanton, Garden Grove, Buena Park and Southern Fullerton.  
21 Orange County is unique in that it includes some of  
22 California's more affluent communities in close proximity  
23 to some of California's poorest communities. The July  
24 22<sup>nd</sup> proposals, the so-called Option 3 and Option 4, would  
25 eliminate any possibility of those communities to elect a

1 representative of their choice --

2 **MS. JOHNSTON:** Time.

3 **MS. GREENWOOD:** Thank you.

4 **MR. BONAVIDICH:** Hi. Yeah. I was here a couple  
5 days ago with a plan that unified Grenada Hills in a  
6 congressional plan. And we didn't have any maps to turn  
7 in for that, but I just want you to know that we are  
8 bringing maps and they will be here for when you're  
9 looking at the San Fernando Valley and at the  
10 congressional districts. And they should be here within  
11 a half an hour or an hour. And we'll be able to turn  
12 them in so you can look at them.

13 And again, it unifies Grenada Hills and just  
14 maintains the -- the split in Valley Village.

15 And also I know there's some questions about  
16 Santa Cruz when the Councilman got up here. And I'm able  
17 to answer any of those if they still have or if there  
18 will be any.

19 **FEMALE COMMISSIONER:** (Inaudible).

20 **MR. BONAVIDICH:** Okay. Basically, what we did was  
21 we took the MONT District and unified Santa Cruz in it.  
22 And the SANJO district, we were able to increase the  
23 Asian CVAP by one percentage. It's already at 40  
24 percent, so we believe you are trying to make that a very  
25 Asian influenced district. We were also able to unify

1 Sunnyvale and Santa Clara in the San Mateo-Santa Cruz  
2 District and decrease the splits of San Jose from three  
3 to two. There is a little slice of San Jose that was in  
4 the San Mateo-Santa Cruz District and it was easily  
5 placed into the Santa Clara District.

6 **MS. JOHNSTON:** Time.

7 **MR. SALAVARI:** Good morning, Commissioners. In  
8 Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, our center of the  
9 universe, we will probably not get the congressional  
10 district we wanted because, as commissioner has stated,  
11 Section 5 issues in Monterey make that impossible. All  
12 around the State of California, Section 5 has been the  
13 tail wagging the redistricting dog. You are bound by the  
14 propositions and concerned about DOJ pre-clearance.  
15 However, at a minimum, I ask you to vote down your own  
16 maps for the BOE districts so that at least in this one  
17 narrow and limited area, Californians can litigate.  
18 Merced County is moving forward to pull out. Yuba and  
19 Kings County are tiny counties without the money to do  
20 that. But if three courageous Republicans on this  
21 Commission vote against the BOE maps, it forces it to  
22 court where we can litigate. It should be litigated.  
23 Thank you.

24 **MS. TEASLEY:** Good morning. Erica Teasley-Linik  
25 (phonetic) with the African American Redistricting

1 Collaborative. May we all get our planes that we wish to  
2 make today. Lots of references have been made to  
3 testimony from mayors, you know, talking about County  
4 lines and majority minority districts and different  
5 ethnic subgroups. But I want you to, as you're  
6 considering your congressional lines today in South LA,  
7 consider the African American communities of interest  
8 that you've heard over the past months from live  
9 individuals who've testified before you and letters that  
10 you've received. And keep in mind that you're able to  
11 draw districts -- congressional districts -- that will  
12 give African Americans an opportunity to elect candidates  
13 of choice. And at the same time you'll be able to  
14 provide opportunities for Latinos to the east and for the  
15 coastal districts to the west.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** That's it. Okay, at this  
17 moment, I would like our Vice Chair Galambos-Malloy to  
18 run through the activities for the rest of today.

19 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Good morning,  
20 Commissioners. We're on the home stretch here. Our  
21 agenda for today is as follows:

22 We will soon be joined by our RVA attorney, Mr.  
23 George Brown. At that time, we will go into closed  
24 session for about a half an hour to discuss potential  
25 litigation.

1           We -- Before we move there, we do have some  
2 unfinished business that we need to take care of.

3           And immediately after our closed session, we'll  
4 be joined again by our technical team, Q2. And our order  
5 of progression for the day will be to pick up where we  
6 had left off with some senate districts in Orange County,  
7 finalize some decisions there. We'll then review the  
8 Board of Equalization districts one final time.

9           And once the senate and Board of Equalization  
10 districts can be put aside, as we did yesterday when we  
11 finished the assembly districts, then we'll begin  
12 reviewing the congressional districts. And based on the  
13 availability of our mappers, the order in which we'll go  
14 is Southern California and then Los Angeles and then  
15 we'll close the day with Northern California. So our  
16 request to Mr. Brown is that we have asked him to stay at  
17 least through the portion of the day where we make  
18 decisions on LA congressional districts. Really, our  
19 Northern California congressional districts, many of the  
20 considerations there have been fairly stable. So we can  
21 provide him with the alternative idea that may be  
22 emerging in the Monterey area for consideration and  
23 review before he heads home.

24           So we're hoping to be headed home this evening.  
25 We will have a working lunch again in order to make that

1 possible so our staff will provide us with more details  
2 for that as the day moves on.

3 Are there any questions about the agenda or the  
4 timing? At the close of the business session, I'll also  
5 provide a more detailed overview of what to expect when  
6 we come back to Sacramento in two or three days so that  
7 we could be preparing for that in the meantime.

8 Okay. With that we have several unfinished  
9 business items following up from our closed session  
10 yesterday evening. In consideration of the imminent and  
11 uncertain litigation environment that the Commission will  
12 find itself in upon voting later this week on our maps --  
13 on our assembly, State, congressional and Board of  
14 Equalization maps -- I'd like to make the following  
15 motion for the Commission's consideration.

16 The first is that the Commission retain two law  
17 firms to represent the CRC in litigation challenging the  
18 CRC's maps.

19 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Second.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Move second? Oh, Stan.

21 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I was quicker than Vince.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Boy, you're fast.

23 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** All right.

24 The floor is open for a discussion.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Discussion? Comments?

1 Filkins-Webber?

2 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Well, I believe we  
3 had some discussion -- Well, I'll just put it on the  
4 record now. I do have a concern about retaining two law  
5 firms. And it's just a financial concern here. So I  
6 just wanted to state that. I think that the costs could  
7 potentially get out of hand. And so that's all I would  
8 like to say. Thanks.

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** DiGuilio?

10 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah, I think -- Kind of  
11 along those lines, I think by retaining the two firms,  
12 this -- It'll be very clear that the Commission will  
13 direct how and in what way we'll utilize these firms.  
14 And hopefully, one of those things that we'll keep in  
15 mind, of course, is cost and most effective use of these  
16 firms in terms of as we move forward in litigation.

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any comments? Mr. Barabba,  
18 you look like you're ready to put your hand up.

19 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Well, my only point would  
20 be is that I'm sensitive to the cost as well, but the  
21 cost of losing a litigation to the citizens of the State  
22 would be far greater in my mind.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** What do you mean by that?

24 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Well, if we have to go  
25 back and turn it over to other people to draw these

1 lines, I would rather have us go forward in the strongest  
2 way possible to demonstrate that we have followed the  
3 rules. And by following the rules, we've been neither  
4 arbitrary, nor capricious, in our decision-making. And  
5 we may need, given the type of litigation that may come  
6 up, more than one firm to represent us. Whether we do or  
7 don't is an uncertain situation. But if it does occur  
8 that we need multiple representation, we should be  
9 prepared to take advantage of it.

10 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I see. Any other comments?  
11 Parvenu?

12 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I, too, am very sensitive  
13 to the cost consideration. I know the stakes are very  
14 high here. I don't have an idea in terms of what the  
15 high level -- ceiling level budget is in terms of  
16 possibly depleting or exceeding what we have in order to  
17 litigate this to the fullest extent. So without that,  
18 I'm a bit cautious about hiring two firms because I have  
19 no idea how long or how costly this activity will be.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let me get Ancheta, Raya and  
21 then our Executive Director.

22 **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Yes. On that, I certainly  
23 agree with the -- the need to be sensitive to costs and  
24 trying to maintain fiscal responsibility with the  
25 taxpayers' dollars. I think as Commissioner Galambos-

1 Malloy, in her prefatory remarks, suggested that this is  
2 a very unpredictable area right now. We don't know how  
3 many different types of lawsuits will be filed, which  
4 courts they'll be filed in, although we know they will be  
5 filed in the California Supreme Court, certainly, but  
6 there may be Federal Court challenges as well. I think  
7 at this point there's nothing. As we move forward, there  
8 will be, of course, additional motions to hire particular  
9 firms that there is no limitation in our releasing firms  
10 either, that if we need that the need to gauge our -- our  
11 legal needs with what actually is our capacity and what  
12 the resources are, we could make those kinds of  
13 adjustments in the future. But I think at this point in  
14 time, just given the uncertain nature of potential  
15 litigation, we should move forward with this option.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Raya?

17 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** In -- In support of the  
18 motion, the cost is going to be -- At this point, it's --  
19 It's such an unknown to us in -- with -- because we don't  
20 know what is going to be coming out as we only anticipate  
21 that it's going to be a freight train and then some. And  
22 whether it's one firm or two, it's really not about that  
23 so much as about taking the responsibility by the  
24 Commission to manage what the job is and what we pay for  
25 it. But I think at this point we need to -- we need to

1 be prepared for anything.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let me get our Executive  
3 Director and then we'll go straight to Mr. Ward.

4 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CLAYPOOL:** I just wanted to  
5 point out that certainly the State is looking towards you  
6 being fiscally responsible. I mean, that's -- There's no  
7 doubt about it. In these times, everyone wants to make  
8 sure that the State's money is spent well. However, the  
9 -- the proposition stated that you had the sole legal  
10 standing to defend these maps and that you would inform  
11 the legislature about the resources that you needed to  
12 operate if they were not adequate and that the resources  
13 -- that the legislature shall provide adequate funding to  
14 defend any action regarding a certified map. The -- You  
15 have the responsibility to make sure that you have  
16 adequate -- or an adequate defense. The State has the  
17 responsibility to ensure that you're funded for that  
18 adequate defense. So if you believe that you need these  
19 two -- two firms to do that, I believe that you're the  
20 one who has a responsibility to make that determination.

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Ward?

22 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Yeah. I just want to state  
23 that I think our legal team did an amazing job of -- of  
24 giving us two fantastic law firms that showed interest.  
25 And whichever way the Commission decides to go, I think

1 that we're going to be well represented. So I think, in  
2 light of fiscal concerns, being that -- that both options  
3 are certainly capable independently of defending this  
4 Commission and its -- and its maps, I would be in favor  
5 of choosing one firm.

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Dai?

7 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. I see this more as  
8 giving the Commission options of, you know -- As  
9 Commissioner Ancheta said, we -- we really don't know  
10 what we're going to be facing, you know. We may know as  
11 soon as August 16<sup>th</sup>, may take a couple of months to play  
12 out. And you know, I think the key thing is we're going  
13 to -- to need to be able to react quickly and potentially  
14 in multiple jurisdictions simultaneously. And so to the  
15 -- to the degree that we can ensure that we have adequate  
16 resources to ensure that we can respond to -- to defend  
17 the maps that, you know, California has put us here to --  
18 to put these maps together. And I -- I believe that --  
19 that the State deserves a good defense of those maps.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Galambos-Malloy?

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So that the  
22 Commission is aware, if this motion passes, as incoming  
23 Chair, I will make sure that our agenda provides adequate  
24 time for us to deliberate as a Commission on how to best  
25 structure these contracts and potential division of labor

1 between the firms. I also have already given staff a  
2 heads up that, pending the outcomes of this and other  
3 motions regarded to our litigation firms, that they are  
4 to put any new hires on notice that they are expected to  
5 meet with us this week while we are in session so that we  
6 are able to be proactive and ready by the time we take  
7 any formal action on the maps later this week. That is  
8 something that we are able to do before we actually have  
9 a contract signed. I could -- Mr. Claypool, do you want  
10 to provide a little bit more background on that?

11 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CLAYPOOL:** Only that it -- it  
12 is most important for you to ensure that you have the --  
13 the specifics of that contract the way you wish them to  
14 be. It is -- The Office of Legal Services, in a  
15 conversation with them, pointed out that your -- your  
16 attorneys can begin their work for you before the  
17 contract is actually in place, as long as they're working  
18 in --in good faith that you will put the contract in  
19 place. So -- So you can meet with these attorneys and  
20 they can start doing this preliminary work for you as we  
21 understand it. And then -- And then we can finish that --  
22 -- the actual formal signature at a date after the work  
23 begins.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Yao?

25 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** None of us know exactly what

1 game we're going to be playing out August 15. We hope  
2 that our maps will -- will be accepted without -- without  
3 any challenges. But based on the indices that we have --  
4 indications that we have received, that probably is the  
5 least likely scenario. Moving forward, I think we just  
6 need to be best prepared to anticipate the uncertain  
7 future. So voting for two firm, the way I see it is,  
8 really giving us the maximum amount of flexibility. As  
9 far as cost is concerned, cost is going to be task  
10 driven. It's not going to be whether one firm or two  
11 firm is -- is going to determine the cost. It really is  
12 -- is how many lawsuits that we're going to be filing,  
13 how -- how frequent they come in and how separate they  
14 are in term of State, Federal and so and so forth. So I  
15 would urge the Commissioners to -- to vote for an option  
16 that gives us the maximum amount of flexibility in  
17 dealing with the certain that none of us have -- have  
18 capability of predicting. So I'm going to support two  
19 firm configurations.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Aguirre?

21 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yes. And I would -- I  
22 would concur with that. Ultimately, we would hope that -  
23 - that the quality of the maps is such that it will  
24 convince everybody that we've followed all the criteria  
25 and we've done our very best and we have actually been

1 representative of the People of California. However,  
2 that -- Because this is new territory and because of the  
3 complexity of what we've done, that there are some folks  
4 who perhaps will not fully understand and appreciate the  
5 labor that has gone into this, the transparency of the  
6 process, the following of all that criteria and will, in  
7 fact, go to Court to challenge us on those levels. So I  
8 think that having a team that we can call on based on  
9 their particular skill sets managed by the Commission, I  
10 think, will auger well for the People of California,  
11 given that we've been appointed by them to do this job.  
12 And we need to defend what we do. I think it's -- We'll  
13 have a defensible plan in place. But we need somebody to  
14 help us on the legal side. So I would concur with the  
15 decision to hire two firms to be such a team.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any others? Okay. Janeece,  
17 could you read the motion again?

18 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Motion is that the  
19 Commission -- The Commission will retain two law firms to  
20 represent a Commission in litigation challenging the CRC  
21 maps.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Great. Have anyone in the  
23 public that would like to make a comment? Please come on  
24 up. Commissioner Ancheta?

25 **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** It might be useful for the

1 public to know that this is the first of a series of  
2 motions. So if you are speaking to this particular  
3 motion regarding hiring two firms, I think it's  
4 appropriate to speak at this point. If there is any  
5 commentary on either of the firms, there -- there will be  
6 separate motions, so -- for them. If you have some  
7 reservations about our -- our hiring a particular firm,  
8 you might address those in the later motions.

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** So we'll have how many  
10 motions -- separate motions?

11 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We're looking  
12 at five.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Five separate motions. So  
14 the first motion before us right now -- And I'd like the  
15 public to speak to the motion on the table at the moment  
16 -- is to hire just two firms. So if you can comment on  
17 that? Thank you.

18 **MALE:** Yeah. I would like to comment on the  
19 hiring of two firms. The Commission, you yourselves,  
20 spoke yesterday about the \$7 million cost in Arizona to  
21 defend 40 districts in a state with a population of  
22 approximately 7 million people. California has 38  
23 million people and there are hundreds of districts. The  
24 costs of this are very much a concern and two law firms  
25 is definitely going to cost you more money than one. The

1 question if -- as to whether the legislature will fund  
2 two law firms, you know, just write you a blank check as  
3 Mr. Claypool seems to indicate, is one that you need to  
4 consider carefully. Will there be a political firestorm  
5 about the cost of defending maps if they are perceived as  
6 bad maps? You need to consider that. The schools,  
7 Police Departments, you know, and -- and you know, other  
8 public entities are going to -- You know, this is a zero  
9 sum game here in California, as far as -- as the budget  
10 goes. If that becomes an issue as to whether the money  
11 is going to fund the Commission's expensive law firms,  
12 rather than, you know, all of the other needs of the  
13 State of California, these are all things you need to  
14 consider very carefully. I would encourage you not to go  
15 with two law firms, but to go with one. Thank you.

16 **MR. DIBBS:** Again, my name is Joe Dibbs. I  
17 definitely would support two law firms. And that's  
18 because at first when I heard someone raise the -- the  
19 specter about cost, I was concerned too. But I think you  
20 have to get this right. The legislature had foresight to  
21 say this thing is going to cost something, but they  
22 didn't put a number on it. And that's because they knew  
23 there was going to be some litigation and large  
24 differences of opinion. So I think if you have the  
25 leeway to have two firms, use them because two firms, I

1 think, also gives you a balance -- okay? -- in terms of  
2 how you look at it and how you lay it out. So I think  
3 two firms would -- would be more than adequate and I  
4 think it would be a service -- You will serve the People  
5 of California well if you -- if you do this thing right.  
6 You have one time to do it, so might as well do it. Yes,  
7 it's costly. But you've already started the process and  
8 you cannot back it up. So two firms would definitely, I  
9 think, be the right way to go. Thank you.

10 **MS. DUPONT-WALKER:** Good morning. Jackie Dupont-  
11 Walker, African American Redistricting Collaborative and  
12 AME Church Fifth District. Several entities, including  
13 our, are considering lawsuits if the -- our communities  
14 of concern are not adequately protected in the plan. I  
15 believe the consideration of legal counsel must take into  
16 consideration whether that selection further  
17 disenfranchises the communities of interest who feel they  
18 have not been heard. And so as you look at one or two, I  
19 think cost is a factor, but justice and equity is the  
20 overriding issue.

21 **MS. HOWARD:** Hi. Deborah Howard. I'll just  
22 weigh in on this and say I think Commissioner Yao said it  
23 about right. Two firms allow you the broadest possible  
24 flexibility and cost is cost. It's going to be what it  
25 is.

1           I actually want to raise an issue that came up  
2 from the comment that there are a series of motions that  
3 are going to be considered. That tells me that you've  
4 figured this out, either in closed session and didn't  
5 report out what action was taken or you did not conduct  
6 your business with full transparency. And I think that's  
7 pretty significant. So you don't get to do that. If  
8 you're going to make motions, you need to discuss it in  
9 open session.

10           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Could I at  
11 this time ask our legal counsel -- I believe we had  
12 reported out when we came back from closed session. And  
13 perhaps you could clarify any concerns that have been  
14 raised during public comment?

15           **MS. JOHNSTON:** There were no actions taken during  
16 the closed session. Strategies were discussed, but there  
17 were no decisions made. And I think that that is  
18 appropriate.

19           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** That's -- Is that it?

20           **MS. HOWARD:** Yeah.

21           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Let's call the  
22 question one more time and then we'll call the vote.  
23 Read the -- the motion again, Janeece?

24           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** The motion is that  
25 the Commission will retain two law firms to represent the

1 Commission in litigation challenging the CRC's maps.  
2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Call the vote?  
3 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Aguirre?  
4 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes.  
5 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ancheta?  
6 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes.  
7 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Barabba?  
8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.  
9 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Blanco? Dai?  
10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.  
11 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: DiGuilio?  
12 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.  
13 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Filkins-Webber?  
14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: No.  
15 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Forbes?  
16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.  
17 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Galambos-Malloy?  
18 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.  
19 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ontai?  
20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.  
21 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Parvenu?  
22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.  
23 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Raya?  
24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.  
25 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ward?

1           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** No.

2           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Yao?

3           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Yes.

4           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** The motion passes.

5           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Commissioner  
6 Galambos-Malloy?

7           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I have a  
8 second motion I would like to propose for the  
9 Commission's consideration, which is that the CRC retain  
10 the firm of Morrison Foerster to represent the CRC in  
11 litigation challenging the CRC's maps.

12           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Seconded.

13           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Seconded by Commissioner  
14 Barabba. Discussion? Parvenu?

15           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I -- I'm in favor since we  
16 passed the first motion. Again, I want to return to the  
17 notion of having clearly delineated a scope of work in  
18 terms of -- I -- I'm not clear in terms of what law firm  
19 will be specifically targeted to what activities with  
20 this. I just need greater clarification as to how this  
21 division of attention will be asserted.

22           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Forbes?

23           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I think that will be a  
24 subject of the discussion as we negotiate the contracts,  
25 as we sit down with the two firms and we sit down with

1 the staff and we have the Commission represented, that  
2 the -- just how that's going to be determined will be  
3 part of that discussion.

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Dai and then  
5 Commissioner Yao and Commissioner Galambos-Malloy?

6 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I personally was very  
7 impressed by James Brosnahan, who is the Chief Litigator  
8 for -- for MoFo. And as someone from San Francisco, I  
9 can say that the firm is pretty legendary for its prowess  
10 and success in this area. I think that this firm would  
11 give us a very strong and aggressive defense. They have  
12 an incredible track record and I think they would be a  
13 fine part of -- of a team for the CRC.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Yao?

15 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I'd like clarification on the  
16 motion that's put before us. The way I interpret it is  
17 we're giving staff direction to initiate a contract  
18 negotiation with MoFo subject to the agreement that the  
19 contract is satisfactorily negotiated. Then we hire that  
20 particular firm. Is that the intent of the motion?

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** The way that I  
22 have thought about structuring the motions was actually  
23 to separate out the pieces regarding retaining the firm  
24 and regarding the negotiation of the contract. And so  
25 what we're really voting on now is do we as a Commission

1 agree that we want to retain Morrison Foerster? And then  
2 if so, then we would entertain a separate motion  
3 regarding the contract negotiations that are to happen  
4 and granting some delegated authority to individual  
5 commissioners to work with staff on carrying that out.  
6 Ms. Johnston?

7 **MS. JOHNSTON:** The importance of retaining  
8 counsel is that it then permits you to meet in closed  
9 session with them as your legal counsel. Even though you  
10 are finalizing the precise financial arrangements, the  
11 financial arrangements do not have to be decided at the  
12 time you retain the -- the attorney.

13 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** And we do feel  
14 that there is some sense of urgency, that we have the  
15 ability to meet with any firm or firms that we hire and  
16 meet with them before we entertain a vote at the close of  
17 this week.

18 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** With that understanding, I  
19 fully support the motion.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any others? Commissioner  
21 Aguirre?

22 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yes. I was very impressed  
23 with the presentation by the firm. They have, I think,  
24 the necessary breadth and depth to cover all of the  
25 complexities that we are to be challenged with and on.

1 So I would be in favor of the motion.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Commissioner Filkins-  
3 Webber, then Commissioner Galambos-Malloy, then  
4 Commissioner DiGuilio.

5 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I was also likewise  
6 impressed by Mr. Brosnahan's interest in actually  
7 defending this Commission. Again, I am concerned about  
8 cost, but we are certainly paying for a man who is --  
9 whose experience and -- and knowledge far exceeds  
10 anything that we -- that -- or I guess -- An attorney  
11 that I've never had -- had an opportunity to ever work  
12 with. So I -- I was very impressed with that. I was  
13 also impressed by the team that they put together, which  
14 consisted of partners and also had -- told this  
15 Commission that he was not inclined to spend any time to  
16 bill us, financially, I guess, to get up to speed. He,  
17 obviously, knew quite a bit about this Commission and  
18 each individual commissioner before he even presented to  
19 us. And that probably occurred in a relatively short  
20 period of time.

21 I do want to address one other issue regarding  
22 potential conflicts and with the appearance of -- of  
23 conflicts when it comes to attorneys, the defense that he  
24 provided to John Walker Lindh, who is, you know,  
25 politically, something that I might be against. But I'm

1 a defense attorney as well. And in that regard, I've  
2 never known -- Personally, I never input by own political  
3 persuasions into the defense that I provide. Even though  
4 this has more of a political aspect to it, I don't  
5 envision that he would be doing the same, even regardless  
6 of political contributions or whatnot. I see this  
7 strictly as a professional, you know, business  
8 transaction, that he would be defending everything that  
9 we do. So I don't necessarily see that it would be a --  
10 a political issue and I don't see that the conflicts  
11 could be a problem. So I was also impressed with him.  
12 Thank you.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner DiGuilio?

14 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I thought it was -- It  
15 was Commissioner Galambos-Malloy first.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner  
17 Galambos-Malloy?

18 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I'll -- I will help you  
19 out.

20 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** It -- And two  
21 points, one to follow-up on the conversation of  
22 conflicts. I think we definitely got a clear sense from  
23 Mr. Brosnahan that he was preparing already in  
24 anticipation of work with the Commission to recuse  
25 himself from any commitments that might remotely be

1 construed to have any impact or relationship with his  
2 ability to represent us in litigation. My own  
3 perspective regarding the perception of affiliations with  
4 various groups is that, you know, once the maps are done,  
5 once we as a Commission have decided that these are, in  
6 fact, our maps, our responsibility to the public is to  
7 defend those maps. And whether it's -- You know,  
8 personally, whether it a Republican firm, a Democratic  
9 firm, I -- or individuals that are hired, the point to me  
10 is can they get the job done. And what was very  
11 compelling to me was that we really saw in Mr. Brosnahan,  
12 individually, and in the team of partners that he  
13 presented, a deep sense of mission. And that is  
14 something that we as Commissioners have all had. It's  
15 something that we have seen in both our staff and our  
16 consultants that we have hired. And I really believe  
17 that this firm is cognizant of the fact that the case or  
18 cases that this Commission will be facing in litigation  
19 will be the most important public policy cases of the  
20 decade, not just for California, but for the nation, for  
21 other states who are considering Citizens Redistricting  
22 Commission Reforms. And because of that, I feel that he  
23 and his firm are a very important building block to our  
24 legal team and will be supporting the motion.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** DiGuilio?

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** And I -- I don't want to  
2 repeat what many other people have already said. I think  
3 we're all aware of Mr. Brosnahan's ability to do this --  
4 to do -- provide our litigation needs. I would -- I  
5 would just like to add that, for me, I think one of the  
6 things was truly -- that stuck out -- that stood out was  
7 why he wanted to do this. And I think there's genuinely  
8 a belief in what we're doing, a true belief in what this  
9 means for California and for the citizens. I was struck  
10 by the fact that he -- He's a man of integrity and really  
11 believed in what we're doing. And I think that's the  
12 basis for why we're all here. And I think having someone  
13 like that who shares in that same vision and clearly  
14 demonstrated it and merging that with the -- the skills  
15 that he has is -- is something I believe very valuable  
16 for this Commission.

17           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any other comments?  
18 Commissioner Ancheta?

19           **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Yeah. The only thing I  
20 would add is I -- I think I was also impressed by Mr.  
21 Brosnahan's willingness to work as a team player and that  
22 is to work as co-counsel. At -- At the time he didn't  
23 know exactly what we might be doing, but I think  
24 certainly indicated that he would work very well with co-  
25 counsel, had experience in similar types of situations

1 involving multiple firms. And I think also when we talk  
2 about Gibson, Dunne, we can -- we can highlight those  
3 strengths as well for that firm. But I think -- I think  
4 it was particularly strong in terms of Mr. Brosnahan.

5 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any other comments? Okay.  
6 Janeece, could you read the motion again?

7 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** The motion is that  
8 the Commission retain the firm of Morrison & Foerster to  
9 represent the CRC in litigation challenging the CRC's  
10 maps.

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Is there any  
12 public speakers? Come on up. And if you can speak to  
13 the motion on the -- on the floor?

14 **MALE:** Commission, I'm just a carpenter. But I  
15 was not as impressed as you apparently were by Mr.  
16 Brosnahan. I think he's a bit of a grandstander and he  
17 has a pretty big ego. And the political implications of  
18 MoFo are more important than you guys are -- are aware  
19 of. The issue is not so much whether he defended John  
20 Walker Lindh or his firm did, but that he's a fundraiser  
21 for Obama and a former Secretary/Treasurer of Madof.  
22 Thank you.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Shall we read the  
24 motion again for the commissioners or -- or is it clear  
25 to you? All right, let's call for the vote.

1           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** This is a special  
2 majority vote. Commissioner Aguirre?  
3           **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yes.  
4           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Ancheta?  
5           **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Yes.  
6           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Blanco? Dai?  
7           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yes.  
8           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Raya?  
9           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Yes.  
10          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** DiGuilio?  
11          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILLIO:** Yes.  
12          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Forbes?  
13          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yes.  
14          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Galambos-Malloy?  
15          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes.  
16          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Parvenu?  
17          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Yes.  
18          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Barabba?  
19          **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Yes.  
20          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Filkins-Webber?  
21          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yes.  
22          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Ontai?  
23          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes.  
24          **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Ward?  
25          **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Yes.

1           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Yao?

2           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Yes.

3           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** The vote is  
4 unanimous. The motion passes.

5           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you. Let's go on to  
6 the next motion.

7           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** As somewhat of  
8 a follow-up from the deliberation that we just had, I  
9 have a third motion I would like to propose for the  
10 Commission. And that is, in order to expedite what needs  
11 to happen over the coming days and weeks, the motion is  
12 that the Commission grant delegated authority to  
13 Commissioners Dai and Forbes to work with staff to  
14 negotiate a contract with Morrison Foerster. Second  
15 that?

16           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Questions --

17           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Second.

18           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Seconded by Commissioner  
19 Barabba. Filkins-Webber --

20           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry.

21           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Questions?

22           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** What -- What was  
23 the -- the purpose of the delegation?

24           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** The purpose of  
25 the delegation is that there -- Given that the Commission

1 wants to have really a hands-on role in formulating a  
2 contract that clearly lays out the division -- any  
3 division of -- potential division of labor within one  
4 firm or between two firms, we wanted to have lead  
5 commissioners. My thought was that it would make sense  
6 to have a commissioner who was able to represent Finance  
7 and Administration Advisory Committee, who has a sense of  
8 the contractual and financial issues at play and have a  
9 commissioner who's able to represent the Legal Advisory  
10 Committee. No substantive decisions would be made on the  
11 part of these commissioners. It would really be a worker  
12 bee assignment to work with staff on the actual drafting  
13 of a contract that then the commissioners will play a  
14 role in reviewing and ultimately approve.

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Dai,  
16 Commissioner Raya?

17 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I'm -- I'm honored you want to  
18 pile more work on this worker bee. But I was wondering  
19 if we would want to act on a motion for a second firm  
20 first? Sounds like there's a contract for one --

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We -- The --

22 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- firm and a contract for  
23 another firm?

24 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So there -- So  
25 we are -- We just voted that we are going to retain

1 Morrison Foerster. So we were going to consider action  
2 on moving forward on a contract with Morrison Foerster.

3 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Okay.

4 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Then we will  
5 move on to consideration of potential other firms.

6 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Okay. Well, I -- I would be  
7 willing to take this on. But I am counting on the fact  
8 that every commissioner pair is going to write a really  
9 fantastic draft narrative for your regents so that I  
10 don't have to spend as much time editing it since I'm  
11 also worker bee for that. Promise?

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** We promise. We promise.

13 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** If the Commission prefer, I  
14 am -- I'm on the Finance Administration Committee. I'm  
15 happy to take this on if it frees up Commissioner Dai to  
16 go ahead and fulfill her other duties.

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Raya is next.  
18 Then DiGuilio.

19 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Well, it -- It's very  
20 gracious of Commissioner Ward to offer. I have all the  
21 confidence in the world, having observed Commissioner Dai  
22 for the last several months that she can handle it. And  
23 I think she knows that. I -- But in -- In support of the  
24 motion, I think -- I think the real value in having this  
25 very focused approach to the contract is that we're going

1 to address the very concerns that have been expressed  
2 about closely defining what we're getting for our dollar  
3 and accounting for the taxpayers' money.

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** DiGuilio, followed by Yao.

5 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah. And I would say  
6 too, along with Commissioner Raya, in addition to just  
7 accounting for every dollar, it'll be very clearly  
8 spelled out how -- what will be the responsibility for  
9 each firm and how those firms will interact with each  
10 other and how that will be directed by -- by the  
11 Commission. Then I'd also just say, too, again, I think  
12 it was generous for Commissioner Ward. But I -- I think  
13 with Commissioner Dai and Commissioner Forbes being close  
14 in the area and their background -- in particular,  
15 Commissioner Dai with her knowledge of contracts -- could  
16 best utilize this really important aspect of developing  
17 these contracts for our litigation firms. So I would  
18 support the motion.

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Yao?

20 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I want to comment on the fact  
21 that over the last eight months this Commission was able  
22 to get a lot of work accomplished by -- by dividing the  
23 work into Advisory Committees and allow these committees  
24 to do a lot of homework behind the scene and bring it  
25 back for the Commission for -- for final decision, final

1 approval. And I see this process as a continuation of  
2 that -- that successful practice, number one.

3 Number two is I interpret that this Commission  
4 also is interested in taking a hands-on role in terms of  
5 managing these law firms as compared to making a -- the  
6 management function a staff function or anything of such.  
7 So by having a couple commissioners, again, acting on  
8 behalf of the entire Commission, in -- in dealing with  
9 this issue that also is a successful practice that we  
10 have had. So I am in full support of the motion.

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Forbes, if --  
12 Your name is mentioned in this motion. Can I have a  
13 response from you? Do you accept it?

14 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I absolutely do. I look  
15 forward --

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

17 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- I look forward to the  
18 opportunity of representing the Commission. And I -- The  
19 issues that have been raised by the Commission and their  
20 concern about how this contract is managed has been very  
21 -- a very useful discussion. And I think that both  
22 Commissioner Dai and I will make every effort to be sure  
23 that's in the contract.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Let me ask  
25 counsel, just as a reminder, the reason why we have the

1 two member subcommittees that we've formed is because of  
2 the Bagley-Keene Act. Could you review that for us one  
3 more time?

4 **MS. JOHNSTON:** The reason you have two is so that  
5 they can be an Advisory Committee. If it is more than  
6 two, even if it were advisory, they'd have to have  
7 publically noticed meetings. But as long it's not more  
8 than two, then an Advisory Committee can meet.

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner DiGuilio?

10 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I'm sorry. Isn't it more  
11 than -- But advisory -- It's not an Advisory Committee.  
12 Is that the wrong -- I mean, we have Advisory Committees  
13 that are multiple people. The two, we're giving  
14 delegated authority.

15 **MS. JOHNSTON:** That's true, but --

16 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** There's a difference.

17 **MS. JOHNSTON:** -- they -- If they had delegated  
18 authority to take action, then it wouldn't be advisory  
19 and they'd still have to have noticed meetings. So  
20 that's why it has to be merely advisory, that they would  
21 report back to the Commission and the Commission would  
22 take the final action.

23 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Okay.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Now I just want to make  
25 absolutely sure that the Commission -- commissioners are

1 reminded of that and the general public understands why  
2 we're doing this.

3 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I'd like to  
4 note for the record that Commissioner Blanco has joined  
5 us.

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Oh. Hello, Commissioner  
7 Blanco. Welcome. I think you crash-landed.

8 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Crash-landed. I got my  
9 parachute in my bag.

10 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. So, Janeece, could you  
11 read the motion one more time so it's clear?

12 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** The motion is that  
13 the Commission grant delegated authority to Commissioner  
14 Dai and Commissioner Forbes to work with staff to  
15 negotiate a contract with Morrison & Foerster.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Anyone from the public  
17 would like to make a comment on the motion?

18 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Could I --

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** No.

20 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Could I also clarify  
21 who seconded that motion?

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner --

23 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Thank you.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Barabba. Is the motion  
25 clear to the commissioners? All right. Let's call --

1 Commissioner Blanco, we have a motion on the floor and  
2 I'm going to have Janeece read it one more time just to  
3 make sure you heard it.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay. Thank you.

5 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: The motion is that  
6 the Commission grant delegated authority to Commissioner  
7 Dai and Commissioner Forbes to work with staff to  
8 negotiate a contract with Morrison & Foerster.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Call for --

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- the vote.

12 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Aguirre?

13 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes.

14 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ancheta?

15 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes.

16 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Barabba?

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.

18 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Blanco?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

20 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Dai?

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

22 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: DiGuilio?

23 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.

24 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Filkins-Webber?

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yes.

1 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Forbes?

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

3 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Galambos-Malloy?

4 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.

5 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ontai?

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.

7 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Parvenu?

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.

9 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Raya?

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.

11 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ward?

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.

13 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Yao?

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.

15 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: It is unanimous. The  
16 motion passes.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Thank you. Commissioner  
18 Galambos-Malloy?

19 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Well, in light  
20 of our initial decision we made when we began these  
21 deliberations regarding our litigation firm that we  
22 would, in fact, retain two law firms to represent us in  
23 litigation, I would like to make a fourth motion this  
24 morning. And it is that the Commission retain the firm  
25 of Gibson, Dunne & Crutcher to represent the CRC in

1 litigation challenging the CRC's maps.

2 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Second.

3 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Seconded by who?

4 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Forbes.

5 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** You got that, Janeece?

6 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Yes. Thank you.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Discussion? Aguirre?

8 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yes. I think it's very  
9 important that we have the institutional record that is  
10 provided by Gibson, Dunne, given that they have  
11 experience and been a part of the process since, you  
12 know, mid-spring. And so that information is valuable,  
13 especially when you are going up to defend a very fact-  
14 based kind of proposition, such as the work that we've  
15 done. So certainly, I was impressed, not only by Mr.  
16 Dunne's, but with the team that he presented yesterday.  
17 And so I would be in favor of the motion.

18 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Galambos-Malloy?

19 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think it is  
20 particularly crucial in the coming weeks that we have  
21 this firm composition, where we have Morrison Foerster  
22 and Gibson, Dunne & Crutcher. We could be facing  
23 potential legal issues or actions immediately after we  
24 vote later this week. We could be facing it anytime in  
25 August. We really don't know. And in order to ensure

1 that our new addition to our legal team, Morrison &  
2 Foerster, is really able to get up to speed and get to  
3 know the substance of the work that we've done here  
4 together, particularly regarding the Voting Rights Act, I  
5 think it will be crucial that we have Gibson, Dunne &  
6 Crutcher onboard, particularly during that initial phase.  
7 I think once we have been able to assess the lay of the  
8 land, you know, we may come to a different determination  
9 moving forward as to exactly what structure we need. But  
10 for what we see right now facing us, I think this is  
11 absolutely the right decision to also retain Gibson,  
12 Dunne & Crutcher.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Other -- I'm starting to see  
14 one. First, we'll start with Raya and then Filkins-  
15 Webber.

16 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** I -- I was impressed in  
17 Gibson, Dunne's presentation with the idea of a very  
18 assertive approach, a sense -- a very strong sense that  
19 there is really no time. It's not even time is of the  
20 essence. There is no time. We've certainly had  
21 sufficient promises, if I can use that term to describe  
22 the potential litigation. And I, for one, am happy to  
23 see, you know, an attitude of we're ready to go.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Filkins-Webber?

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I -- I've certainly

1     been impressed with the representation that we've  
2     received from -- from Gibson, Dunne & Crutcher and I was  
3     also equally impressed by their presentation. But I  
4     still -- I have two concerns. Again, it goes back to  
5     fiscal responsibility. And I feel that the Morrison  
6     Foerster firm will be expensive. Gibson, Dunne &  
7     Crutcher is expensive. I still just can't get over my --  
8     my initial vote, which was not to have two firms. So  
9     I've been struggling and had to make a decision between  
10    the two firms and still be consistent.

11           I'm also very concerned with what discussion we  
12    had, I think, in open session a couple of days ago about  
13    the possibility of Mr. George Brown being a witness and  
14    also being our advocate and counsel. And I'm struggling  
15    with that. I understand the -- the legal implications of  
16    that and the fact that it may not have any particular  
17    implication.

18           So my -- I still have to be consistent with my  
19    earlier decision to vote no against retaining two firms  
20    because I think it's still necessary to have some fiscal  
21    responsibility. And I also had to make a choice between  
22    the two firms and maintain my principles in consistency  
23    with this. So I'm afraid I won't be able to support this  
24    motion, although I recognize what the Commission has  
25    elected to do with the first motion, which is to retain

1 both firms. But I just felt that I needed to, again,  
2 just state that it's nothing against Gibson, Dunne &  
3 Crutcher. It's just a fiscal responsibility and my  
4 concern about being a witness and an advocate at the same  
5 time.

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you. Dai?

7 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Well, I, for one, think that  
8 Mr. Brown would make an excellent witness for us. So I'm  
9 not too worried about that. I think that we need to be  
10 very nimble and be able to respond quickly. We've  
11 already paid for Gibson, Dunne to get up to speed. So we  
12 might as well reap the rewards of that.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Other comments on this?  
14 DiGuilio?

15 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** And I'll just make one  
16 comment. I do think that, you know, Gibson, Dunne has  
17 anticipated a lot of things that will likely happen. So  
18 I think that we're already a step ahead that way. And  
19 they've been involved in the process with us and continue  
20 to have forward thinking. And I would just say, in terms  
21 of anything that happens in any type of litigation, I  
22 think the State could save itself a lot of money if those  
23 groups that threaten litigation also realize the  
24 implications on the State, to balance the needs of their  
25 constituents with the needs of the State and saving

1 money. I think those -- You know, the same people that  
2 threaten also are the ones calling for saving money, so.

3 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Further discussion? All  
4 right. Janeece, could you read the motion again?

5 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** The motion is that  
6 the -- that the Commission retain the firm of Gibson,  
7 Dunne & Crutcher to represent the CRC in litigation  
8 challenging the CRC's maps.

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you. Anyone from the  
10 public would like to make a comment? Oh, okay. Call for  
11 the motion.

12 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** This is a special  
13 majority vote. Commissioner Aguirre?

14 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yes.

15 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Ancheta?

16 **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Yes.

17 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Blanco?

18 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Yes.

19 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Dai?

20 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yes.

21 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Raya?

22 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Yes.

23 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** DiGuilio?

24 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yes.

25 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Forbes?

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

2 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Galambos-Malloy?

3 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.

4 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Parvenu?

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.

6 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Barabba?

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.

8 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Filkins-Webber?

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: No.

10 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ontai?

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.

12 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ward?

13 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.

14 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Yao?

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.

16 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: We have five

17 Democrats, four declined to state, four Republicans. The  
18 motion passes.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Thank you. Galambos-Malloy?

20 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I have one

21 final motion this morning. And it is falling on the

22 heels of our decision to retain Gibson, Dunne & Crutcher.

23 I move that the Commission grant delegated authority,

24 again, to Commissioners Dai and Forbes to work with staff

25 to negotiate a contract with Gibson, Dunne & Crutcher.

1 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Second.  
2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Second.  
3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Seconded by --  
4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: DiGuilio.  
5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- Barabba.  
6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I was faster.  
7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: But, of course.  
8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: You got that Janeece?  
9 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: He may be older, but he's  
10 not slower.  
11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: The wisest one here.  
12 Discussion? All right. Anyone from the public? None.  
13 Call for the motion.  
14 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Aguirre?  
15 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes.  
16 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ancheta?  
17 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes.  
18 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Barabba?  
19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.  
20 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Blanco?  
21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.  
22 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Dai?  
23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.  
24 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: DiGuilio?  
25 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.

1 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Filkins-Webber?  
2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: No.  
3 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Forbes?  
4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.  
5 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Galambos-Malloy?  
6 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.  
7 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ontai?  
8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.  
9 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Parvenu?  
10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.  
11 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Raya?  
12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.  
13 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Ward?  
14 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.  
15 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: Yao?  
16 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.  
17 COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS: The motion passes.  
18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Thank you. I -- I want to go  
19 back to Ms. Johnston, if you can explain --  
20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: She's not in the room.  
21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Oh. Is any of our counsel  
22 here?  
23 FEMALE COMMISSIONER: She'll probably be -- Yeah,  
24 she'll be back.  
25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Oh, she'll be right back.

1 What I wanted to do is have her opinion again to express  
2 why we took these motions. And it came out of -- The  
3 genesis of these motions came out of closed session  
4 meetings in the last two days to discuss the  
5 qualifications of these two firms, but also to discuss  
6 what we feel are going to be serious litigation  
7 challenging our maps. And -- And I -- There are legal  
8 bases for us to meet so and that's what I wanted to  
9 respond to.

10 Any other comments from the commissioners?

11 **MS. JOHNSTON:** I'm back.

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** There she is. Ms. Johnston,  
13 I just wanted you to tell us the legal basis upon which  
14 we made the decision to make these motions in series  
15 which has its origins from our closed session.

16 **MS. JOHNSTON:** It's perfectly appropriate to  
17 discuss how you wish to proceed in closed session when  
18 you're talking about litigation strategies. And the fact  
19 that you determined that you thought that the better  
20 approach was to hire multiple firms and that you've  
21 anticipated a variety of lawsuits based on the  
22 information you received, as long as no decision was made  
23 as to which firms or what the duties would be of those  
24 firms, the general discussion of strategy in closed  
25 session is perfectly appropriate.

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. And I just want to  
2 make it perfectly clear it is not our intent to be un-  
3 transparent. Commissioner Raya?

4           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** I'm sorry to interrupt with  
5 something somewhat -- It is out of order. But I have a  
6 reservation at the Holiday Inn this coming week if anyone  
7 is in need of a hotel before I cancel it. Before I give  
8 it up, because I have one at the Sheraton, if anybody  
9 needs the Holiday Inn, please tell me now.

10           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I'm assuming -  
11 -

12           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Okay.. Thank you.

13           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- I'm  
14 assuming you're offering that to the public?

15           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Yeah. A little too  
16 transparent? Is that what --

17           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yeah.

18           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- you said?

19           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you. That was off the  
20 wall, but helpful. Okay. So I believe we're going to go  
21 in closed session now with counsel, So if the public  
22 could please relocate outside of the chambers and take  
23 all your belongings, please. Counsel, how long do you  
24 think this might be? Mr. Brown?

25           **MR. BROWN:** It could be (inaudible)

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Half an hour?

2           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** An hour.

3           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** An hour. One hour.

4           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** 11:30 maybe?

5           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** 11:30.

6                           **(Off the record)**

7           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, commissioners,  
8 let's get settled in. We are reconvening from a closed  
9 session and I'd like for Commissioner Galambos-Malloy to  
10 report on it.

11           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We are  
12 returning from closed session pursuant to Government Code  
13 section 11126(e)(1). We were discussing potential  
14 litigation matters with outside counsel, Mr. Brown of  
15 Gibson, Dunne & Crutcher. And at this time, we have no  
16 action to report and we will be taking on our agenda now  
17 commencing with our line drawing beginning in Orange  
18 County at the senate level.

19           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Q2?

20           **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. So where would you like to  
21 start with Orange County? As you recall, we had an  
22 Option 1, an Option 2 and then an Option 3. And the  
23 Option 3 was essentially not a boundary change in -- in  
24 Orange County, but rather it was a senate Option 3  
25 because it was the San Diego -- the San Diego

1 configuration. So we had Option 1, which is the part  
2 that was merged into the statewide plan. And then the  
3 second option for Orange County was Option 2. The  
4 Commission then directed us to start working on what we  
5 called Option 4. And I would like to know where you  
6 would like to start? Would you like to start at Option  
7 1, then look at Option 2 and then go to Option 4 or what?

8 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Commissioners Dai and  
9 then Filkins-Webber?

10 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. I think we were just  
11 very tired the end of the day. And I had put a proposal  
12 for us that we start with Option 2 because it was a  
13 little better for all of the communities or interest and  
14 I wanted to see from my fellow commissioners if -- if  
15 there was support for that.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. You all have your  
17 pointers, right? All right. Commissioner -- I think  
18 Filkins-Webber went first and then DiGuilio.

19 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay. So a couple  
20 of things, again, for the members of the public that are  
21 viewing, I'm trying to look for Option 2.

22 **MS. BOYLE:** It's under --

23 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay --

24 **MS. BOYLE:** -- (inaudible)

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- I found it. And

1 then the other point that I was trying to make is that --  
2 Or the other point I wanted to make was I believe when we  
3 left off on our discussion on Friday, we were looking at  
4 moving from Option 2 to some changes that I think were  
5 made by Commissioner Ward. And we were at a -- just an  
6 informal 50/50. So we might want to just take a step  
7 back and look at what the -- the proposed changes were.  
8 And think those changes were off of Option 2, if I'm not  
9 mistaken. So we can take a look at Option 2 and then  
10 look at what the proposed changes are just to bring the  
11 rest of the Commission up -- up to speed as far as the  
12 commissioners that were not present and then the public,  
13 you know, and maybe some new watchers will be able to  
14 follow what our train of thought was since we're kind of  
15 starting over again. So I think we were moving from  
16 Option 2 into Commissioner Ward's, which might've worked  
17 into your Option 4? Okay. So -- So maybe taking a look  
18 at Option 2 and then going to Option 4 is my suggestion  
19 for how we might start today.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** DiGuilio, then Dai.

21 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah. I think -- I think  
22 that what kind of got us at that late hour was -- I think  
23 what the topic was -- Is there -- I think Commissioner  
24 Ward -- And I don't want to speak for him. He'll  
25 probably speak -- But was trying to reunite Anaheim

1 together. And I think what we found was to do that may -  
2 - It may cut too much into that -- into the COI of  
3 Anaheim and Santa Ana. So the other option was to kind  
4 of address the second part, which was to try and remove,  
5 like -- I think Commissioner Ward called it the claw  
6 because this district comes way over here, way up here  
7 and this is kind of this disjointed. So if we can't do  
8 the Santa -- the Anaheim, which was, I think, what led us  
9 into the Option 4 and, two, maybe we should just try and  
10 see if we could minimize the claw by putting Cypress here  
11 and I think there's Rossmoor might be there. There were  
12 some other smaller communities that we do have COI that  
13 would like to be linked with this area here and then  
14 maybe try and minimize. I don't know if the split would  
15 come into Anaheim or maybe into Garden Grove. You'd have  
16 to take from some of the yellow to re-populate blue. But  
17 I think, again, I know there's some issues in trying to  
18 keep this area together. And I think -- But in -- We  
19 have to remember that in a district of 900-something  
20 thousand -- almost a million people, there's going to be  
21 some socioeconomic diversity in this, but it's trying to  
22 minimize the impact on this socioeconomic grouping here.  
23 So I'd -- I'd suggest maybe trying to do smaller cuts  
24 first within this configuration.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Dai, then

1 Commissioner Ward.

2           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. Again, I'm -- I don't  
3 want to get into the problem we got into last time, which  
4 was having four options on the table. I was trying to  
5 get us to start with one option and then we can talk  
6 about changes. So if there's support from the Commission  
7 to start with Option 2, can we -- can we agree on that so  
8 we can kind of move forward if we want to tweak it after  
9 that?

10           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let me come back to that.  
11 Commissioner Ward?

12           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Thanks. Yeah, I -- I guess  
13 would advocate then that we start with Option 4 since it  
14 was a modification to Option 2. So we kind of started  
15 with Option 2 last time. And that took us to Option 4.  
16 So it seems to me logical that we would want to make a  
17 determination on Option 4, so then we could go back to  
18 either proposing a new Option 5 or go back to original 1  
19 and 2 options.

20           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Let me go back to  
21 Commissioner Dai, a proposal that we start off with  
22 Option 2. So show of -- show of hands and let's see how  
23 many want to go there first? Raise them up. Okay, looks  
24 like we're going to do that first. So, Commissioner Dai,  
25 you want to take us through that?

1           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. So just to review my  
2 discussion before as to why I thought this was a better  
3 start for us, the main difference between Option 1 and  
4 Option 2 is that we have moved the Anaheim Hills in with  
5 Villa Park and Orange, which is -- It's not --

6           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Use your pointer, please.

7           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Sure. We've moved out Anaheim  
8 Hills in with Villa Park and Orange, which it's a natural  
9 community of interest there. We keep, you know, the  
10 North County areas together and, of course, we've -- We  
11 had discussion last time about why we nested -- you know,  
12 tried to nest these two because of Chino -- Chino Hills,  
13 the open space area that they share. Also, testimony  
14 from -- from these folks up here in LA about  
15 relationships with Fullerton and Brea. And then, of  
16 course, we have been -- been able to preserve most of the  
17 COI that we heard about from Anaheim Flats with Santa  
18 Ana. It's tied together by similar socioeconomics.  
19 Doing this rotation by putting Anaheim Hills back here,  
20 we also were able to put Seal Beach with, you know, some  
21 of Huntington Beach so it's not the only beach community  
22 from Orange County. Remember, we had some population  
23 flow from LA here -- East LA -- And we had heard about  
24 some community of interest between the eastern portion of  
25 Long Beach with Seal Beach and then Los Alamitos and

1 Rossmoor have a community of interest here with Seal  
2 Beach. And of course, we have Little Saigon preserved as  
3 well in here.

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Do you want to direct  
5 Q2 on how you want to start this?

6 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Well, one thought -- And I  
7 mentioned this yesterday -- We had made a switch early on  
8 between La Habra and -- and Buena Park. And the  
9 challenge there is it does create this claw. You know,  
10 before the -- the Whittier District in LA had La Habra.  
11 We did hear a lot from people in La Habra, that they, you  
12 know, wanted to be part of a -- more of an Orange County  
13 center of district. You know, here, they obviously still  
14 have other folks from other counties here. But then they  
15 were released back in this grouping in North County. The  
16 reason we chose to -- to swap Buena Park -- This was on  
17 Commissioner Ward's suggestion -- was that we've heard a  
18 lot about a community of interest between Artesia,  
19 Cerritos, La Palma and -- and park of Buena Park. But it  
20 does create this claw configuration and there's also a  
21 community interest that kind of goes along the top here  
22 between Cypress, Buena Park and Fullerton and Brea that -  
23 - you know, that we're not able to respect in this  
24 configuration either. So --

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Can I -- Can I -- Can I ask

1 if we could stop right there? Commissioner Galambos-  
2 Malloy has a question.

3 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I wanted to  
4 confirm with Q2 because if I remember correctly we had a  
5 second impact regarding La Habra and Buena Park split  
6 that was actually impacted the LCVAP of the adjacent  
7 district and brought that district then down below our 50  
8 percent majority minority district; is that correct?

9 **MS. MACDONALD:** That's correct. Uh-huh.

10 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I actually have a  
11 comment on that because that's not correct. What  
12 impacted the LCVAP in that district was Lakewood and the  
13 changes that were made to add Lakewood because I looked  
14 at the earlier visualizations where we have this exact  
15 configuration? And before we added Lakewood, it was at  
16 50 percent. So La Habra and Buena Park did not impact  
17 the LCVAP in that district. Lakewood did.

18 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Could we --

19 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I want that  
20 corrected for the record because I already looked at that  
21 last night.

22 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Could we ask  
23 for clarification from Q2 what really drove the drop  
24 below 50 percent?

25 **MS. BOYLE:** You know, I'm not sure if it was

1 Lakewood or Buena Park. I think the Lakewood switch was  
2 a couple of visualizations ago. The Buena Park has been  
3 in here two visualizations. So I can't say at what point  
4 it came below 50 percent. I'd need about 15 or 20  
5 minutes to determine that.

6 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Okay.

7 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And given my  
8 familiarity with the population demographics of Lakewood  
9 and comparison of those visualizations based on the  
10 numbers that were on the interactive website, that would  
11 be my assessment.

12 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Can you put the -- the Latino  
13 population numbers there? I mean, the -- Maybe that  
14 would -- Not that that answers it, but --

15 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** And so, Commissioner  
16 Filkins-Webber, does that mean that we could make the  
17 switch or at least potentially could make the switch  
18 without affecting it? Is that the --

19 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No. My contention  
20 is --

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right.

22 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- that we've  
23 received a tremendous amount of testimony from La Habra  
24 and relatively none from Buena Park since we've had this  
25 configuration. The community of interest has included

1 Buena Park with Cypress and Cerritos and we do recognize  
2 that it's split here. But since this visualization when  
3 we first took out La Habra, most -- almost everything  
4 we've heard from La Habra is to keep it in Orange County.  
5 So my --

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

7 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- contention is  
8 that this current configuration on this issue alone is  
9 consistent with --

10 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

11 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- the testimony  
12 that we have presently. And I just don't see a necessity  
13 of changing or switching these two to maintain a CVAP  
14 here when the issue is right here in Lakewood, which you  
15 can obviously see. It didn't matter between these two.  
16 It mattered when we added Lakewood because we had to cut  
17 way over here. And now we've put in Lakewood into that  
18 district. And that's where it brought it down and it  
19 didn't have much in the way of effect between those two  
20 cities.

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Q2 is running the numbers  
22 now.

23 **MS. MACDONALD:** Well, so, basically, we just put  
24 the theme on and what you see here is basically that the  
25 darker red areas are higher in Latino LCVAP -- in LCVAP,

1 so Latino Citizen Voting Age Population. This -- This  
2 little red piece -- If you stop clicking for a second --  
3 down at the bottom is actually Hawaiian Gardens. So  
4 that's not in -- in Lakewood. That -- Yeah, that's --  
5 That's Hawaiian Gardens right there. And so, you know,  
6 you see -- You can see for yourself.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right.

8 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** The current  
9 visualization at the State senate on the map that for  
10 LAPRW shows 50 percent LCVAP with Buena Park in, La Habra  
11 out. Then we made changes to the Lakewood area right  
12 over here. So if you look at the interactive website for  
13 the Q2 senate state, you'll see that LAPRW is 50 percent  
14 with La Habra out, Buena Park in. So when we made this  
15 other change is where -- in Lakewood -- is where we  
16 affected this number. So that's all I'm saying is right  
17 now we can be consistent with the community of interest  
18 testimony that supports this configuration. It's consist  
19 -- So the only change we made to this district is right  
20 here that affected that number, not La Habra and Buena  
21 Park.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Dai?

23 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Well, like I said, I -- I just  
24 threw that out there as a possibility since there seemed  
25 to be concern for the claw. You know, there are

1 competing community of interest testimony about, you  
2 know, Cypress, Buena Park and Brea and Fullerton. I  
3 think there's also some between Cypress and Stanton. So  
4 I think it's really what we think is going to be the best  
5 configuration for all of the different communities in --  
6 in Orange County.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Forbes, then Ward.

8 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I'd like to pursue --

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And then --

10 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- Commissioner --

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Filkins-Webber.

12 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- DiGuilio brought up is  
13 that, you know, we've looked at their small solution here  
14 and whether this would achieve what people are trying to  
15 do is use the five at the boundary and determine how much  
16 population is there and put this in with the blue and  
17 then work this back to balance the population with the  
18 yellow. It gets rid of the claw, makes it more compact  
19 and again, as was mentioned last time, there is testimony  
20 about connecting these two; not a lot, but some. So that  
21 was -- That was -- Wait. That was just a thought I had.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay, that's another  
23 alternative. We'll come back to that. Ward?

24 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Yeah, Chair. I just think  
25 that we've walked through Option 2, which was kind of a

1 motion and -- and then I suggested some changes, which is  
2 Option 4. And now we're making changes on another option  
3 for Option 2. It seems to me like we need to move into  
4 Option 4 and make a decision on it. And then, at that  
5 point, if necessary, we can come back to Section 2 and --  
6 and look at other alternatives, if we decide we want to  
7 go with 2 over 1. But I'm afraid that we're going to go  
8 in so many different directions here and be arguing for  
9 different things. I feel strongly, as the lead for  
10 Orange County, having read through all the public  
11 testimony for this area, that Option 4 to a -- is very  
12 representative of the cumulative total, the totality of  
13 the input from Orange County. And so I think that we  
14 should address that before we talk about coming up with  
15 new configurations to try to meet additional COI.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. The lead is  
17 asking that we go back and look at Option 4 at this point  
18 and then we could possibly go back to the option that  
19 Commissioner DiGuilio and Forbes are looking at. So  
20 let's -- DiGuilio?

21 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I think the question is  
22 we should see if there is -- We should take a vote to see  
23 if we should pursue Option 4.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes. Yeah, that's what I --

25 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** But I'd -- I --

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- mean.

2           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- I -- Do we have a  
3 visualization of Option 4?

4           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I've never seen it, so --

5           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** A picture of Option 4?

6 Because it's very hard for me to judge without a --

7           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Right.

8           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- picture of it.

9           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let's let them do a -- a  
10 little visualization. Then we can decide.

11           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** The thing I'm struggling  
12 with, though, is that 4 is a variation on 2. If we  
13 started with 2, we could show something similar to 4. So  
14 I -- I'm just not sure if that's the most effective use  
15 of Q2's time to start at 4 when we haven't even seen it  
16 enough to feel like that's the direction we want to go.

17           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I don't know. I -- I think  
18 that a -- Clearly, Commissioner Ward, who is the lead in  
19 this area, does not view the Section 2 -- or Option 2 to  
20 be the base for Option 4, at least a place to start. So  
21 I -- I would concur that we should at least look at  
22 Option 4 because it -- to give us a very -- a real  
23 comparison.

24           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yeah. And then we can move  
25 on.

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** How long would it take to

2           --

3           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** It's there.

4           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** That's Option 4.

5           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Oh, and -- That's --

6           There we go.

7           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right.

8           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** So once again, the idea with  
9           this was not only to make more compact districts, but  
10           also make -- Since there's no Section 2 requirements  
11           here, to take in the totality of the community of  
12           interests and put that we received for the County and try  
13           to address those. The -- As I understood the opposition  
14           or the strong concern for a connection between Santa Ana  
15           and Anaheim, the good news is this Commission has gone to  
16           great lengths to give that community a voice at the State  
17           level, being the assembly, and at the congressional level  
18           at this point at the Federal level. So this is an  
19           opportunity to go ahead and be responsive to other  
20           communities of interest that didn't have the opportunity  
21           to have their input exercised on the State level prior.  
22           I might also comment that we have received some input as  
23           a result of this proposal through the website from the  
24           Vietnamese community praising this configuration and  
25           thanking us for realizing that they, too, deserve a voice

1 at the State level.

2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: It's on the website  
3 already?

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: It is.

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Wow.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. This is Option 4  
7 for the --

8 COMMISSIONER WARD: The drop box.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- viewing public and for  
10 commissioners. Your comments, please?

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: I can read it into the record  
12 if you'd like?

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Aguirre?

14 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes. My concern with this  
15 -- And this is where we got stuck last night that we had  
16 to table this is because for -- From my perspective,  
17 we're talking about a low income demographic that's --  
18 that's tied between the Anaheim Flats and the Santa Ana  
19 area. We've -- I mentioned that there is two or three of  
20 the lowest economic -- socially economic areas, including  
21 Garden Grove, Anaheim -- I think it was Stanton and --  
22 and Santa Ana. That -- That focus, that orbit; that low  
23 income orbit is surrounded by a higher income, higher  
24 demographic areas all around that. So in order to -- If  
25 we do not -- If we do not tie that particular community

1 COI together, then we are effectively disenfranchising  
2 the voice of that particular community. So my objection  
3 with this was that it really --

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Could you point that area out  
5 with your pointer, please?

6 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Sure. So this would be --  
7 This -- I'm not sure where Garden Grove is. It's right  
8 around here. And of course, Santa Ana. Those are the  
9 areas that are -- that is the low income orbit that I'm  
10 talking about. So the -- The facts behind that  
11 demographic area higher level of poverty, higher level of  
12 homelessness, lower scores in -- API scores in school, a  
13 lower average age of -- of the total population. All of  
14 those factors relate to the needs of low income  
15 individuals and low income communities in general. So  
16 they need a voice, including the senate. You know, we  
17 know that bills that go through the legislature, they go  
18 to the assembly at the State level. They have to be  
19 approved by the senate. So -- So they require support at  
20 the senate level. So I -- I don't think that we can  
21 isolate this community, this community and -- and away  
22 from Santa Ana as well. So --

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. So your point is that  
24 this Option 4 splits this --

25 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yes, it does.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- particular --

2 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes, it does.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- community three different

4 --

5 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: In fact, it --

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- ways?

7 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: -- it totally takes

8 Anaheim, which is more than 50 percent Latino, out into a

9 -- into an area that is not -- that is, of course, much

10 lower in terms of Latino VAP and CVAP. So -- So I would

11 argue that if we -- That's why I decided to support the

12 Option 2 -- the original Option 2. I think that if we

13 look at Option 2, that we can look at Anaheim and make a

14 responsible split and as indicated by Ms. Dai --

15 Commissioner Dai, where we take the Anaheim Hills, put it

16 with Villa Park and those other communities that they

17 have more in common with and we take the Anaheim Flats

18 and put it with Santa Ana, and I think that will give

19 them the voice that they need.

20 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I see. Then we have

22 Commissioner Filkins-Webber?

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I am familiar with

24 this area and what we are talking about. If -- I just

25 want to separate two thoughts here. Given that this is

1 not a Section 2 area at the senate level, we don't need  
2 to look at what the Latino CVAP is of a particular city.  
3 We're doing several things here. There's a lot of low  
4 income here in Fullerton. There's a lot of low income  
5 right in there in Placentia. And there are parts of La  
6 Brea. This is Anaheim Hills, which is entirely separate.  
7 There is also concern, obviously, for lower socioeconomic  
8 and educational issues in Santa Ana and Garden Grove. So  
9 that's true that that COI might exist there. But when  
10 you're talking about a million people in the senate, we  
11 are -- we are giving due consideration to that  
12 socioeconomic interest at congressional level and at an  
13 assembly level. What we have here is a compact --  
14 compact districts throughout. We're able to keep them as  
15 closer -- closely relate -- closely related based on  
16 their communities of interest. In particular, what I'm  
17 talking about is North Orange County, which we had to cut  
18 up before when we looked at an assembly that's right up  
19 in here. So now we have this community of interest  
20 that's together. We have Anaheim, which is whole. I  
21 know it doesn't matter. You know, we haven't received  
22 community of input -- you know, community of interest  
23 testimony about keeping Anaheim whole. But frankly, this  
24 is the way that the city is. We also have communities of  
25 interest that are all together here. There is low income

1 in Fountain Valley. There is low income in Huntington  
2 Beach. We're not disenfranchising them just because we  
3 split at this level. What you actually are doing is  
4 giving an empowerment to both separate districts to  
5 recognize these interests of these communities that do  
6 have lower socioeconomic concerns. I don't know that if  
7 we reconfigure a district to put them all in together  
8 gives them greater power at a senate district where  
9 representation is nearly a million people. So what we're  
10 talking about is greater respect for a community of  
11 interest in its total -- You know, in this compact  
12 configuration which I'm -- I do like. This district  
13 speaks for itself, the blue one. I think this is more  
14 reflective of communities of interest. You have Katella.  
15 You have Bell. You have a lot of transportation that  
16 goes back and forth among these areas and similarity of  
17 this entire area with Garden Grove/Stanton. So -- And  
18 you're respecting a COI with Los Alamitos, Seal Beach and  
19 Rossmoor. So I feel that this does -- is supported that  
20 the community of interest input that we have had at  
21 various levels and now we're able to potentially respect  
22 these configurations of cities based on that testimony,  
23 which we could not do before at the assembly level, given  
24 the Section 2.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Dai, followed by Galambos-

1 Malloy, then Ward and then Raya and then Blanco and then  
2 Parvenu.

3           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. Well -- And I've said  
4 before that I'm, you know, certainly understand  
5 Commissioner Ward is trying to put Anaheim back together.  
6 And generally, you know, that's been kind of our  
7 philosophy at the senate level, if a city's been split,  
8 to try to put it together at senate level. However, I --  
9 I have been struck, given the numerous testimony we've  
10 gotten around State about please keep my city hall,  
11 please keep by city hall, that we have -- receive  
12 absolutely nothing from the City of Anaheim. In fact,  
13 it's been quite the opposite. It's been very much about  
14 how different Anaheim Hills is from the Anaheim Flats.  
15 So I -- I think it's completely inconsistent that we  
16 should assert that we're going to put them together  
17 anyway, even though we've gotten a lot of community  
18 testimony to the contrary. So that really the only  
19 different between Option 2 was that, like I said, the  
20 Anaheim Hills were actually reunited with Villa Park and  
21 Orange, which people have asserted over and over again,  
22 are much more like communities, have similar  
23 socioeconomics and the Anaheim Flats are with Santa Ana,  
24 which also has like socioeconomics. So -- So I wouldn't  
25 support it in -- in this configuration. I just don't see

1 a compelling reason to put Anaheim back together.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Galambos-Malloy?

3 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We had asked  
4 Q2 to provide some additional analysis regarding the  
5 adjacent Section 2 district in LA County. I think they  
6 have some news to report.

7 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yeah. Actually, we looked at the  
8 district visualizations that Commissioner Filkins-Webber  
9 pointed out and try to re-trace, essentially, how the  
10 Lakewood and Buena Park and La Habra affected the  
11 district. And Ms. Boyle found a visualization that had  
12 exactly the same boundaries, essentially, on the west  
13 side. So basically, Lakewood is with the current  
14 boundaries in the district and it also has La Habra in  
15 the district. So this was the visualization. And then  
16 from this to the next visualization the only thing that  
17 happened is that we switched -- So you see that the  
18 district as 50.01. So it's just right at the 50 percent  
19 level. And then the only thing that happened here was we  
20 did a -- we made a switch up in the north. And those  
21 were -- Those were blokes that did not affect the  
22 districts. And I know this is hard to keep track of  
23 because it has been such a moving puzzle piece. So, you  
24 know, once you more -- Basically if -- move one block,  
25 you -- you move another one in a different district. So

1 we made some changes up in the north. But you see that  
2 the Lakewood issue and all that was still straightened  
3 out. And the only thing we did was switched La Habra to  
4 Buena Park. And then the -- the LCVAP actually dropped  
5 to 49.6 percent. And I just wanted to make sure that --  
6 that you knew this going -- going forward.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Ward?

8 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** So I -- Before I get to my  
9 original point, Chair, can I just clarify with our VRA  
10 lawyer since he's here because I'm not clear now at this  
11 point. Do we have a VRA issue here or not?

12 **MR. BROWN:** Where?

13 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** With the -- Well, then I -- I  
14 don't know why we're talking about LCVAP if we don't --

15 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We were  
16 talking about --

17 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** -- have a --

18 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- LCVAP in  
19 regards to the LA -- the adjacent LA district that we had  
20 -- we -- Q2, could you walk -- walk the commissioners  
21 through the Section 2 district adjacent that we're  
22 discussing?

23 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** But it's not a  
24 Section 2 or -- Or has this Commissioner decided that  
25 LAPRW is going to be a Section 2 when it's 50 percent?

1           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We have the  
2 potential there for a majority minority district and we  
3 have seen alternative configurations in which the numbers  
4 actually have been above 50 percent.

5           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay. So the  
6 question becomes for Mr. Brown whether dropping it to 49  
7 percent would then be a non-Section 2 or a -- a VRA issue  
8 because of this configuration dropping it to 49.6, if my  
9 eyes are correct, would still be respectful of the  
10 neighboring community of interest testimony from La  
11 Habra.

12           **MR. BROWN:** As I've said similar -- previously,  
13 what you -- The exercise you're engaged in in LA County  
14 is to draw several majority Latino districts consistent  
15 with the legal advice we've given. And in order to  
16 evaluate whether you've appropriately achieved that  
17 objective, you are going to need to make that final  
18 judgment at the end. I could imagine situations where  
19 you end up with a district that has somewhere between 49  
20 percent and 50 percent. But based on the totality of the  
21 circumstances in all the -- the other districts you've  
22 drawn, you conclude that that is appropriate.

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Uh-huh --

24           **MR. BROWN:** Okay?

25           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- And we go to Blanco next.

1           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** I'm sorry, Chair. I was --  
2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Go ahead.  
3           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** -- I was just needing  
4 clarification on --  
5           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Oh, I'm sorry. Raya's next.  
6           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** -- Commissioner Galambos-  
7 Malloy's comment before I made my -- the comment I was in  
8 the cue for. The concern I have is that, you know,  
9 everywhere else in the State we're following the criteria  
10 minimize city split. We've done that everywhere else.  
11 And now we're throwing -- Anaheim is split three ways in  
12 the current accepted configurations in all three levels.  
13 And I'd like to correct the -- the record that, in fact,  
14 we have received testimony from Anaheim. Please keep  
15 Anaheim whole. I have a family of three of which is 16 -  
16 - the oldest of which is 16. Thirty-eight years we've  
17 been in Anaheim. And at no point have we ever been  
18 called the flatlands. I have read this in the paper and  
19 heard it in your deliberations. Anaheim is the hub of  
20 Orange County. In size and population it rules Orange  
21 County. And a minority section of Anaheim flows into  
22 some hills shared with Yerba Linda, Orange, Corona,  
23 Placentia and Chino. This is not a mountain range.  
24 Anaheim is not two cities divided. We all share and pay  
25 taxes for fire, police and battered public school

1 systems. We have unique transportation issues that  
2 affect the city, not just half of it. Please do not miss  
3 seeing the city through the hills. Keep Anaheim whole,  
4 wherever possible. There's three others that have been  
5 flowing in to talk about the Anaheim platinum triangle  
6 down at the -- where it starts to connect into the Orange  
7 where there's some major economic issues of the stadiums.  
8 And it also provides testimony that Anaheim has put in a  
9 huge revitalization effort for a downtown in that  
10 platinum triangle area that's in direct opposition with  
11 Santa Ana. Those are the two biggest cities. So they  
12 have a compelling interest as cities to not be joined for  
13 job and economic issues.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Commissioner  
15 Raya?

16 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Okay. I have a couple  
17 issues. One, I'm actually glad that Commissioner  
18 Filkins-Webber pointed out the number of places where  
19 there are low income communities paired in a district --  
20 not even paired -- placed in a district with extremely  
21 high income areas. And I think it's important in looking  
22 at that to consider that when people have described their  
23 community of interest, they've described it very often in  
24 terms of their needs, the services they require, the  
25 community activities they engage in, the quality of their

1 schools, a number of economic, social, educational,  
2 health issues. So we're looking in this -- In the  
3 configuration 4, we're looking at very disparate  
4 communities being placed together, economic communities.  
5 How those little voices are going to be heard in  
6 competition with those who are already empowered by  
7 virtue of their higher economic status is a mystery to  
8 me.

9           The other thing is that we have received a lot of  
10 email from -- or a lot of comment, I should say, and  
11 testimony from Orange County, all over the map, as far as  
12 what we've heard. I guess if we could actually catalog  
13 it by how many of this and how many of that, then maybe  
14 we'd just take a -- we could do it by poll and say we  
15 had, you know, 500 people say do it this way as opposed  
16 to 40 who disagreed. Three or four emails is not  
17 persuasive to me. The -- The conflicting testimony that  
18 we've had from Orange County does mean to me that it's up  
19 to the Commission to exercise judgment in determining how  
20 we affect fair representation for the greatest number of  
21 people. We've heard Buena Park say put us with -- put us  
22 that way, put us with Orange County. I know there was a  
23 lot of testimony -- I think even Commissioner Ward, you  
24 know, months ago talked about Buena Park, Fullerton,  
25 Brea, La Habra, Placentia belonging together. So this

1 Orange County thing just seems to be a real difficult one  
2 to pin to -- a lot of conflicting testimony. And we're  
3 going to exercise some judgment.

4 Last point I want to make about the -- And a lot  
5 of the comment we've had from Orange County is very  
6 openly talking about -- And this is -- goes from one side  
7 to the other. But nonetheless, a lot of the testimony  
8 we've received from that area is clearly based on either  
9 partisanship or incumbency. And that's a concern to me.  
10 And as a Commissioner, you know, how much I want -- how  
11 much weight I want to give to someone who's talking  
12 about, you know, protect our incumbent or protect my  
13 party, that's not why I'm here.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Blanco? Let me  
15 -- You know, we need to move this along. So I'm going to  
16 ask those commissioners that have not spoken already, I'm  
17 going to give you two minutes and those that already have  
18 spoken, half a minute.

19 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** I don't have much to add to  
20 Commissioner Raya. I was -- I wanted to say that, again  
21 we have had a lot of different configurations of  
22 communities in Orange County wanting to be together and  
23 wanting to be a part. I don't want to be here or I don't  
24 want to be paired to here or I want to be paired with  
25 this person, you know. The one consistent pair that

1 always wanted to be together throughout all of these  
2 different varying testimony -- The one consistent theme  
3 has been that the Santa Ana and flats of Anaheim were not  
4 just we want to be paired together, but we are a  
5 community of interest. The other one that we've heard  
6 consistently has been Little Saigon. Everything else has  
7 really been a lot of different configurations and match-  
8 ups. And so I think the second visualization tries to  
9 stay true to both the -- only two things that we have  
10 heard consistently in this area. And in fact, that one  
11 email by Commissioner Ward is -- I -- It's funny. I have  
12 that one starred too because it was the only one I had  
13 about Anaheim. When I really tried to go back and look  
14 at, you know, Anaheim whole emails. And that was the  
15 same one I had found, the one I had a found. So I -- I  
16 think we should be trying to keep together as many -- In  
17 these senate districts that are very large -- And I  
18 agree, this -- This has a real partisan feel to it. And  
19 I want us to stay away from that.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Parvenu, two  
21 minutes.

22 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I'm listening to both  
23 sides of this discussion and very cogent arguments or  
24 viewpoints, I mean, in both directions. I'm especially  
25 moved by Commissioner Aguirre's discussion about

1 socioeconomic factors here. And I'm about to ask Q2 a --  
2 ask a request of Q2. We've not done this before. But in  
3 this particular situation, is there a layer showing  
4 socioeconomic -- not social, but -- income levels that we  
5 can transpose on this and density, in terms of densities?

6 **MS. MACDONALD:** We don't have a layer like that  
7 prepared and --

8 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** No? You don't?

9 **MS. MACDONALD:** -- And actually, the data that  
10 are out there are quite difficult to use. I mean,  
11 they're --

12 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Difficult to use?

13 **MS. MACDONALD:** -- They have some issues.

14 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Okay. That addresses my  
15 matter. Thank you.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Yao, two  
17 minutes?

18 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Thank you. Four very minor  
19 points. First of all, I think we talked about this term  
20 that we had used early on in the discussion about sharing  
21 the pain. And I hear fragment of that coming back into  
22 the discussion. I think we already rule saying share the  
23 pain is not a viable way of making decision in term of us  
24 joining the map. In other word, we did this in a -- in  
25 the assembly map; therefore, we should do this other

1 option just so that we minimize the offense to anybody.  
2 So I think we basically have to decision based on the --  
3 based on the facts before us, the community of interest.

4           Secondly is that I think we need to be consistent  
5 in -- outside of just the sharing in the pain issue.  
6 What I have heard in -- in this area is the -- the  
7 quality of the testimony, that not only from the number  
8 of emails, but the -- the passion that people are giving  
9 it, as well as the time that this Commission have  
10 discussed these -- these community of interest. The  
11 Anaheim and Santa Ana, I think, has dominated most of the  
12 community of interest discussion. So on that basis, I  
13 think we have to -- to give that a lot of credit. And on  
14 that basis, I favor Option 2 over the Option 4 that's  
15 before us at this point.

16           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. We're going to  
17 bring an end to this. So we have Option 4 on the table  
18 here. I would like to have a show of hands. Before we  
19 do that, I'll give the lead one more comment. You have  
20 half a minute.

21           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Thank you, Chair. There's  
22 numerous places in the State that we've respected a COI  
23 at one level and not another. Our record is littered  
24 with them. I do agree that there is a partisan component  
25 to this and I think that was evidenced by the people that

1 showed up from Santa Ana yesterday and gave us maps with  
2 partisan data all over it. The bottom line is there's  
3 been -- There is more than a Vietnamese and a Latino  
4 community in Orange County. There was a map submitted by  
5 municipalities and inputs from people throughout it that  
6 link City reasons, that link quality of life issues  
7 beyond just those two COI's. So I want to -- the -- the  
8 Commission to keep that in mind, please.

9           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Dai, you only  
10 have half a minute, but --

11           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** It's just very quick.

12           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

13           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I just wanted to point out  
14 that Commissioner Ward, I think, has been really good  
15 about challenging speakers on maps that have partisan  
16 data and that's happened a number of times. And each  
17 time the speaker has pointed out -- and I would -- I  
18 would say this is from people from different parties too  
19 -- that the maps were printed out from Redistricting  
20 Partner site and that's just part of the information that  
21 they provided. So it wasn't something that they created.

22           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Thank you,  
23 Commissioner Ward, for your passion and for those closing  
24 remarks. All those in favor for Option 4 raise your  
25 hands. We've got one, two, three. All right, that

1 option fails. You want to go back to Option 2?

2 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No. Yes.

3 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you, Filkins-Webber,  
4 for your passion. All right, so let's go over that one  
5 more time so that we can have an up or down vote on it?

6 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Let's -- Can I ask that the  
7 suggestions that I made and Commissioner DiGuilio  
8 suggested be incorporated to see what happens, which was  
9 to -- My practical suggestion -- And I would open to  
10 comments -- would be to bring the Anaheim down to the  
11 freeway.

12 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Wait. Can I --

13 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I'm sorry, ma'am. You  
14 can't see the freeway on this map, but it's --

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** You --

16 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** -- It's --

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Mappers, can you give us a  
18 more decent map?

19 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** And like -- And there's  
20 like --

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. With your pointer --

22 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I got it in my hand. It's  
23 in my hands. But the 5, I think, runs somewhere like  
24 roughly there. So, to trade this out for Cypress, if  
25 that works.

1           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah, I think I -- The only  
2 caveat to that is I would suggest that I think Stan and I  
3 going at the same thing from two different ends. I -- My  
4 thought was to take this out first and then see how far  
5 down you'd have to go. Because as Commissioner Aguirre  
6 said, maybe there's a responsible split, but we don't  
7 know where that split or it's responsible until we know  
8 like what population we're dealing with.

9           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** And may I ask  
10 a question? Is this --

11           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** What's the point?

12           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- This is to  
13 -- just for compact for your --

14           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Well, if you just --

15           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- sense of --

16           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- compact this, they all  
17 seem --

18           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** --  
19 compactness?

20           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** There was testimony about  
21 connecting this -- Commissioner Filkins-Webber made  
22 reference to that and I do recall it myself, that the  
23 Garden Grove, Stanton, Cypress. So without disrupting  
24 this part of it --

25           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And I think for

1 myself is I didn't -- I didn't necessarily recall this --  
2 that testimony. I think Commissioner Dai spoke to that a  
3 little bit. There was the Cypress, Los Alamitos,  
4 Rossmoor, Seal Beach that was there. So that was where I  
5 was going with that, was the -- connect Cypress down  
6 there a little more.

7 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Actually, there was  
8 conflicting -- I mean, what I mentioned was there's  
9 actually more testimony linking it this way.

10 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Well, there is more, but  
11 since -- since I don't think we're going to move these  
12 two, I'm just trying to connect this and this.

13 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** So I -- Okay. I -- I had  
14 suggested the way to fix the COI was actually to swap  
15 these back again. It has the added advantage of raising  
16 the LCVAP in our -- in our Section 2 district that's  
17 adjacent back to --

18 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** But it does nothing --

19 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- 50 percent.

20 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- here or here.

21 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Right. Because I am trying to  
22 respect this community of interest.

23 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yeah, but I think  
24 the issue with the La Habra -- This is the decision we  
25 made -- right? -- last week. And it was just that they

1 had preferred to be over here. So you know, I think what  
2 happened is when you -- And we hadn't heard anything from  
3 Buena Park. So the idea was to respect La Habra in here.  
4 So we made that decision. Now it's like, well, can you  
5 kind of fix this decision down here to link these back  
6 up?

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Commissioner  
8 Forbes, you want to try that out -- those few spots?

9 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Okay. Well, I'll --

10 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think  
11 Commissioner Blanco had a comment.

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner  
13 Blanco?

14 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** I know we're back on 2 now.  
15 So I still think we need -- I'm just -- want us to  
16 remember we still have the issue with the adjoining  
17 district going under 50 percent now. And I don't want us  
18 to lose sight of that, and that this visualization has  
19 that impact, which I am very concerned about.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Put that in the back  
21 of your --

22 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And -- Excuse me.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- minds.

24 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Wilkins-Webber?

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** On that point  
2 alone, last weekend there wasn't a concern when the  
3 Compton/Carson District dropped to 49 percent. So I  
4 would just like to recognize that we had a discussion  
5 about that issue when we were trying to consider  
6 communities of interest. And so that occurred in that  
7 area. And so if we want to maintain consistency, as  
8 Commissioner Yao pointed out that he desires at this  
9 point and as I've tried to maintain, the consistency here  
10 between what we did in Los Angeles and what Commissioner  
11 Blanco supported regarding the Compton District would be  
12 going on here as well. And Mr. Brown had already stated  
13 before dropping -- For a totality of the circumstances,  
14 dropping from 50 percent to 49.6, I don't think, is that  
15 significant here based on the totality of circumstances  
16 because we have overwhelming testimony that puts La Habra  
17 into here. And so, again, if we're -- If we're  
18 recognizing a potential totality of circumstances, 49.6  
19 LCVAP over here and because of what the rejection of  
20 Option 4 was, this clause probably going to remain unless  
21 there's some other suggestion. But that seems to be --  
22 This configuration between La Habra to Orange County is  
23 consistent. And I'm just asking my fellow commissioners  
24 to be consistent in their arguments based on differences  
25 in regions and the -- based on the drop of this LCVAP in

1 the neighboring --

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I will --

3 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- district.

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- I will entertain a short  
5 discussion on that philosophical --

6 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Okay.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- note. That's an --

8 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** So --

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- important issue.

10 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- Since it was my -- I  
11 think this is directed at me. My comment about Compton,  
12 if we go back, you'll find it on the record, was that  
13 because the adjoining districts were all Section 2  
14 districts, that that was not a concern. And so I want to  
15 put that in context. This is not adjoining a Section 2  
16 district.

17 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So now,  
18 commissioners we have not heard from on this district,  
19 one minute; commissioners we have heard from, 30 seconds.  
20 We've spent quite a bit of time. This is our first  
21 district of the day, let me remind you.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any others want to comment  
23 who have not spoken? Okay. So --

24 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Wait. Can I -- I'm sorry

25 --

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- hence --

2           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- Can I just ask one  
3 question about that issue, if we're still on it? What  
4 happened with the -- So it's my understanding that  
5 Commissioner Filkins -- And I don't remember the  
6 discussion. But Commissioner Filkins-Webber said that  
7 the -- part of the reason it dropped because we when have  
8 this La Habra/Buena Park as it is right now on a State  
9 thing, it is at 50 percent. So it's at 50 percent in the  
10 configuration we have. What we had changed and why it  
11 dropped was when we put Lakewood in. Yeah, it's 50 -- On  
12 our map -- On our map right now, this configuration with  
13 Buena Park where it is and La Habra where it is, it's at  
14 50 percent.

15           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** On the interactive  
16 map, it shows 50 percent. You're correct, Commissioner  
17 DiGuilio, with this configuration.

18           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Okay. So -- So my  
19 question is, if that was the case, if by adding Lakewood  
20 it diluted that district -- hence, dropping the LC -- I  
21 mean, there's two ways to do this, right? You can either  
22 add more Latinos or you can take away more --

23           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Time.

24           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- non-Latinos. So --

25           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Time.

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- I wanted to know what  
2 happened with Lakewood.

3           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Time.

4           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Why did the timer all of  
5 a sudden start with me?

6           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** So I'm just wondering if  
7 the proposal is to take Cypress out and see if we can  
8 replace it with this.

9           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Okay. Well, (inaudible)  
10 --

11          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** There is a request to switch  
12 Cypress with Garden Grove. Does the Commission want to  
13 do that? Raise your hands.

14          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I'd like to see what  
15 happens.

16          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, you have -- You're  
17 not enough hands. Raise your hands. We've only got four  
18 -- five.

19          **MS. MACDONALD:** I'm sorry. May I? Yes, just --

20          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes.

21          **MS. MACDONALD:** -- ask a question? Okay. So  
22 since this is Option 2 that we're working off of, which  
23 is not in the statewide map at this point, what we need  
24 to do is we need to merge Option 2 into the statewide map  
25 and then we need to make changes off of that.

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Okay.

2           **MS. MACDONALD:** So this is going to take -- So  
3 are we --

4           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** How long will it take you?

5           **MS. MACDONALD:** Five minutes.

6           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Let's -- Let's do  
7 that. Commissioner Dai, Commissioner Parvenu?

8           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. I would love to have  
9 some input from Mr. Brown here about the issue of the  
10 majority minority adjacent district dropping down below  
11 50 percent, given that we've had it in a configuration  
12 that was over 50 percent and it's not adjacent to a  
13 Section -- another Section 2 district. I was --

14           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Excellent point. Let me hold  
15 on that. Commissioner Parvenu?

16           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** That was exactly my  
17 question. I withdraw that.

18           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Mr. Brown?

19           **MR. BROWN:** I think if we had a really brief  
20 closed session, I could do this efficiently.

21           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. A brief closed session  
22 is requested. So if the public can --

23           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Could -- Maybe we could ask,  
24 before we go in, Q2 the difference between what's online,  
25 which has -- This is what I'm just trying to figure out,

1 is why it's different online than what we have here where  
2 online and the Q2 state senate -- Excuse me -- Q2 senate  
3 and State, the LAPRW with the La Habra and Orange and  
4 Buena Park and LA, is at 50 percent? But there it  
5 wasn't. So I'm just curious what the difference was.

6 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Rounded up, I think.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Q2?

8 **MS. MACDONALD:** We -- We think this is a rounding  
9 error or a rounding -- just a rounding issue, I should  
10 say, not an error.

11 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** (Inaudible)

12 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yeah, because, I mean, we have --  
13 This is the original map layer. But then once you put it  
14 into Google.

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu?

16 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Thank you for answering that  
17 question.

18 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu?

19 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** With respect to our  
20 viewers and those in attendance, what can be discussed  
21 regarding this issue without going into -- in general  
22 terms without actually going into closed session at this  
23 point?

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Well, I think the request is  
25 from Commissioner Dai to get a comment on this scenario

1 from Mr. Brown. Mr. Brown is requesting a short closed  
2 session. And I don't know the basis for that. But it --  
3 That's his request. I think we should follow it.

4 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So at this  
5 time, I think the Commission will adjourn into closed  
6 session pursuant to Government Code 11126(1)(e) to  
7 discuss potential litigation.

8 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** They --

9 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Do they --

10 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- They --

11 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** -- have to leave or --

12 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- I'm sorry.

13 Vice-Chair, as I understand it from Ms. McDonald, Ms.  
14 Boyle still needs time to do this work and now we're  
15 taking her away from this work. So if -- if when she's  
16 finished, we can probably do the closed session because  
17 we'd have to ask her to leave and then we still have a  
18 longer break. I was just wondering if --

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** That -- That would make --

20 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- maybe there's  
21 some --

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- sense.

23 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- other business.

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** No, that makes sense. Go  
25 ahead --

1           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** That's fine.

2           We -- We only have --

3           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Okay.

4           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- one room to  
5           work with today. We don't have access to a second room,  
6           so it -- We can perfectly sequence it that way. But it  
7           feels like we're not going to be able to get much further  
8           in this discussion until we have our closed session with  
9           Mr. Brown.

10          **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** We should let her finish,  
11          though.

12          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yeah. Go ahead and finish  
13          it. We'll wait.

14          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think at  
15          this time we'd like to go ahead and ask members of the  
16          public to please start exiting the room.

17          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Chair -- or Vice' Chair --

18          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes?

19          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- Should the public be  
20          removing their personal belonging as well?

21          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes, please.

22          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes, please. Public, please  
23          remove your personal belongings. We're going to take a  
24          short closed session break. Yes.

25          **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Okay. Yes.

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** As soon as Q2 is done -- You  
2 can stay as long as they are here. But as soon as  
3 they're done, then you'll have to leave.

4                           **(Off the record)**

5           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, we're -- Okay.  
6 All right, commissioners, we're going to reconvene. So  
7 if we can have a brief summary of our closed session from  
8 Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.

9           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** The Commission  
10 met in closed session to discuss potential litigation  
11 pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(1) [sic].  
12 We have no action to report at this time. We will be  
13 resuming our deliberations regarding a senate seat in  
14 Orange County. And our time procedure moving forward --  
15 We've spent quite a bit of time in this area -- will be  
16 that commissioners each get one minute to say their piece  
17 and then we'll be moving forward towards some action.

18           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Ms. Boyle, do you want  
19 to show us the change you did?

20           **MS. BOYLE:** Basically, I moved -- adjusted Option  
21 1 so that it fit with Option 2. Would you like to see  
22 old Option 1?

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Please.

24           **MS. BOYLE:** Just a moment, please.

25           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** May I ask for a

1 clarification?

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Raya?

3           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** What Ms. Boyle just referred  
4 to as Option 1 is the -- what we were previously  
5 discussing as Option 2? No?

6           **MS. MACDONALD:** No. Actually, Option 1 was what  
7 was in the -- was integrated in the State plan. And what  
8 she did is she took the Option 2, which was the option  
9 that you wanted to work with, and she essentially merged  
10 that into the State plan so that that's what we're now  
11 working off of.

12           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Okay. So it's Option 2.  
13 Okay.

14           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Is that clear? Okay,  
15 point that -- I'm sorry. Commissioner DiGuillio?

16           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** So it seems like we have  
17 to do two things. We have to go back to revisit the  
18 issue that Commissioner Blanco brought up and -- about  
19 the CVAP numbers in the LAPVRW or something -- I can't  
20 see from here. Click on it. Which one is it? LAPRW.  
21 And then we have to make a decision about that. And then  
22 we can go back to what the original discussion was about.  
23 That sound like a --

24           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I agree.

25           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- plan?

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I agree. I agree. So let's  
2 start with that philosophy, that discussion.

3 Commissioner Blanco, you want to take the lead on that?

4           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Yes, we looked at the  
5 numbers, I think all of us. And it's at -- I don't know  
6 what's going to happen with this merge that we're going  
7 to look at in a few minutes. But I believe that it's at  
8 49.6. My sense is that all along we've known that the  
9 CVAP numbers are somewhat -- we keep getting told  
10 somewhat unreliable and that sometimes a -- what's a 49.6  
11 might be a 50 or a -- you know, or of course, it could be  
12 a 48. They're -- They're just not --

13           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** You know, it would be --

14           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- reliable.

15           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- helpful if you grabbed  
16 your pointer and you think you might help us on this  
17 thing.

18           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Oh, no. I'm not -- I'm not  
19 drawing anything. I'm just discussing the CVAP number.

20           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

21           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** And -- And whether we're  
22 comfortable that, even though we had had this in previous  
23 visualizations with La Habra out and it was at 52  
24 percent, whether we feel comfortable going below 50 to  
25 49.6. And that's the issue that we just have to do a

1 show of hands on is whether we feel comfortable with it.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Anyone else want  
3 to add to that? Okay. Commissioner Aguirre?

4 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yeah, I'll chime in and  
5 say that I would feel more comfortable if we were to  
6 bring it up to 50 or more, 50.1 at least. There's  
7 already a loss of a congressional district within our  
8 visualizations --

9 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Senate.

10 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Senate, yes. So I think  
11 that to minimize our exposure to litigation, I think that  
12 if we were to bring it up to 50.1 percent at least, that  
13 that would help us. So.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner DiGuilio?

15 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Oh. I think if the -- If  
16 it's the Commission's will to try and make it at 50  
17 percent or higher, then that's probably the -- the choice  
18 that we have to make. I think we've heard overwhelming  
19 testimony from La Habra that's not their choice. But if  
20 this is Section 2, you know, I feel like that's -- I feel  
21 like that's a sad sacrifice for La Habra for .4 percent.  
22 But, you know, if we have to do it, then we can do it.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Filkins-Webber. Let's stay  
24 in the cue here. Filkins -- Filkins-Webber, then Barabba  
25 and who else? And then Dai.

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Commissioner  
2 DiGuilio, we don't have to. And that's the entire point.  
3 We have a circumstance here where the totality of  
4 circumstances that supports every border of this  
5 district, in addition to maintaining County lines and in  
6 addition to recognizing the community of interest  
7 testimony that -- that we've received from La Habra. I  
8 recognize the contention of wanting to, quote, minimize  
9 exposure, close quote. Those are terms that I use quite  
10 oftentimes in my practice as well. Going from 50 or even  
11 a 52 to a 49.6 does not increase our exposure, based on  
12 the totality of circumstances that we need to recognize  
13 under Gingles and the VRA considerations. I don't find  
14 that a 49.6 minimizes the number of senate districts. In  
15 fact, this senate district could be included among  
16 whatever count anybody is trying to do of our districts.  
17 And I feel that, based on the totality of circumstances,  
18 the fast of amount of information we have received from  
19 La Habra, the rest of Orange County --

20           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Time.

21           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- and this area  
22 will be sufficient to warrant this current configuration.

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Barabba?

24           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Yeah. I would point out  
25 that this district is 51 plus. This district is 51 plus.

1 And in the context, this, then, are three districts --  
2 These are three districts that certainly would encourage  
3 the thought of a Latino district. If we're going to  
4 change, maybe we just switch a little bit out of this  
5 district and a little bit out of this district and we can  
6 get it up to a 50 percent, if that's necessary, rather  
7 than going over to La Habra.

8 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Raya?

9 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Well, you know, obviously, I  
10 would share a concern about the LAPRW district. But  
11 honestly, at this point, my priority is protecting the  
12 low income residents in the senate configuration that  
13 we're talking about in Orange County.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Anyone else? Dai?

15 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I mean, I think that as we've  
16 talked about before with unreliability of CVAP numbers,  
17 you know, 49.6 and 50 percent are pretty close. We  
18 could, as I had mentioned before, boost it to 50 by  
19 swapping La Habra and Buena Park. I -- As I pointed out  
20 before, there is conflicting testimony and argument for  
21 making the switch. It is that it would boost it to 50  
22 and La Habra is in OC in the other two maps, you know.  
23 An argument for leaving it this way is there is a  
24 community of interest among Artesia, Cerritos, actually  
25 La Palma and -- and Buena Park. So that's a

1 consideration. That's the reason we made the switch in  
2 the first place. So I just want to remind everyone of  
3 that.

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** DiGuilio?

5 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Can we -- Is there any  
6 way to look at what Commissioner Barabba had said about  
7 instead of the switch that we look at other places that  
8 are higher on their CVAP and -- and do some switches?

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** A show of hands that want to  
10 pursue that. One -- Higher. One, two, three, four,  
11 five, six -- Okay, seven. Let's -- How do you want to do  
12 that, Barabba? Give us some -- Give the mapper some idea  
13 what --

14 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think  
15 procedurally we've been using nine as the threshold by  
16 which we move forward. So I just want to make sure that  
17 we have nine.

18 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Show of hands one more time.  
19 Raise them up high. One, two, three, four, five, six,  
20 seven, eight, nine.

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Okay.

22 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** I would think that we  
23 could turn it over to Q2 and have them look at a Hispanic  
24 layer and see if there's districts that are adjacent to  
25 it from either LALBS, I guess it is, or --

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Use your pointer.

2           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** If there's any districts  
3 that -- that are adjacent here that could be switched or  
4 any -- or any areas that are adjacent here that could be  
5 switched. But I -- I'm still of the opinion that we  
6 could go with it as it is. I was just saying that if we  
7 are forced to change it, rather than taking it from La  
8 Habra, we should take it from the other areas. My  
9 preference would be to leave it as it is.

10           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** The maker of the suggestion  
11 is changing his mind. I don't know if the rest of you  
12 are.

13           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** No. I -- He's not  
14 changing his mind. He's saying if you -- if the -- if  
15 the Commission wants to get it to 50, rather than taking  
16 it from La Habra, take it from the other two.

17           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right.

18           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Which will probably require --  
19 Is there anyplace that's already been split that we could  
20 change the split because I'd imagine --

21           **MS. BOYLE:** Lakewood is split and Lakewood is  
22 pulling down the LCVAP on LAPRW. We could potentially  
23 put Commerce with the LAPRW and then pull 12,000 people  
24 out of the Lakewood split. And that will pull this down  
25 a little bit. This would pull this down. I'm not sure

1 how much. But it would raise this one.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let's try it. Don't know  
3 until we try it.

4 **MS. BOYLE:** Both -- Both districts are back  
5 within deviation if I move this red area into the LALBS  
6 and they'll both be above 50 percent now.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Oh. Excellent.

8 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Okay. So  
9 could we see a show of hands of commissioners who are  
10 interested in the current configuration that we're seeing  
11 regarding the two 50 percent majority minority districts?

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Good, good. Let's make the  
13 change. Move on.

14 **MS. BOYLE:** Change is made. What district would  
15 the Commission like to go to now, Orange -- back to  
16 Orange County?

17 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes, we do  
18 need to resolve the Orange County District. Be willing  
19 to entertain a suggestion? Commissioner Dai?

20 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Well, I had always advocated  
21 for Option 2, so I am advocating for staying with Option  
22 2. I was certainly open to hearing if there were any  
23 improvements. We did get some testimony from -- from  
24 CAPAFR saying that the -- you know, that -- again,  
25 reiterating the community of interest testimony that we

1 had heard from the beginning, again that links the  
2 Cypress, Buena Park, Fullerton and Brea. Given the  
3 Commission's chosen not to switch back between Buena Park  
4 and La Habra, there was also -- That community, which has  
5 also included Artesia, Cerritos and Buena Park at their  
6 least in clusters together. So I think this is a -- a  
7 reasonable compromise actually for all of the different  
8 communities.

9           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Comments? Commissioner  
10 Barabba?

11           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** I would suggest we get a -  
12 - determine if the Commission is willing to go with  
13 Option 2 as it is.

14           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Raise your hands, well, if  
15 we're going with Option 2 as it is. High. Raise them  
16 high. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight,  
17 nine. We've got nine.

18           **COMMISSIONER WARD:** That's it.

19           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let's go forward as is.

20           **MS. MACDONALD:** So that means that we're done  
21 with senate. And so we should -- Does this mean we  
22 should load up the congressional plan?

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes.

24           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes.

25           **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay.

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Immediately.

2           **MS. MACDONALD:** And since Tamina is not here yet,  
3 I would suggest that we start in Southern California and  
4 move --

5           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes.

6           **MS. MACDONALD:** -- our way up north.

7           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Fine. You going to take  
8 maybe five minutes?

9           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** No.

10          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** No?

11          **MS. MACDONALD:** No, we're ready to go.

12          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** You're so good.

13          **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** It's the question -- Just  
14 to confirm, we're looking at -- Online it's the July 19<sup>th</sup>  
15 11:58 p.m. Q2 Congress State; is that correct?

16          **MS. BOYLE:** That's correct.

17          **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Okay. Thank you.

18          **MS. MACDONALD:** So is there a particular district  
19 you'd like to start with?

20          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Look at the street  
21 -- or the City name.

22          **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** I like the Friedrich Stock  
23 Southeast, whatever that is.

24          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let's just start on the very  
25 bottom here. What's -- This is -- What is this on the

1 bottom, on the border?

2 **MS. MACDONALD:** Just one second.

3 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And we'll work out way up.

4 Okay, everybody gets a minute as we go through each

5 district, all right? Okay, so describe this.

6 **MS. BOYLE:** This is the IMSAN District.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. It covers all of

8 Imperial County and then it moves on to the southern

9 portion of San Diego County, basically follows Highway 8,

10 about midway through. Then it drops down towards the

11 southern end of the County. And then it takes up a very

12 densely populated area between 805 and 5. And we'll

13 reach our numbers. So I -- I don't see how we can make

14 any changes to this. So I would go along with this.

15 Comments? Okay. All those in favor, raise your hands.

16 No change. Move on.

17 **MS. MACDONALD:** So should we move to the CHNCS

18 District?

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes. And again, it's -- It's

20 a good compact district, covers a lot of COI testimony in

21 keeping API communities together. We've made some

22 changes here, adjusted to bring in the LGBT communities.

23 And we've got -- I see Linda Vista in this area here as

24 well. And -- And looks good to me. Any comments? All

25 those in favor, raise your hands. Okay, no change. Move

1 on.

2 **MS. MACDONALD:** The next district is MMRHB.

3 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And this district basically  
4 follows the boundary lines of the City of San Diego and  
5 it includes a lot of the API communities in the northern  
6 part of the County. And it goes down and hugs the coast  
7 all the way down to the harbor area, so it captures a lot  
8 of the maritime and waterfront activities. And I think  
9 this is a good district. Comments? All those in favor,  
10 raise your hands. Okay, no change. Move on.

11 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay, we'll move over to the  
12 NESAN District.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And this district looks good.  
14 It brings together all of the East County cities together  
15 and moves its way up to Riverside County, which brings in  
16 the required population to make up that district. And  
17 this is a good district, in my mind. Comments? All  
18 those in favor, raise your hands..

19 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry. Just  
20 had a question.

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yes?

22 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** If you can just  
23 remind me as to the split at Temecula?

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** If you can blow that section  
25 up?

1           **MS. MACDONALD:** Would you like me to read off the  
2 boundaries or --

3           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No. I'm sorry.  
4 Just percentages. I apologize. Because I understand  
5 it's a city split.

6           **MS. MACDONALD:** Oh, okay. Just one second.

7           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I just don't recall  
8 the percentage. That's all.

9           **MS. BOYLE:** So NESAN, the N-E-S-A-N district  
10 includes 80,387 people from Temecula, with the remaining  
11 portion of the 100,097 in the district to the north,  
12 which is the PRS District.

13           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Commissioner?

14           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Just for the  
15 record, up in this area there are quite a number of  
16 neighborhood splits. But we are at the congressional  
17 level, where we have only a one person deviation. So  
18 just for the record, we do recognize -- I think there's a  
19 school right there, which, again, as far as population  
20 deviation goes, I'm not certain that we can help much  
21 with this. And I would like Ms. Boyle or Ms. MacDonald,  
22 one or the other, just to confirm for the members of the  
23 public and the concerns of this City and the residents of  
24 this City that we really do have to inter-mingle, you  
25 know, and make these kind of crazy lines because we have

1 to get to a one person deviation. Is that correct, Ms.  
2 MacDonald?

3 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yes, that's correct.

4 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** So when we have  
5 that type of strict deviation, we do have to cut into  
6 neighborhood where one neighbor, you know, living across  
7 the street might be in one district and then in this case  
8 they might be in a district that'll be going towards San  
9 Diego. Is that correct?

10 **MS. MACDONALD:** That's correct.

11 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Thank you. And  
12 this is not peculiar -- one more question -- to this  
13 particular -- to the City of Temecula. It's actually  
14 happening in various cities throughout the State of  
15 California.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And a lot of communities in  
17 southern -- southern part of San Diego County is facing  
18 the same issue.

19 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yes. It's actually happening all  
20 over California and all over the nation.

21 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Thank you.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** But thank you for bringing  
23 that up. Okay. I think we voted on this, right?

24 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** No.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Did we? Show of hands, all

1 those in favor? All right. Move on. No change. And  
2 this last congressional district in San Diego County  
3 covers the north coastal areas of the County, brings in  
4 all of the small beach communities and works its way up  
5 all the way to Camp Pendleton. And I think this is a  
6 good map. All those in favor, unless you have any  
7 questions? Commissioner Filkins-Webber or Mr. Ward?  
8 Dai, you had a question?

9           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Oh, I was just going to make a  
10 comment. One of the things that we thought was good in  
11 this district is the nuclear power plant is -- San Onofre  
12 -- is in there with -- around -- surrounded by  
13 communities that may be affected by that. Also this was  
14 an incarnation of the districts where we were able to get  
15 Rancho Santa Fe and Fairbanks Ranch in with the North  
16 County District, which is one of the things they  
17 requested was North Coast.

18           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you. Any other  
19 comments?

20           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Dana Point is whole.

21           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All those in favor, raise  
22 your hands. All right, no change. Let's move on.

23           **MS. MACDONALD:** Should we move north or would you  
24 like to move east?

25           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Filkins-Webber, which way

1 would you prefer?

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Go east.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Anyway --

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I'd like to go --

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- you pick.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- east.

7 MS. MACDONALD: Okay. Let's go to SOACH.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Looks great. Never  
9 been a change, as far as I --

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. Raise your --

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- know. So --

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- hands.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- we haven't  
14 changed it in the iterations, I don't even think from the  
15 draft map, frankly.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: No change. Move on.

17 MS. MACDONALD: The next one --

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: There should be --  
19 I'm sorry. There should be one other fact I should put  
20 on the record, that San Jacinto-Hemet Action Group, we do  
21 recognize their submission. We have considered the  
22 submission and, essentially, just knowing the region and  
23 -- and my fellow commissioners and some of their  
24 concerns, the San Jacinto area had proposed what would've  
25 amounted to a County split with Imperial, a County split

1 with San Diego and splitting quite a number of other  
2 smaller cities at the congressional level. So we did  
3 consider their submission and we recognize some  
4 geographic issues, you know, boundaries. But again,  
5 we're still within County. And respecting at every other  
6 level that we're going to see here, the Riverside County  
7 lines, with the exception of Temecula, and that comes  
8 down to a population issue. So I want that on the  
9 record, that we have considered that. And in this  
10 configuration, Hemet and San Jacinto are whole, as well  
11 as East Hemet and Valle Vista, which they were concerned  
12 with as well. Is that correct, Ms. MacDonald? I think  
13 these -- All these cities are whole right here.

14 **MS. MACDONALD:** We can double check.

15 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Any other  
16 commissioners?

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Others?

18 **MS. BOYLE:** Well, on San Jacinto, Hemet and Valle  
19 Vista and this East Hemet are all -- all appear to be  
20 whole.

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Thank you.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any others? Any questions?

23 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Marvelous task,  
24 given we're at zero deviation.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All those in favor, raise

1 your hands. All right, no change. Move on.

2 **MS. BOYLE:** We'll move to the PRS District.

3 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Just making sure  
4 there was no changes. Again, this came down to a  
5 population issue with Temecula and San Diego. And we --  
6 We do recognize that. And this accurately reflects the  
7 community of interest testimony that we have from  
8 Eastvale-Norco-Corona School District between the 215  
9 corridor and also this is a resulting district by  
10 recognize the community of interest that we have  
11 maintained and respected with Riverside, Moreno Valley  
12 and the Paris area and the new city of East Vale. So  
13 this is a resulting district for population purposes, as  
14 well as recognizing community of interest on these two  
15 valley areas.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Raise your hands. All right,  
17 no change. Move on.

18 **MS. MACDONALD:** Should we finish Riverside by  
19 going to RV --

20 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** MV, yes.

21 **MS. MACDONALD:** RVMVN.

22 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Again, another  
23 circumstance where we are respecting and keeping the  
24 brand new city of Jurupa Valley whole, City of Riverside  
25 whole, City of Moreno Valley, which is consistent with

1 their testimony. This is the only configuration, other  
2 than, I think, maybe the senate. But we've been able to  
3 keep the two of them together, respecting a community of  
4 interest testimony we have with March Air Force Base,  
5 Moreno Valley, Riverside and Paris on their joint powers  
6 agreement. And the -- And I don't think that there's  
7 been much in the way of any change in configuration from  
8 it, other than maybe the draft map, which I think we may  
9 not have put them together. But we corrected that.

10 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Raise your hands. No change.  
11 Move on.

12 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry. Let me  
13 -- I just want to check on one other --

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Sure.

15 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- detail.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Go ahead.

17 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. Which district would you  
18 like to go to next?

19 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry. Just  
20 wanted to just confirm a detail. If you can close in  
21 right in that area right there? This came from the City  
22 Manager of Norco and I just wanted to make sure  
23 (inaudible) --

24 **MS. BOYLE:** What was that again? Who was it?

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** The City Manager of

1 Norco and it's on the drop box. It's on the -- And  
2 actually it doesn't matter in this -- the visualization.  
3 Or no, it does. Okay. The -- I never thought that Norco  
4 would've been a border area.

5 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** You've got the -- all the  
6 horses together right?

7 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Hold on. Okay.  
8 It's one -- I didn't -- I got confused by this line. So  
9 this is the -- the border for these two districts. But  
10 they're -- it's all - never mind, never mind.

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Let's move on.

12 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No, wait a minute.  
13 Wait, wait wait. I'm looking at -- Hold on.

14 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Commissioner  
15 Yao?

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yes, I'm -- It's  
17 all green. I need to separate the colors for the  
18 districts so that -- Then I can make sure -- Can we  
19 separate the color for RVMV and the PRS, please?

20 **MS. MACDONALD:** We'll do that right now.

21 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay, that's what  
22 I'm looking for. Thank you. So please move back in this  
23 area here. I just want to -- We're switching through so  
24 many maps here, I just wanted to make sure. The -- We  
25 did receive some input, like I said, from the City

1 Manager in -- And I -- Just for the record, I did know  
2 this personally about the City of Norco. They have a  
3 working relationship at the Federal level for the -- with  
4 the Army Corps of Engineers. This is a river, the Santa  
5 Ana River. And so I had looked a detailed recommendation  
6 to pull this up because we have a lot of equestrian  
7 issues of cleaning out the river here with the Army Corps  
8 of Engineers. The original line came all the way down at  
9 the border of Norco and cut over in and out. And so this  
10 will --

11 **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Time.

12 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- It's also in  
13 accordance with her watershed issue. So I just wanted to  
14 make sure that got cleaned up. Thank you.

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Next?

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No change.

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Next?

18 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Before we leave the San Diego  
19 area too far, I just want to make sure that there's a  
20 real tiny little hook on NESAN, N-E-S-A-N, district  
21 around Fallbrook area, the northwest corner.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Go back down to San Diego.

23 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Yeah, right around there. See  
24 this little hook right there? This -- This may be our  
25 last opportunity to clean up. Is that a city boundary?

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I don't know.

2           **MS. MACDONALD:** It's a -- Well.

3           **MS. BOYLE:** It's not a -- It's a City boundary.

4           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** It doesn't look like -- From  
5 the satellite map, that doesn't look like there's anybody  
6 living there.

7           **MS. BOYLE:** No, it looks like it was just a  
8 remnant of the mapping procedure and that it could easily  
9 go in with the district and it's not part of the City.  
10 The City boundary is here. So she probably picked up the  
11 City and the track of -- Yeah. It can go in the  
12 district.

13           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** All right. Just --

14           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

15           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- clean it up --

16           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** (Inaudible)

17           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- Yeah.

18           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** How in the heck do you see  
19 that?

20           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** It's what happens when you  
21 have an aerospace engineer.

22           **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. Would you like to move to  
23 San Bernardino or to Orange?

24           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** San  
25 Bernardino.

1           **MS. MACDONALD:** This is SB.

2           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Okay. So we can't talk about  
3 SB without also talking about ONTPM. And ONTPM is a  
4 Section 2 district. We did get an alternative of -- We  
5 had some public testimony -- The days are blurring  
6 together -- in the last two days, suggesting we revert  
7 back to, I believe, it was our July 8<sup>th</sup> visualizations.  
8 We did, you know, consider two different alternatives for  
9 this area. One would've made ONTPM the Section 2 and the  
10 second visualization would've made SB the Section 2. The  
11 issues with the SB version, which at first we had  
12 preferred were -- The problems were that it definitely  
13 kind of went over the -- the County line. It took --  
14 took part of Riverside, took Rubidoux and I think Pedley  
15 and Glen Avon. Ultimately, we decided the LCVAP in ONTPM  
16 was higher and this configuration actually better  
17 respects the County lines. But I did want to recognize  
18 the folks who came out to testify and tell the Commission  
19 their preference.

20           I got an interesting suggestion. I haven't had a  
21 chance to discuss this with Commissioner Filkins-Webber  
22 and I wanted to check with the rest of the Commission on  
23 this. We got a piece of testimony suggesting that we  
24 swap Fontana with Rialto --

25           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Time.

1           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Can I finish?

2           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** Yes.

3           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Go ahead, go ahead --

4           **COMMISSION LIAISON SARGIS:** (Inaudible)

5           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- go ahead.

6           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Swap Fontana with Rialto in  
7 the Section 2 district. I want to just briefly read this  
8 and I don't know if -- what the possibility is. But I  
9 just wanted to throw --

10          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Go ahead --

11          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- this out.

12          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Go ahead.

13          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** You're doing a good job with  
14 the Latino congressional district, but you just need to  
15 switch Fontana and Rialto. You should keep Rialto whole  
16 in the Latino congressional district. But if you can't  
17 and you have to divide Rialto, use Interstate 210 as the  
18 line. That freeway divides the City by home values and  
19 by the local economy. You can balance by taking more of  
20 Fontana around the Heritage neighborhood near Foothill  
21 and Interstate 15. Heritage residents in Fontana are  
22 higher income. I would be -- would appreciate being  
23 taken out of that district.

24                 So I'm wondering if Commissioner Filkins-Webber  
25 or anyone else who has more familiarity of that area has

1 any thoughts about that?

2 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I don't know. What  
3 it sounds like is they're recommending a City split of  
4 both Fontana and Rialto. You can see the population  
5 difference between the two. You can't really do a swap  
6 because you're talking about 100,000 people. So if  
7 they're talking about cutting -- The -- If the  
8 recommendation is to split Fontana, they're talking about  
9 doing it at Route 66, Foothill Boulevard, anyway. And --  
10 And I would concur that that -- this area up here is more  
11 closely connected with Rancho Cucamonga. But I -- If  
12 that's where the swap would be and then -- I'm sorry. I  
13 guess I'm confused. They want Rialto to go back with San  
14 Bernardino; correct?

15 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** No, they want Rialto --

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Or --

17 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- to be whole, it says, in  
18 the Latino congressional district. They didn't use a  
19 code here. I'm assuming it's our Section 2 district.

20 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry. Can you  
21 click on Rialto then and see what we've cut out of  
22 Rialto. Okay. So the suggestion is to capture more of  
23 this and then give up this area in Fontana --

24 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Right.

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- to go into --

1 But we might have a contiguity -- I always get that -- We  
2 might have that problem because you're getting really  
3 close up to this unincorporated area that the Inland  
4 Empire African American Group had talked about that we  
5 did include. So we included the unincorporated in this  
6 ebony triangle area. So I'm a little concerned if we're  
7 cutting it off here. We may not be able to get -- If we  
8 cut it off at Fontana up here and try and include the  
9 rest of Rialto, we're going to have a problem. That's  
10 all I'm suggesting, unless we jump over the foothills  
11 here, which I was going to talk about this issue up here  
12 later. But.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. So you don't see this  
14 proposal working?

15 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Well, I just -- I'm  
16 -- We could -- It -- It is explorable, certainly. I --  
17 I'm -- I believe everything that they -- that's in that  
18 submission is consistent with my knowledge and  
19 understanding of the area. It's just if you added this  
20 into the ON -- Maybe I'm getting confused.

21 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** ONTPM.

22 **MS. BOYLE:** ONTPM.

23 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay. Okay. I  
24 just want -- Well, Rialto's already in there. So they  
25 want to make Rialto whole and put it in ONTPM?

1           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Right --

2           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Correct?

3           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- And then cut out and  
4 exchange it for a population in Fontana in the -- in the  
5 Heritage area.

6           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yeah, the only  
7 thing you can probably do is just extend the line then.  
8 You're going to be jumping over this portion of Rialto.  
9 So that would be the result here, is jumping over this  
10 area of Rialto when we had already received testimony  
11 that this area should be with Rialto in this --

12           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Commissioner  
13 Raya?

14           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** . -- district.  
15 That's all.

16           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** We also had a request from  
17 Rancho Cucamonga to have the line -- their Foothill line  
18 opened up so that they're in the -- their Foothill area  
19 is included --

20           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** With the mountains?

21           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- And -- Yeah. Sorry. Open  
22 to have that go up. And if you -- If you could pull the  
23 map down just a little bit, we still have the Mount Baldy  
24 issue up there. So I don't know if, Commissioner Dai and  
25 Commissioner Filkins-Webber, if that line were moved up,

1 say somewhere alone here so you have -- Mount Baldy's  
2 going to go west anyway when you make --

3 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Right. So we'll lose --

4 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- When you make Mount --

5 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- some people.

6 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- Baldy whole. So I don't  
7 know if there's a way to bring -- just bring that line up  
8 somehow like this. Would that address this question you  
9 were having in this area if you wanted to make that other  
10 change?

11 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yeah. Commissioner  
12 Raya, that was the next I was going to propose because  
13 you're absolutely right. Keeping this Foothill area with  
14 Rancho Cucamonga would be good. The only slight issue is  
15 this corner right here. So even if we did add all this  
16 in, we'll still -- We'll make it contiguous to this area  
17 here. It's just that they said this little corner was  
18 supposed to be in this district. So it seems kind of  
19 weird. It's a little inconsistent.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, let me -- Let me  
21 ask --

22 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** That's all. But --

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- the Commission. Do you  
24 want to pursue this? Raise your hands.

25 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I think it's worth looking at

1 it --

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: It's workable (inaudible) --

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- I think that it will

4 actually increase the LCVAP, so.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. So you want to make

6 the change, Q2?

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: So the

8 recommendation would be to go ahead and take all of --

9 rest of Rialto that you -- which I'm assuming is only

10 where that dotted line is at the little triangle there --

11 include that into ONTPM and then we'll take a look at

12 where the necessary split would be at Foothill Boulevard

13 going north. And then we'll have to move this line up as

14 well.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Chair?

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Barabba?

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: If I read that correctly,

18 the CVAP is at 51.9 already; correct?

19 MS. MACDONALD: Correct.

20 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. Thank you.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: We're watching that

22 too, Commissioner Barabba. So we'll see -- We'll see

23 what happens. Actually we're going to be taking out kind

24 of a more affluent area of Fontana --

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Just --

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- right in there.

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- There's -- Just direct the  
3 mappers.

4           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I just did.

5           **MS. MACDONALD:** Could I just point something out?  
6 I just want to make sure that everybody's going to be  
7 patient because we will have to balance this district  
8 down to one person. So this is a considerably longer  
9 process than what we've done in the past.

10          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** By the way --

11          **MS. MACDONALD:** In the past --

12          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- this --

13          **MS. MACDONALD:** -- districts.

14          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- This testimony was from a  
15 gentleman from Fontana.

16          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** May I ask a question of the  
17 commissioners that worked on this area? Is this change  
18 based on the -- Well, do you -- Do you have more than one  
19 person's testimony about this -- this particular kind of  
20 change? And do you think that making this change will  
21 continue to respect the other COI's in the area?

22          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** If I'm not  
23 mistaken, I think it was -- Erica had mentioned it  
24 yesterday on behalf of AARC. And I think this was their  
25 recommendation as well. And -- And consistent with the

1 Inland Empire African American Redistricting Coalition.  
2 That's my understanding. So I don't think it's just one.  
3 I -- It -- I think actually there might've been another  
4 email that was separate and apart from Inland Empire  
5 Redistricting Coalition. But as I understand it, this  
6 would be consistent with them as well, so.

7 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Actually, I think the -- I'm  
8 looking back in my notes. They -- They had actually  
9 wanted to swap to the -- what we had previously called  
10 Option 2, which made the San Bernardino District the  
11 Section 2. And that was our -- As I mentioned before,  
12 that was what we favored originally. But it -- It caused  
13 other problems as it turned out because I think it  
14 depended on -- on losing Mono and Inyo, which we  
15 ultimately, as I said, was gifted back to us. So it  
16 caused other problems. And we went with Option 1  
17 instead, so just to correct that on the record. And --  
18 And I didn't see a flood of emails, but this was a very  
19 thoughtful one. And it -- It talked about socioeconomic  
20 differences. So I thought it was worth investigating.

21 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And it's certainly  
22 consistent with my knowledge of this area too on a  
23 socioeconomic basis and demographics. So I don't think  
24 we need to be all that concerned that it might be just  
25 one person influencing the district. I think it's

1 multiple.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Complete?

3 **MS. BOYLE:** So I -- I moved Rialto into the  
4 Ontario Pomona. And how did the Commission want to  
5 proceed with the rest of the visualization?

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Direct the mappers.

7 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** That you would take  
8 the line for the foothills and just kind of go -- Where -  
9 - You might want to put up the census track, make sure  
10 we're -- if we're dealing with a population issue. But  
11 it was to put more of the foothills with the Rancho  
12 Cucamonga District, kind of cutting it across. Or  
13 Commissioner Raya, you were up here kind of going at an  
14 angle?

15 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Well, it -- It does -- Or I'm  
16 sorry. Doesn't look -- third time. I don't think it  
17 necessarily matters, as long as you're not picking up --  
18 I'm assuming you want to leave those, like Lytle Creek  
19 and Wrightwood where they are?

20 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** You don't want to have to  
21 balance them out basically?

22 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Right, right. And you know,  
23 I don't think in this one we had corrected for Mount  
24 Baldy; is that correct?

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Not yet --

1           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Because we --

2           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- Not yet.

3           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** No. We had looked at that  
4 assembly and some level --

5           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah.

6           **MS. MACDONALD:** Yes, we did.

7           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Actually, let's let Ms. Boyle  
8 know about that because that might affect her decision as  
9 to where to go with this district.

10          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Yeah, there should be a  
11 little piece of Mount Baldy somewhere right in there.

12          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Little tiny community right on  
13 the County line.

14          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** And if you recall, it was  
15 split also in the senate and assembly. And we pushed it  
16 all over to the Los --

17          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Well --

18          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- Angeles side.

19          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** And I just want to state for  
20 the record that we were able to respect the ebony  
21 triangle in the assembly and senate districts and since  
22 we were not able to do it in the congressional.

23          **MS. MACDONALD:** Is that the proper location?

24          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Yes, it is.

25          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry. And  
2 we're taking them out and putting them over here? I  
3 don't remember what's it --

4           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Yeah.

5           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- worded. Okay.

6           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** It's just that they --

7           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Because then I have  
8 one --

9           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- The City was --

10          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- other comment.

11          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- split and it's so tiny.

12          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And then I just --

13          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** And we --

14          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- have one other --

15 -

16          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- And we got many, many notes  
17 about it.

18          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay.

19          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** We're just going to have to go  
20 back over to that district because it's got a hundred and  
21 something too many now by putting Mount Baldy into it.

22          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Can I --

23          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yeah, what I want --  
24 - I still -- We can't isolate Lytle Creek from the rest  
25 of its environmental concerns right in here. So if -- If

1 we were -- Wherever the census tracks are, if we were  
2 looking at it this way.

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I'd like to see a  
4 closer look at that bottleneck there, that --

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Where? Right here?

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- small -- Yeah. No,  
7 right --

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Where --

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Point it out with your --

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- (inaudible)

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Oh, right --

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- pointer (inaudible)

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- here.

14 MS. MACDONALD: This is the census tract.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Oh, it is?

16 MS. MACDONALD: That entire red area, so they're  
17 rather large over there. So we have to go down to the  
18 block level at some point here.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I just want to see. I  
21 know that freeway goes through there. But before we  
22 leave this area, I'd like to take a closer look at that.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah. Could you hold on for  
24 a minute until Parvenu?

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, okay. That

1 addresses my issue. Okay.

2 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Okay. So I have a question.

3 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Uh-huh.

4 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** I've -- Including this area  
5 above San Antonio Heights with the Rancho Cucamonga  
6 District, did we want to consider putting it in the  
7 district -- this area above with the San -- in the same  
8 district that San Antonio Heights is in? There's a  
9 district boundary here in San Antonio Heights and part of  
10 Upland goes to the west. And it's cut off from the areas  
11 above it currently. So I'm getting prepared to move the  
12 area above San Antonio Heights into the Rancho Cucamonga  
13 District. But we can do it --

14 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I --

15 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- a little differently, like  
16 this and just move --

17 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I personally -- Unless you  
18 want to -- Because we didn't do that in the State  
19 districts. So I think, you know, they -- They have  
20 access to the foothills right over the County line there.  
21 So I don't know if Commissioner Raya has a different  
22 opinion, but then we might want to go back and correct it  
23 in the assembly and senate then.

24 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Well, but --

25 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Well, I think

1 we had heard that the interest in connecting the foothill  
2 communities to the foothills was most prevalent at the  
3 congressional level because of the level at which the  
4 lands are actually managed. So I mean, then connecting  
5 it that direction would be consistent with the testimony  
6 that we've received along much of the foothills corridor.  
7 Commissioner DiGuilio?

8 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** No, I --

9 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** No, I think it was  
10 something -- Just going to say something similar. I  
11 think the reason for here was just so that they could  
12 have a say, probably, I would imagine, in what's  
13 happening in their backyard.

14 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So it sounds  
15 like --

16 **FEMALE COMMISSIONER:** (Inaudible) --

17 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- unless  
18 anyone's --

19 **FEMALE COMMISSIONER:** -- (inaudible)

20 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- to the  
21 contrary, that we would connect San Antonio Heights with  
22 the forestland immediately to its north.

23 **FEMALE COMMISSIONER:** (Inaudible)

24 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes.

25 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Thank you.

1           CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right?

2           COMMISSIONER DAI: Did we balance the Districts?

3           COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Just so we don't  
4 look funny and we kind of fill out this little hole here,  
5 is there any population can probably fill this in, make  
6 it more compact?

7           MS. MACDONALD: In or out?

8           COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Out.

9           MS. MACDONALD: Out.

10          COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: And the only reason  
11 I recommend that is because of Lytle Creek and its access  
12 right in here and a lot of the camping and recreational -  
13 - Just want them to have a little bit more say about  
14 what's -- might be happening around their area.

15          MS. MACDONALD: We're actually at zero.

16          COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: All right.

17          CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. Good. Excellent.

18          COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: What about --

19          MS. MACDONALD: No, I'm sorry. So we're not.

20          COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: What about the --

21          CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We're not --

22          COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- (inaudible) at  
23 this --

24          MS. MACDONALD: -- It was wishful --

25          CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- We're not.

1           **MS. MACDONALD:** -- thinking.

2           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And just to confirm  
3 where we made that cut at Fontana, I'm assuming it was  
4 Foothill Boulevard. It's the brown one now, I guess.

5           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Can I ask if  
6 we've gotten a more detailed version of the submission by  
7 the Inland Empire African American Redistricting  
8 Coalition because the -- the map I'm viewing it's  
9 difficult to tell the boundaries.

10           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I'm sorry. What was the  
11 question?

12           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We have  
13 received before and received again a -- a map from the  
14 Inland Empire African American Redistricting Coalition.  
15 It's a -- sort of a regional overview of the ONTPM  
16 District and the SB District. But it doesn't have street  
17 level detail on it. Do commissioners remember or if we  
18 have gotten something with more detail? No? Okay.

19           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I don't remember.

20           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** No.

21           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I don't remember  
22 anything.

23           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think  
24 generally we are in line with something like this, but  
25 wanted to take a closer look.

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Can we zoom out to see  
2 now what that San Bernardino District looks like in terms  
3 of shape?

4           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** It's very similar to the shape  
5 of our senate district and our two assemblies.

6           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And -- Oh, yeah.  
7 Were there any questions in the LCVAP for Pomona, for  
8 ONTPM?

9           **MS. MACDONALD:** We're going to check this right  
10 now, but we still need to find 60,000 people.

11           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Oh.

12           **MS. MACDONALD:** Actually, 60,926.

13           **FEMALE COMMISSIONER:** Who has the X's?

14           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** It's Fontana if I'm  
15 not mistaken, right? So we're 60,000 under in SB and  
16 we're 61,000 over in ONTPM.

17           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** So the other suggestion was to  
18 divide Rialto also at the 210?

19           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yeah, I don't think  
20 to the north is going to get you all that much of a  
21 population difference, but --

22           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I believe right now Fontana is  
23 the only city that's split, right?

24           **MS. BOYLE:** Correct.

25           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Yes.

1           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** So I don't think you have any  
2 option, other than just moving that line down until you  
3 hit the population number.

4           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Well, and if --  
5 What Commissioner Dai said was correct on the 210, which  
6 is basically right in here.

7           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah, you do have an option.  
8 You can split Rialto as well.

9           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Or you can split Rialto. --

10          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** (Inaudible) 60,000.

11          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah.

12          **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- But --

13          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** We got -- The rest  
14 of the population for Fontana is right here. So this is  
15 where the balance is going to come in --

16          **MS. MACDONALD:** So the --

17          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- if we need two  
18 districts.

19          **COMMISSIONER YAO:** But if the original option was  
20 to keep Rialto whole --

21          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** It said if you need to divide  
22 Rialto, use Interstate 210 as the line.

23          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** But now we've got two splits  
24 versus one.

25          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No, the 210 --

1 That's the 215.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: The 210 runs east  
4 and west.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: I mean, if this -- If this  
6 option is not workable, we can certainly revert, but I  
7 thought it was worth exploring.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: What? The --

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, I'm wondering --

10 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- The difficulty is Fontana  
11 is twice the size as Rialto and -- and nobody -- If you  
12 weren't going to do it north/south --

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, we need --

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- you run into that --

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- to wrap this up --

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- population problem.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- So. DiGuilio?

18 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, I'm just wondering  
19 if, you know, in congressional there's going to be splits  
20 probably everywhere. So I guess the -- the question is  
21 whether or not if, in totality, this is a better -- if  
22 what we're trying to do is better, then maybe we should  
23 look at a split. But if we feel like that what we've  
24 done is not as good as where we started, then -- then  
25 maybe we should, you know, move on. But if we --

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yeah. So --

2           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- feel like this is  
3 okay, then we're just going to have to choose a split  
4 because it's congressional.

5           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** And I guess for that --

6           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** So if --

7           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- I'd like to know -- go back  
8 to what is the underlying goal we're trying to  
9 accomplish?

10          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Socioeconomic  
11 differences, the gentleman pointed out. He said it's --  
12 The freeway divides the City by home values and local  
13 economy. And he mentions that the Heritage residents  
14 are, you know, higher incomes and wouldn't mind be take -  
15 - wouldn't mind being taken out of that district. And  
16 you know, obviously, lower socioeconomic status below.

17          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** So -- So the question is, is  
18 that COI balanced against a mini rotation you're on,  
19 maybe a major --

20          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Right.

21          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- rotation, worth it?

22          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** My question to Ms. Boyle is  
23 simply -- I mean, if we -- If -- Can you tell us how much  
24 population is in that upper triangle of Rialto? And if  
25 it doesn't naturally balance, it might -- You know, we

1 might just decide this is too hard at this stage of the  
2 game. And I -- That would be okay because we were okay  
3 with the district before. And we're just trying to make  
4 a slight improvement. And if it's -- If the effort is  
5 not worth that, then we've considered it and, you know,  
6 we don't -- Unfortunately, there -- There are many, you  
7 know, nice fixes we've been able to make in the last few  
8 days and improvements to the district. But you know, I'm  
9 sure we could do many more if we had six months. So you  
10 know, at some point, you know, we have to draw the line.  
11 So if it's not that easy to do, then I would just  
12 recommend reverting back. I --

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. So she's --

14 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- I was just --

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- doing it now, right?

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And while she's  
17 doing that, let me just correct something for the record  
18 because recent public comment came in that I may have had  
19 a misunderstanding regarding the Inland Empire African  
20 American Redistricting Coalition. And now I'm concerned  
21 that quite possibly some of these changes that might have  
22 been recommended would be inconsistent with their  
23 efforts. Their recommendation was actually to pull  
24 Rancho Cucamonga and this part into ONIPM and then to  
25 just take all of Fontana and Rialto and put it with San

1 Bernardino. I think the only potential problem with that  
2 might very well be the LCVAP since we're treating this as  
3 the Section 2.

4 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Right. It will be a problem.

5 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And it might  
6 inconsistent with the testimony we received from Rancho  
7 Cucamonga because they have a closer connection with San  
8 Bernardino and San Bernardino County, even though  
9 technically it would still be in a County, but they're in  
10 with, you know, more of Los Angeles. So I -- I'm afraid  
11 that I think our prior visualization or -- that we  
12 started with might respect the Inland Empire African  
13 American Coalition better than -- than some of these  
14 changes we might be recommending right now.

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right.

16 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Plus, it looks like there were  
17 -- It was only 18,000 in that top triangle. Is that  
18 correct, Ms. Boyle?

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yeah. Okay. So we'll leave  
20 it the way it is. All those in favor, raise your hands.

21 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Question first. If -- Leave  
22 it, except can we include the adjustment to --

23 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** The foothills.

24 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- add the foothills, please?

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Oh, sure. That was

1 going to go there next with the --

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- other --

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Just wanted to make sure.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- caveat.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Do you think -- Don't we  
7 still need to -- If we did the Mount Baldy, we still do  
8 have to adjust for some population. It might be a  
9 hundred and something, but it's not 60,000.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Correct.

11 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Well, it might  
12 be that this is the place where Mount Baldy is not whole.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: It is congress. So if it's  
14 not easy to fix, again.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All those in favor of this  
16 map as is with the adjustment on that little lake area.

17 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Could we just  
18 clarify what's your assessment of the Mount Baldy fix?  
19 Is it doable or will it cause other ripple effects?

20 MS. MACDONALD: Well, we have to balance no  
21 matter what we do.

22 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: What's your  
23 sense? Do we have an existing City split that we could  
24 shift?

25 MS. BOYLE: Well, we're going to be messing with

1 the -- We're going to be taking population. It looked  
2 like 145 people for the Mount Baldy move out of the INMSB  
3 District. And that's kind of complicated rotation. If  
4 we want to include -- Yeah, there's really no place to  
5 trade the population.

6 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think, given  
7 that we worked hard -- diligently -- to connect them at  
8 the assembly level and at the senate level and given what  
9 impacts there would be at the Federal level, let's just  
10 leave well enough alone. So we are taking a vote on the  
11 existing visualization.

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Before we do that, show us  
13 the final change that we did.

14 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** No change.

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** No change?

16 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** No. We wanted to --

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay --

18 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** No --

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Okay.

20 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- I'm sorry.

21 I --

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** No change.

23 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** No. No, no.

24 I'm sorry. I think we did want to at least move the  
25 foothill line up for Rancho Cucamonga without it -- If --

1 Is -- Is that -- Can that be done without picking up any  
2 population or -- I don't know. I don't remember what was  
3 in there. So --

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I --

5 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- if it  
6 can't, then, you know, that's --

7 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I think Rancho Cucamonga is  
8 whole on this map.

9 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yes.

10 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** It's City of Upland that's  
11 split. Okay.

12 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Correct. We  
13 were not referencing the split, but the connection  
14 between San Antonio Heights moving upward towards the  
15 foothills and Rancho Cucamonga moving up towards the  
16 foothills, which I don't know if it has any population  
17 impact.

18 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I would recommend to leave the  
19 County line alone in the case. There's --

20 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** No, no, no.  
21 This would have no impact on the County line. It would  
22 be connecting the City with the foothills directly  
23 adjacent northward in the same County. That's what we're  
24 exploring, whether that has any population impact or not.

25 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. So there were seven people

1 in the red area. And -- Actually, 14 people. No.  
2 Thirty-six, no. So 36 people. So we would have to split  
3 Rancho probably by 36 people. And we have to find those  
4 36 people in all of the blocks that are on the --

5 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** No. Thank you  
6 --

7 **MS. MACDONALD:** -- line?

8 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- for  
9 investigating. I think that we would rather leave Rancho  
10 Cucamonga whole.

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Is -- Are all the  
12 questions satisfied now?

13 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No, because I  
14 thought that -- I'm sorry. I might've missed it. I  
15 thought we were going to put at least this little area or  
16 at least move it up for the foothills or -- I know  
17 there's population over here. But did we look and say  
18 that there's population in here that could be affecting  
19 this?

20 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think we did  
21 find that there were people there.

22 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** We just saw it, right?  
23 We just saw a bunch of people.

24 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I apologize. I was  
25 distracted a little bit. I was working.

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. So we're going to  
2 leave the map as is. Is that clear? Barabba?

3           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Oh.

4           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry. I have  
5 -- Did you put up the census tracks to see that there's  
6 zero people here, zero people here? I mean, if there's  
7 no people, can we connect it, I guess is the point. I  
8 see that there's 13 people here.

9           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** There's people.

10          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** There's people.

11          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** There's people there.

12          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** So we are  
13 separating those people from Rancho Cucamonga? And  
14 that's the City and County -- or that's the City line?

15          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Twenty-four, 41, 19, 5.  
16 There's people there.

17          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** We can't swap them with Mount  
18 Baldy?

19          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** We cannot spend a lot of time  
20 on this. Yeah, unless --

21          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** But those are --

22          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- it's really --

23          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- That's 60  
24 people.

25          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- important.

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Sixty people or 100  
2 maybe.

3           **MS. BOYLE:** Yeah, it's about 100.

4           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** It might  
5 actually be fairly close to Mount Baldy if it's just over  
6 100 and some.

7           **MS. BOYLE:** You're still going to have random  
8 people up in --

9           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Well, this is all  
10 zero, zero --

11          **MS. BOYLE:** Up in --

12          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- zero, zero.

13          **MS. BOYLE:** -- No, up in here. Up in here. See?  
14 You have like 15 --

15          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yeah.

16          **MS. BOYLE:** -- 5, 2, whatever.

17          **MS. MACDONALD:** I think this may be a three-  
18 district rotation.

19          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Mappers are  
20 saying they think this going to be a three map -- a three  
21 district rotation.

22          **COMMISSIONER WARD:** No.

23          **MS. BOYLE:** No. No, I don't think it splits.

24          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** You want to go --

25          **MS. BOYLE:** We need --

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- that route?

2           **MS. BOYLE:** -- No, they're -- they're next to  
3 each other. The two districts are next to each other.

4           **MS. MACDONALD:** But you want Mount Baldy to go  
5 into the SGVP District. That's your third district.

6           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So when we had  
7 our basic parameters for moving on the congressional  
8 districts is that we were going to try and stick with two  
9 district swaps because of the impacts in the low  
10 population deviation. I need a sense from the  
11 Commission, is this high enough priority that we should  
12 invest the time it will take to do this?

13           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** We could -- Is it -- If Mount  
14 Baldy goes east instead of west, does -- then is it just  
15 swapping between the two? Wouldn't you need to pick up  
16 population, though, on the west side?

17           **MS. MACDONALD:** It -- It is east.

18           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Oh, it is? Oh.

19           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** All right.  
20 I'm not getting the sense from the Commission that this  
21 is something we want to move forward on, given the  
22 cost/benefit analysis.

23           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** If -- I think Mount Baldy  
24 really should be with this district, given the fact that  
25 -- that they are there. But moving this line a street or

1 a block or -- Yeah, I think -- I think we did the right  
2 thing with Mount Baldy. But in -- In the case of  
3 adjusting for a few people population, we can simply do  
4 it on the Upland streets. That would be very, very  
5 simple.

6 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Okay.

7 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Because we already split  
8 Upland.

9 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Could I see a  
10 show of hands how many commissioners would like to move  
11 forward with this?

12 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** With the idea? With --

13 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** With  
14 Commissioner Yao's idea?

15 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah.

16 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Well, wait a minute. Wait a  
17 minute. We took it from blue, so we have --

18 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Can I hear  
19 from Q2? Did -- Would that --

20 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I'm sorry. I --

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- work out?

22 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I take it back. It's --

23 Again, that's redistrict --

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. It's a three district

25 --

1           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- rotation.  
2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- rotation.  
3           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Forget it.  
4           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right.  
5           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Okay.  
6           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** It's moot.  
7           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So are we able  
8 to move forward with the district as seen in the  
9 visualization?  
10           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** The first --  
11           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** The initial  
12 visualization.  
13           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- original. No change.  
14           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Hands?  
15           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, let's move on. No  
16 change.  
17           **MS. MACDONALD:** Just one second.  
18           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** I -- I think this just  
19 illustrates -- you know, the -- the level of effort  
20 required to make any changes to our congressional  
21 districts because of the population balancing  
22 requirements and the single person deviation. So if we  
23 can just keep in mind what the threshold is as we move  
24 forward on the other districts.  
25           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you for your comment.

1 Okay, where are we going next?

2 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** So let me just --

3 **MS. MACDONALD:** So did we do both districts  
4 because we started with one and then Commissioner Dai  
5 said we should talk about them and --

6 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Right. So let's just make  
7 sure --

8 **MS. MACDONALD:** That's okay.

9 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- So we looked at the ONTPM  
10 District, which is the -- still our Section 2 district.  
11 SB was -- was basically formed around that, but it keeps  
12 together Grand Terrace and Colton at San Bernardino,  
13 keeps San Bernardino together with the rest of the  
14 valley, Loma Linda, Redlands. It was unfortunate we were  
15 not able to include Highland in this configuration. As  
16 we have discussed before, Redlands has some islands in --  
17 in Mentone. So I think that's where we took it from. So  
18 I didn't have any further recommendation on the SB  
19 District.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Move on.

21 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** So did we all approve?

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Hands up. All right. No --  
23 No change. Move on.

24 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay, we'll move to INMSB. And  
25 please try to ignore that little red -- that little red

1 box that we just drew because we can't figure out why  
2 it's not going away right now, so it will -- It will be a  
3 reminder about how long it takes to balance districts in  
4 congress.

5 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Okay. So, as I mentioned, we  
6 were gifted back Mono and Inyo for this district. But  
7 the nice thing about this is it keeps desert together.  
8 We have Death Valley in here and also, of course, Mammoth  
9 Lakes, so some mountainous areas, the desert, sparsely  
10 populated areas primarily, including the Twenty-Nine  
11 Palms area. And unfortunately, we also have Highland in  
12 here. But again, this is congress and we're dealing --

13 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** And it's the Eastern Sierras.

14 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** And it's the Eastern Sierras.  
15 We have all the mountain communities there and -- as well  
16 as the -- Victor Valley.

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Comments? All  
18 those in favor, raise your hands. All right, no change.  
19 Move on.

20 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay, let's move to AVSCV.

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Whose district?

22 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Commissioner Barabba,  
23 would you -- Would you like to start --

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Barabba?

25 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** -- and I'll add comments.

1           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** I think we've done as good  
2 here as we're going to be able to do. The big question,  
3 of course, was having to leave Lancaster -- split  
4 Lancaster and move it into Kern County. But they -- the  
5 numbers forced us into that situation.

6           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu?

7           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I certainly agree. I have  
8 those same regrets about this configuration. But again,  
9 the population sort of mandates that this split does  
10 occur. We have them joined in other districts, though.  
11 Santa Clarita is kept whole and its satellite cities  
12 around it, including Castaic and Saugus and some of those  
13 others. So I think this is -- This is appropriate.

14           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Good. All those in favor,  
15 raise your hands. No change. Move on.

16           **MS. MACDONALD:** Let's go to SFVWC.

17           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And I assume Barabba?

18           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** No.

19           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Whose district is this?

20           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Well, it's both  
21 Commissioner Parvenu and myself. But I think  
22 Commissioner Parvenu is closer to this one.

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu?

24           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Yes. This one we address  
25 the east/west San Fernando Valley separation. We split

1 it at the Mulholland Drive ridge area. I don't have much  
2 more to say, other than that I think it's a -- It's  
3 fairly compact. We extend to the east. We pick up that  
4 area along Ventura Boulevard in the 101 freeway. That's  
5 a major corridor. So we pick up the main axis, the main  
6 thoroughfare east/west and also north/south, the 405. I  
7 think it's a fairly compact district. We have Northridge  
8 and Reseda kept whole as well.

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Barabba, you want to add onto  
10 it?

11 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** No, I think it's fine.

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. All those in favor,  
13 raise your hands. Looks good. Move on.

14 **MS. MACDONALD:** Next district is SFVET.

15 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I would say in the -- in  
16 connection with the district to the west, we have -- In  
17 this district, we have really met the request of the  
18 citizens of the San Fernando Valley to make sure that  
19 their districts were contained within the San Fernando  
20 Valley. And I think we've also in this district, as  
21 we've created a -- a CVAP of 50 percent, created a  
22 majority district here, which is reflective of the  
23 communities. These are -- is a Latino community. It  
24 goes back many, many years. And I think we've captured  
25 the essence of that community in this district.

1           CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Parvenu, you want to add onto  
2 it?  
3           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No. I concur. I --  
4           CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.  
5           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- appreciate it.  
6           CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Ancheta?  
7           COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Just a little clean-up.  
8 This --  
9           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, what's that?  
10          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: -- I think that's just a -  
11 -  
12          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: My question --  
13          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: -- freeway.  
14          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- too.  
15          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I don't think it's any  
16 population. It just a minor --  
17          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: It doesn't --  
18          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: -- clean-up point.  
19          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- Yeah. It's -- looks  
20 like --  
21          CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Blow that up. Okay,  
22 what are you requesting the mappers to look at?  
23          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Railroad. I don't think  
24 there's any --  
25          COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, just --

1           **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** -- I don't think there's -

2       -

3           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** We get rid of that.

4           **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** -- anything there.

5       There's no people there, so you can just smooth it out, I  
6       think.

7           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Oh, wait. It goes into the  
8       other.

9           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Can you make the minor  
10       change?

11          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** It's fire roads. Those  
12       are like little fire roads.

13          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Angelo is trying to  
14       demonstrate that he can be as picky as Commissioner Yao.

15          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I know those fire roads.

16          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Can we move on? Or do you  
17       want to actually see that? We'll just delete the maps to  
18       that.

19          **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** No. Move on.

20          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. With that minor  
21       change, all those in favor raise your hands. Okay, let's  
22       move on.

23          **MS. MACDONALD:** Let's go to SGMFH.

24          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So this  
25       district has several different aspects to it. We have

1 the foothills area connecting up to the Los Angeles  
2 forest. We also have Burbank and a portion of Glendale  
3 and they are connected to the Bob Hope Airport, which is  
4 something at the congressional level that we heard loud  
5 and clear was a priority for those local communities.  
6 We've also included the Griffith Park COI and Hollywood  
7 areas within this district. Do you have anything to add,  
8 Commissioner Raya?

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Comments? Others? Ancheta?

10 **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** I can't remember what we  
11 were doing with the -- with the airport. Is that --  
12 Again, it splits it again. But I -- You know.

13 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think we had  
14 made it whole at the assembly and the senate level and we  
15 had taken in that one runway that's outside of the  
16 Burbank city boundaries. So I think for consistency we  
17 should do that again.

18 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah, I was going to say if  
19 there's no population, particularly since this is the  
20 Federal level that might be the place to make sure it's  
21 in there.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** So point that out again for  
23 everybody to see. Okay. All right. Any other comments?

24 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Q2 is getting familiar with  
25 that shape.

1           **MS. MACDONALD:** It is.

2           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Is there a  
3 population impact?

4           **MS. MACDONALD:** Twelve people, but we might be  
5 able to fix it through the split here, so.

6           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Is Glendale split in this  
7 one? I forgot.

8           **MS. MACDONALD:** No, it's not split, actually, on  
9 that.

10          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** We have a  
11 question regarding Glendale. Is Glendale split?

12          **MS. MACDONALD:** No, Glendale is not split.

13          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Filkins-Webber?

14          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I would be troubled  
15 that we would split a neighborhood and take 12 people out  
16 of a real neighborhood to put in the 12 people that live  
17 on the runways. So I have -- If they -- If we really  
18 were talking one percent deviation here --

19          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** People who  
20 live by airports are real people too.

21          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No, I'm saying on  
22 the runways.

23          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, all right, all  
24 right, all right. Order, order, order. DiGuilio?

25          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I -- My only concern --

1 And I understand what Commissioner Filkins-Webber is  
2 saying. My only concern is that -- And I don't exactly  
3 know how it works -- But if there's a little bit of the  
4 airport that's in someone else's congressional district,  
5 then you have two congressional people fighting over -- I  
6 won't say fighting. That sounds horrible. I'm going to  
7 try and give elected officials the benefit of the doubt,  
8 that they'll work collaboratively. But in case that  
9 doesn't happen, I feel better having just one person  
10 dealing with the airport issue. That's just the way I  
11 look at.

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Ancheta?

13 **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** I think it's because we're  
14 -- we're moving a -- maybe a little too far to the west  
15 because of that triangle. There's a -- It was a -- I  
16 guess it's Claiborne Avenue. And looks like there's a  
17 couple blocks that go in right next to the airport. I  
18 don't know if we can split that because that may be where  
19 the people area. If you can just draw the line closer to  
20 the airport itself, that might be (inaudible)

21 **MS. BOYLE:** Uh-huh. Right.

22 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** He's asking if  
23 we could split off the portion where we have the  
24 residential that's having the population impact?

25 **MS. BOYLE:** Oh, I see.

1           **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Is it -- Yeah, because  
2 looks like there's some -- there's some housing here,  
3 which are -- I can't tell on my map. But I don't know.

4           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Is this the number of  
5 people in a district? These numbers?

6           **MS. BOYLE:** Yeah.

7           **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Yeah.

8           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** So that says three. I  
9 don't know where the other eight are.

10          **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Wow.

11          **MS. MACDONALD:** But there's a nine over there,  
12 yeah.

13          **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** Okay.

14          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Oh, just take the people  
15 out then.

16          **MS. MACDONALD:** Would you -- Would you like to  
17 know what Ms. Boyle would do in this case?

18          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes, we would  
19 love to.

20          **MS. BOYLE:** I would do a small three district  
21 rotation. I think that we can probably do this pretty  
22 quickly. We would put this into SGMF and (inaudible) --

23          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioners, if -- If  
24 you've got to talk, turn your mics off the rest of us can  
25 hear.

1           **MS. BOYLE:** So then I would probably take them  
2 out through here somewhere and then move them back -- or  
3 move them out of here. So into here, into here, into  
4 here.

5           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Commissioner  
6 Yao?

7           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Commissioner Ancheta is right.  
8 We basically have gone half a block too far over in this  
9 direction. In fact, we would be doing these people a  
10 disservice, right there, okay? Those people --

11           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think --

12           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- that line at the airport.  
13 Right -- Now you're getting it. Keep going --

14           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Commissioner  
15 Yao, what -- what was said, though, was that this down  
16 here, there are nine people here and there's three people  
17 in this larger --

18           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Oh, I see.

19           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- So the  
20 population impact is actually --

21           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** All right.

22           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- over on the  
23 other side.

24           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Thank you.

25           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So

1 commissioners, would you like to do this small three  
2 district rotation to reunite the airport?

3 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Say yes.

4 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Hands?

5 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Show of hands.

6 Okay, with that minor change, let's move on.

7 **MS. MACDONALD:** I should just let you know. This  
8 really is an exception, this three district rotation,  
9 because we have these borders right here. So we don't  
10 have to like ripple through an entire district.

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** The always exceptions.

12 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Does that mean you want  
13 more changes?

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** No, don't go there.

15 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Remember, we  
16 still have to get our final maps from Q2, so tread  
17 lightly.

18 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Chair? Is --

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yeah, Barabba?

20 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** -- Would it out -- Would  
21 it outside of rules if we just gave Q2 the direction to  
22 make this change of whatever few people it is, rather  
23 than try to do it at this very moment?

24 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** You know,  
25 that's a tension we've -- we've had often. You know, at

1 this point, we will not see these changes until the final  
2 map. So we do have to approve them all as we sit here.

3 **MS. MACDONALD:** If you'd like to just call a  
4 five-minute break, we'll fix it. Actually, she's almost  
5 done. Two-minute break.

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Two-minute break. Be back.

7 (Off the record)

8 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Q2, report  
9 back to us on the three district swap.

10 **MS. BOYLE:** Sure. So we moved in the airport  
11 runways and some adjacent blocks. And that changed a --  
12 That caused a 12-people population shift. So we did an  
13 adjustment over here. And then we did an adjustment here  
14 and then. You can kind of see I --

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Now, hold on. We're not  
16 online. Is that right?

17 **MS. BOYLE:** So the orange boundary was the  
18 original boundary. So we've added the -- what remained  
19 of the Burbank Airport that had been split from the SGMFH  
20 district and moved it into it. So it's yellow now. This  
21 orange boundary is the previous boundary. Then we made  
22 two small block swaps here. And then we made a block  
23 swap here. And you can see here I traded two blocks here  
24 to get the populations to one person. Those are these  
25 green protrusions.

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. All those in favor,  
2 raise your hands. All right, let's make the change.  
3 Move on.

4           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Why don't we  
5 keep going east?

6           **MS. MACDONALD:** We move to SGVP.

7           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Excellent. So  
8 this was Commissioner Raya and myself on this area. It  
9 has not changed substantially since the last time we've  
10 seen it. The main focus of this district is it -- It is  
11 a newer iteration of the Foothills District. It contains  
12 Pasadena as an anchor city, along with many of the  
13 smaller satellite hub cities that we have expressed to us  
14 in COI. We also have a strong aspect of this district  
15 that includes the recent Asian emigrant COI with San  
16 Gabriel and some of its surrounding cities because of the  
17 West Covina District being a majority minority district.  
18 We did move through the foothills and went over, picked  
19 up Glendora and moved east above the 210 corridor to  
20 create this district. Anything to add, Commissioner  
21 Raya?

22           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** You've covered it all.

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. All those in favor,  
24 raise your hands. All right, no change. Move on.

25           **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay, we're going to move to the

1 COVNEA District -- COVNA.

2 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I think was  
3 partly ours and partly somebody -- somebody sharing it.  
4 But this is a majority LVAP district. I think that in  
5 this one, we have -- We do have West Covina -- Is it --  
6 Is West Covina whole on this? Please tell me yes. Thank  
7 you. And I -- If you could run through the City splits  
8 in this one, too, please?

9 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. We have Claremont in this  
10 district, 77.9 percent; Duarte, 89.6 percent; Industry,  
11 90.4 percent; La Verne, 65.8 percent; Monrovia, 39.6  
12 percent; and West San Dimas, 83.6 percent.

13 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Okay. Thank  
14 you. So those -- Those splits were in recognition of the  
15 -- the Foothill COI partly; partly also, the fact that it  
16 is a majority minority district. And in this case we  
17 were able to keep some of the cities whole, but have been  
18 -- had to be divided for population in other districts,  
19 El Monte -- South El Monte, West Covina.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Questions? All right, all  
21 those in favor, say aye -- raise your hands. All right,  
22 no change. Pass.

23 **MS. BOYLE:** DWWTR.

24 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** I believe that's mine.  
25 Yeah, that's Filkins-Weber and mine. So we've talked

1 about this district before. This is the -- Well, the  
2 names have changed. But this is Whittier. Norwalk, I  
3 think, was its original name. And we've got the cities  
4 that come up -- when you come up the 5: Norwalk, La  
5 Morada, Cerritos, Artesia, La Palma. That -- And -- And  
6 those three in particular, those last ones -- Cerritos,  
7 Artesia and La Palma -- were in --in themselves a  
8 community of interest that had testified strongly from  
9 the very beginning of our process, actually, about  
10 wanting to be kept together and being a community of  
11 interest. Similarly, we had a lot of testimony about a  
12 sort of separate COI within that, which is Santa Fe  
13 Springs, Norwalk, La Morada and East La Mirada as being a  
14 traditional district. And then Whittier, West Whittier,  
15 Los Nietos as well, Montebello, I -- We got some -- I  
16 think we got some testimony about Montebello perhaps  
17 being more into an East LA District. But we were really  
18 balancing some issues here, which were that when we get  
19 to the adjoining issues, we'll see that we have a -- a  
20 congressional district that picks up the southeast cities  
21 that also wanted to be kept whole. And so this  
22 configuration is -- is part of the result of, on the one  
23 hand, the Orange County line and the community of  
24 interest to the side. I think -- Are there any City  
25 splits in this one?

1           **MS. BOYLE:** Yes. We have Bellflower split. It's  
2 a -- Fifty-three percent of it is in the WWTR. So the  
3 other 54-1/2 -- 56-1/2 percent would be in the Downtown  
4 District. We have Lakewood. It's split 70 -- It's split  
5 so that 78 percent of it is in the DWWTR District, with  
6 the other portion being in the -- I believe it's the Long  
7 Beach District.

8           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Right. And we did hear  
9 some -- a little bit of testimony about Lakewood sort of  
10 being a -- a city that could be -- had sort of a  
11 different character. But -- But I think realistically  
12 those are two -- Those were two big cities, 76,000 and  
13 80,000. This is a very dense area of LA in terms of  
14 population. And when you get down to the one percent --  
15 one person deviation, given those -- the density of this  
16 area, it's actually -- I think we did quite well to only  
17 have two splits in an area this dense.

18           **MS. MACDONALD:** There was an additional --

19           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Three? Well, there's a --

20           **MS. MACDONALD:** -- (inaudible) I'm sorry.

21           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- What -- Bellflower --

22           **MS. MACDONALD:** -- Rosemead.

23           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- Lakefoot [sic] --

24 Lakewood and what else?

25           **MS. MACDONALD:** Rosemead.

1           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Oh, okay.

2           **MS. MACDONALD:** So Rosemead at 29.9 percent are  
3 in this district.

4           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Okay. Well, it's --

5           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** If I could  
6 speak to that --

7           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Yeah.

8           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- split a  
9 little bit? We tried to take a split in --in an area  
10 that's south of the 10, so that -- You know, some of that  
11 area is a little more, you know, I guess light industrial  
12 you would say, to try and minimize the impact on -- on  
13 neighborhoods. But that was, in part, to balance out the  
14 district above it. .

15           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** And I think didn't this  
16 also help keep El Monte and South El Monte together?

17           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yes, it did.

18           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Which was really important.  
19 We had gotten a lot of testimony. And I think this may  
20 be the only place where we were able to keep El Monte and  
21 South El Monte together. Is that correct? Yeah. So  
22 that was the -- That was the tradeoff there.

23           Commissioner Filkins-Webber?

24           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** That was the last  
25 point I was going to make on the -- the impact on the El

1 Monte/South El Monte because we worked on that last week  
2 and -- or the week before. Boy, the weeks are running  
3 together. So that's what I -- the last comment I was  
4 going to add to that.

5 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Any other comments? All  
6 right. A show of hands. No change and move on.

7 **MS. MACDONALD:** We'll move to LHBYL -- LHBYL.

8 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Whose is this? No one wants  
9 to --

10 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Commissioner Ward?

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- claim it?

12 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Are in Orange County?

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Is this yours --

14 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Could you walk us through  
15 this district?

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Commissioner Ward?

17 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Remains unchanged. We had a  
18 potential issue with Cal Poly Pomona up in the northwest  
19 corner at previous levels and we weren't able to meet it  
20 because of population issues. So with the stricter  
21 limits on the congressional level, I would imagine we  
22 will make decision and leave it split.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Comments? Okay. All those  
24 in favor, raise your hands. No change. Move on.

25 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm sorry. Just

1 one other comment. If I'm not mistaken, the only City  
2 split we have here is in Anaheim -- is that correct? --  
3 and -- Maybe we're getting a little bit of Orange in  
4 there?

5 **MS. MACDONALD:** Well, actually, we have a few  
6 City splits here. We have Anaheim --

7 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Oh, Anaheim.

8 **MS. MACDONALD:** -- 4 point -- 4.9 percent are in  
9 this district; Buena Park, 75.9 percent are in this  
10 district; Chino, .8 percent, so it's very small; and then  
11 Industry, which is 9.6 percent.

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

13 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let's move on. Commissioner  
15 Ward?

16 **MS. BOYLE:** Okay. This is SNORN.

17 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** (Inaudible) -- Or unites  
18 Anaheim, Santa Ana and half of Orange.

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Comments? All right, raise  
20 your hands. No change. Move on.

21 **MS. BOYLE:** Let's do STHOC.

22 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** So this congressional  
23 district responded to some input from Orange to connect  
24 Villa Park and Orange Hills with Tustin and Anaheim  
25 Hills. And it also respected input from Irvine, which

1 connected it to its South Orange County partners.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Comments?

3 **COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:** This has just gotten a lot  
4 of positive response.

5 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** We have. I just  
6 have one question. If we could zero in on this little  
7 area right there? I'm sorry. Ms. Boyle, can you -- Can  
8 you move into this little area here? I just want to see  
9 something that's happening. This right in here. I don't  
10 know if there's a population issue there or not. Is this  
11 a City? It might be Laguna Woods, part of Laguna Woods?  
12 Yes. Okay. I'm up to -- what? -- 21 now, Commission  
13 Dai? Thank you. I just wanted to confirm that.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Let's move on.

15 **MS. BOYLE:** WSTCST.

16 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Okay. And this was our  
17 coastal district. We connected Seal Beach through Laguna  
18 Beach and were able to unite Costa Mesa as well with --  
19 into the Coastal District.

20 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Comments?

21 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And Fountain Valley  
22 is in this district as well; correct?

23 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** It's -- should be wholly --

24 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And it's -- It's  
25 right here, I believe. Okay. So we have received some -

1 - quite a bit of community support for this as well with  
2 Costa Mesa, Newport Beach, Huntington Beach and Fountain  
3 Valley in a --

4 **MS. BOYLE:** Yeah, it's in there.

5 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- community of  
6 interest together.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Raise your hands.  
8 No change. Move on.

9 **MS. MACDONALD:** We'll zoom out to make sure we  
10 covered all the districts in this area.

11 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Should be the Long Beach  
12 District.

13 **MS. MACDONALD:** Looks good. So now we'll move up  
14 to Long Beach. So this is LB -- One second.

15 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** LBPRT.

16 **MS. MACDONALD:** This is LBPRT.

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And who would that be? Yao?

18 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** This is actually --

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Not Yao?

20 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- a resulting  
21 district from quite a number of ones, including the  
22 Orange County. I didn't work on this myself. But part  
23 of what the issue was is the Section 2 that's just above  
24 it, which we talked about earlier. So although the  
25 community of interest testimony as running along the

1 border and we recognize the Rossmoor-Los Alamitos-Seal  
2 Beach connection, this was a circumstance of balancing  
3 the communities of interest that we've tried to do  
4 throughout, but again with the press of it, an upper  
5 Section 2 in the Downtown, as well as the South Los  
6 Angeles Districts that we've been discussing, this is the  
7 resulting district. And we've taken a lot of time in  
8 trying to maintain the Orange County and Los Angeles  
9 border, but have not been able to achieve that goal  
10 through several iterations.

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yao?

12 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I believe this is the only  
13 place where we intrude in from Long Beach toward the  
14 Orange -- from Los Angeles County toward the Orange  
15 County in this part of the -- in -- in the Long Beach  
16 area. In the -- In the past, we have protruded over to  
17 Orange County, a little further north. And it really is  
18 a function of the pressure of population moving along  
19 from the Los Angeles County. And the balance of the  
20 population has no place to go, other than going to the --  
21 the Orange County.

22 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu, you want to add  
23 something?

24 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** No, I -- I just want to  
25 make sure that we have the City line there at the port so

1 we completely distinguish between Long Beach Port and the  
2 LA Port, as long as we're in the city boundaries. I  
3 believe that we are.

4 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Raise your hands.  
5 No change. Move on.

6 **MS. MACDONALD:** How about the COMP District?

7 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Commissioner Yao, would  
8 you like to start?

9 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Yeah. Again, with the  
10 communities of interest of having say about the port and  
11 the traffic moving up the 710, I think we're able to  
12 accommodate that -- that community of interest.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu?

14 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** My only concern is that it  
15 looks like we may have cropped off the northwest part of  
16 San Pedro. Can we zoom in and see if that is within the  
17 boundaries of the City of LA or is it more closely tied  
18 to -- It looks like there is some community activity  
19 there. Is that the LA -- Can you show where the  
20 boundaries of LA is? So that's the configuration of San  
21 Pedro within the City of LA. So those blocks are not --  
22 We want to make sure we don't split San Pedro. They're  
23 already close to the port. We just want to make sure  
24 that -- So that's the City boundary as those lines are  
25 drawn?

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** No. Pink.

2           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** The pink?

3           **MS. BOYLE:** No. Well, they follow the City  
4 boundary here. And it's at this point that you have  
5 parts of the LA. And I believe this is considered part  
6 of San Pedro.

7           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Okay.

8           **MS. BOYLE:** But I was not able to include it.

9           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Okay.

10          **MS. BOYLE:** So it follows the city boundary here.

11          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Okay.

12          **MS. BOYLE:** But it's at this point that --

13          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** This --

14          **MS. BOYLE:** -- it longer --

15          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Uh-huh.

16          **COMMISSIONER YAO:** We followed the --

17          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** We went into (inaudible) -

18          -

19          **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- 110 freeway from there on

20 up --

21          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Right.

22          **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- Yeah.

23          **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** The purpose here is to  
24 achieve zero deviation; correct?

25          **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Yes.

1           **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Okay. All right.

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** DiGuilio?

3           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah, I don't know. This  
4 is a district that's at, you know, .49 percent and  
5 there's a district right next to it that's at 70. And I  
6 don't know if we want to shave some from that to try and  
7 equalize it like we did in other places or if we're just  
8 fine, I mean, since there's a place to pull from it.

9           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Filkins-Webber?

10          **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I also had some  
11 concerns about revisiting the South Los Angeles Districts  
12 here for a number of reasons. And I think we have to put  
13 it on the record based on the volume of public comments  
14 that we have received from quite a few individuals, in  
15 particular all the citizens from Hawthorne that had not  
16 been respected with the South Bay Beach Community  
17 interests. And then we have the conflicting testimony  
18 that we're also receiving from NAACP about not desiring  
19 to have Torrance in the Inglewood District. So I bring  
20 this up, not so much about this in particular, but this  
21 is a general overview of what we're looking at in South  
22 Los Angeles. And I don't know that we had actually moved  
23 forward with this configuration on the statewide. But  
24 apparently, it's in there already, might very well be due  
25 to whatever circumstances happened. But we still had the

1 congressional 1.2 option that I thought was more  
2 consistent with the community of interest testimony that  
3 -- as well as additional testimony we received today that  
4 had Compton at 50 percent, but yet still had the downtown  
5 area that Commissioner DiGuilio talked about at the 74  
6 percent. So, again, this is an area where we have  
7 received quite a substantial amount of testimony. And I  
8 think it's something that we still need to discuss.

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Comments from other  
10 commissioners regarding that issue? Michelle?

11 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah. I have some other  
12 issues with the district that's -- the WLADT. But that --  
13 - I think we'll -- I'll talk about those when I get  
14 there. I guess I was just -- My first comment was simply  
15 that, you know, for our consistency when we are looking  
16 at the Compton District, if we want to get it to 50  
17 percent, do we need to shave from the -- Which district  
18 is it that's -- the downtown one -- the down -- from  
19 downtown, which is at 73. You know, I -- I know that  
20 takes -- That's going to equalize -- a need to equalize  
21 population, but I don't know if that's what we wanted to  
22 do.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Galambos-Malloy?

24 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Oh. Well, we  
25 haven't gotten so much -- I feel like right now what's

1 happening a bit is we're discussing this region, these  
2 three districts. So because we've already started the  
3 conversation, I'll go ahead and weigh in. The piece that  
4 I have been looking at, which clearly we've gotten quite  
5 a bit of COI and conflicting COI in this entire area of  
6 Los Angeles. And I think in areas like this across the  
7 State what we're trying to arrive at is -- is a situation  
8 where not everybody gets everything, but everybody gets  
9 something.

10           And I -- Because of the fact that we had to split  
11 Torrance in this configuration and the fact that Torrance  
12 is a City we've had competing testimony about -- We've  
13 heard that it's a South Bay City. We've heard that it's  
14 a Beach City. We've heard that part of Torrance is  
15 actually a Beach City, but part of Torrance is actually a  
16 more urbanized area that orients a different direction.  
17 So there's a whole lot of different things going on here.  
18 I wanted to go back to the record and look at what part  
19 of Torrance had been formally defined as the Beach  
20 Community. And if I am understanding correctly, from the  
21 COI that we've gotten, this area here -- So we split --  
22 We had a little bit of a jagged split. But the -- This  
23 area over is really seen as more the beach-oriented area.  
24 So one of the things that I wanted to propose to the  
25 Commission was to consider if, given that we already had

1 to split Torrance, if we split it in a way that was more  
2 consistent with the COI testimony regarding which portion  
3 of the City was oriented to the beach, there's actually,  
4 I think, a way to do that that's also consistent with  
5 some COI testimony we got down from Lomita. So we'd have  
6 approximately -- And I -- I didn't have opportunity to  
7 have Q2 do research on this. But it's about a 20,000  
8 person swap that would allow the rest of this beach-  
9 oriented part of Torrance and then bring in Lomita, which  
10 is one of the only non-beach cities that we have in this  
11 larger coastal configuration.

12           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let's have a discussion  
13 first. Do you guys want to look at some rotations here?  
14 Looks like we're going to have to do some. DiGuilio?

15           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** What I was proposing was  
16 not a rotation. It's a two-district swap.

17           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Two-district -- Two-district  
18 swap. DiGuilio?

19           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Okay. Well, I guess then  
20 -- I guess, so we are going to move over to the  
21 discussion on WLADT then. So we're not talking about  
22 Compton anymore. Is that correct? Are we going to talk  
23 on this district? Because if we are --

24           **MS. BOYLE:** So should be vote --

25           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- then I have --

1 MS. BOYLE: -- on Compton?

2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- something to say.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's vote on Compton --

4 VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY: So --

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- All those that want to  
6 look at it, raise your hands.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Look -- Look at what?

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: (Inaudible) Go ahead.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So we should just finish.  
10 I think we -- we've been jumping around. So I was going  
11 to say that we had some narrative for Compton. I also  
12 wanted to point out that this district has also drawn us  
13 a Section 2 congressional district to be majority Latino  
14 district based on a study that the Commission got showing  
15 racialized -- racially polarized voting in this area of  
16 LA. We had -- We had a pretty -- a -- I thought  
17 extensive discussion about this issue that Commissioner  
18 DiGuilio brought up about the adjoining district that is  
19 so high in -- in Latino population. And we did get  
20 something recently in the last couple of days from Nalajo  
21 (phonetic) again mentioning that. But I think on balance  
22 the -- we heard tremendous community of interest from the  
23 adjoining southeast cities wanting to kept -- be kept  
24 whole. And I -- What I wanted to look in this district  
25 before we did anything, if we really did want to try and

1 bump it up, is are there any City splits in the Compton  
2 District?

3 **MS. BOYLE:** Long Beach is split and Los --

4 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Right.

5 **MS. BOYLE:** -- Angeles is split in specifically  
6 the harbor area. And we the small part of, I guess, San  
7 Pedro Harbor that's been --

8 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Uh-huh. That we just --

9 **MS. BOYLE:** -- split away.

10 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- looked at, right?

11 **MS. BOYLE:** Yes.

12 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Right. Okay. And then of  
13 the cities like Lynwood, Carson, Compton, Southgate, are  
14 those whole?

15 **MS. BOYLE:** Yes, those are all whole.

16 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** I mean, my -- My  
17 inclination -- I expressed this before -- is, given the  
18 nature of everything that surrounds this area -- I mean,  
19 if -- if people wanted to explore without creating more  
20 and more City splits, but making it 50, but -- I feel  
21 like the adjoining cities wanted to be kept together, you  
22 know, in the southeast, so -- But I just wanted to put  
23 that that was another explanation for this district was  
24 that it was a -- a Section 2 district.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I believe, Commissioner Dai,

1 you had your hand up? No? Anybody else? Yao?

2 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** If I hear Commissioner Blanco  
3 properly, she wants to explore the possibility of trying  
4 to get -- get a little more balance between the 73  
5 percent and the 49.9 percent?

6 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** No, I'm -- I was sort of  
7 believing that up to the -- if commissioners wanted to do  
8 that. I -- I think we went -- We really looked at this  
9 in a lot of different ways. I think we -- I can't --  
10 Except for the one we're about to discuss, I can't think  
11 of another district we reconfigured more times. And this  
12 is where we ended up, feeling like it was a balance of  
13 respecting another Section 2 district beside it, on top  
14 of it -- No, just one side and then the new one. And I --  
15 -- I'm -- I'm fine with it. It -- Especially if it means  
16 we're going to go in and divide a lot of Cities.

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Let's vote on the  
18 Compton District. All those in favor, raise your hands.  
19 All right, no change. Move on. Let's look at the next  
20 one.

21 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. So should we go to WLADT  
22 now since we started to talk about it?

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Galambos-Malloy, you want to  
24 do that?

25 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Sure. .I mean,

1 I think we should continue moving west and up the coast.

2 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. So you want to do the  
3 IGWSG first?

4 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Sure. My  
5 suggestion --

6 **MS. MACDONALD:** Or both of them?

7 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** -- was related  
8 to both of the districts. So I -- I don't necessarily  
9 have a preference which one we start with. But again,  
10 the concept was, given what heavy competing COI we've had  
11 here, the concept that what we're trying to do here is  
12 have a coastal district that we actually make the line in  
13 Torrance where we have been told is the coastal oriented  
14 area of Torrance. Swap that with Lomita and you have  
15 basically an equal population exchange. You wouldn't  
16 result in any additional City splits. And we have  
17 actually -- have gotten COI to this effect, both on the  
18 Torrance side and both on the Lomita side, not in large  
19 quantity, but thoughtful.

20 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Chair?

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right.

22 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** Chair?

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Parvenu,  
24 Commissioner DiGuilio, Commissioner Filkins-Webber.

25 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** This is one of the areas

1 that Commissioner Yao and I examined. And I completely  
2 concur with what Commissioner Galambos-Malloy was  
3 recommending us to take a look at in terms of Lomita.  
4 Lomita is one of those communities that can go in either  
5 direction. It could fit in either direction. However,  
6 it fits best with Torrance. There's shopping centers --  
7 the Crossroads Center and the Rolling Hills Plaza -- that  
8 residents of Lomita shop at. That's just west of that  
9 line. You have the Torrance Medical Center and you have  
10 PCH and Lomita Boulevard that connects those communities.  
11 Lomita actually is more closely -- if you said -- called  
12 it southeast Torrance, that's mostly what it would be.  
13 They share that airport. If you take the coloration off,  
14 you can see -- Can you -- Can you show the streets?

15 **MS. BOYLE:** Yes.

16 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** And pull that off. I want  
17 to show you some of the intricate connectivities there.  
18 The airport, that area here isn't the actual airport. So  
19 Lomita is actually right off of the runway path, if we're  
20 talking about airports. So they're connected there in  
21 that way as well. And can you pull the map down a bit?  
22 And Torrance does have a beach. It is truly a Beach  
23 City. It's connected to the beach over there. That's  
24 actually Torrance's municipal line there. So I do agree  
25 that a perhaps even break would be along Hawthorne

1 Boulevard. The topography even changes on the west side  
2 of Torrance. This -- This is more like hills and  
3 rolling. You have estates and homes with ocean views on  
4 that side. This is more or less old Torrance, the  
5 central part of the city. You have the malls here, Del  
6 Amo Mall and some of the other malls. And this is the  
7 old part of Torrance. You have the industrial part here  
8 and some -- If I could go over there, I'd show you. But  
9 anyway, these are mostly the home-owning -- the home-  
10 owning section of Torrance. And you have some apartment  
11 complexes to the east. So there is definite  
12 rationalization for making that swap to have these  
13 residents more tied to the PCH and to the beach  
14 communities, as opposed -- and bringing Lomita up to this  
15 area. If you could go up now, please? Just -- No --

16 **MS. BOYLE:** Go south?

17 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I meant go south, please.  
18 And then bring it over. I think the scale is too large  
19 to fit the screen so you can see Lomita in relation to  
20 Torrance proper here. But this is -- This is the area.  
21 So what's being recommended is that this area, which you  
22 can see, even the street patterns and numbers are  
23 consistent with Torrance, and exchange that for the area  
24 that was suggested. This is not working.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** DiGuilio?

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Okay. If you wouldn't  
2 mind just zooming out on this one? This district is --  
3 It caused me problems last week when we were discussing  
4 it. And I have to say I've been looking at it a lot and  
5 thinking about it a lot. And if you go -- zoom back even  
6 further, you're making my point. Here's -- The district  
7 -- It's all of -- What -- My problem with this is that I  
8 feel like in a lot of places in the State, when all of  
9 our districts, we've made decisions on things because  
10 what's -- The district might not be ideal, but the  
11 alternatives were worse. And I feel like in this one  
12 situation, we have alternatives that work that in  
13 totality are better for this -- these three districts in  
14 this area. And we haven't gone there, so that -- I'm  
15 having a problem accepting this district because I think  
16 there's still something exists. This is all -- It keeps  
17 some COI's together, but it links them in a  
18 disproportionate way. We have the whole Santa Monica  
19 Mountains. But we've cut this west side. We -- We got  
20 way in here, all the way to downtown. We link through  
21 Dockweiler Beach, whatever the strip is, all the way  
22 through here. And we've cut up the South Bay that we're  
23 trying now to fix by putting a few of them back together.  
24 I think there's a very easy switch and it has been  
25 representative. That's what Commissioner Filkins-Webber

1 even made -- even made reference to was the -- It's not  
2 another option 1.2. But in this case, the idea -- It's  
3 the idea behind it, is you have three district -- one,  
4 two, three -- And you keep all the point that  
5 Commissioner Parvenu was just making. You could keep  
6 Lomita and Torrance and we probably even link it up to  
7 Gardena. You -- You split here. You start -- You start  
8 here. You go up 936,000. You could get the South Bay  
9 together. Then you could come up here and keep all of  
10 this, plus Santa Monica, come down 936,000. You probably  
11 have a good part of the -- the whole West LA together.  
12 And then you have a third district. I mean, there's  
13 three districts that are there. I'm sorry. I keep  
14 getting my senate and my -- Sorry -- my senate and my --  
15 and congressional -- The 702,000. But then you would  
16 have three districts there that would be able to, in  
17 totality, keep this area together, this area together and  
18 you've the Inglewood all the way to the airport. This  
19 whole part, you wouldn't have Dockweiler Bay there. And  
20 I understand the implications of that, in terms of a  
21 concentration issue here. But what I'm struggling with  
22 is trying to be reflective of the demographics that  
23 exist, that the -- The populations that have shifted out  
24 of LA and the demographic shifts that are left. And it's  
25 not just the last ten years, although I said it's the

1 last 20 years we're at the demographic shifts. And the  
2 reality exists that if you had -- If you were more  
3 respective to the three COI's, you have your solution  
4 right there. So again, this is my problem with this  
5 district is there's a viable option out there that --  
6 that exists. And as it is, this -- I just -- I just --  
7 This is just too hard for me to accept this.

8 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Filkins-Webber?

9 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I concur. I'm  
10 actually glad to hear Commissioner DiGuilio put that on  
11 the record because I was also concerned. And based on  
12 what Commissioner Galambos-Malloy had said before and  
13 what we have consistently said, is that we try to respect  
14 communities or interest at all levels. There is a  
15 community of interest that has not been respected at any  
16 map level. And that's the City of Hawthorne. And there  
17 have been some contentions that we've only recently heard  
18 this community of interest testimony. And that's -- I  
19 went back and I went through all of the database. And it  
20 has been fairly consistent, dating back as far as April,  
21 May and June before the draft maps came out, that put  
22 Hawthorne with the Beach Cities. The demographics of  
23 Hawthorne have changed. And it's more consistent of what  
24 they have been bringing to us and what they have provided  
25 to us in the last several weeks. And I think that the

1 only push back from that is because of what happened in  
2 our draft maps. And what I am suggesting is that 1.2 --  
3 Los Angeles 1.2, which we looked at last week -- We did  
4 not reject it. It's on the website as a current working  
5 visualization. And that does precisely what Commissioner  
6 DiGuilio is talking about. It respects this South Bay  
7 area. It respects the recent testimony we received from  
8 Ms. Huffman, that she doesn't want Inglewood with  
9 Torrance. I'm concerned about this split in Torrance  
10 because I didn't see that testimony. We have a  
11 considerable API community that's of interest here that  
12 we've gotten consistent testimony about. We've had  
13 recent concerns about the downtown. I won't repeat  
14 everything that Commissioner DiGuilio stated. But again,  
15 we do have a viable option that's a current working  
16 visualization, which is Option 1.2. And I think that  
17 that rightfully represents the community of interest  
18 testimony in these three districts and, again, will  
19 accurately represent the Hawthorne, which has not been  
20 respected at either the assembly or the senate level.

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Raya?

22 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Question? Commissioner  
23 DiGuilio, are you also suggesting that we would simply  
24 adopt the lines for those three districts as currently  
25 shown in the 1.2 --

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** No.

2           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- visualization? Or --

3           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** No.

4           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- I mean, I'm willing --

5           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I'm sorry.

6           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- to take a look at

7 something if you have some --

8           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah. Well, and that's

9 why I think --

10           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- guidance to the mappers so

11 that we could --

12           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I think --

13           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** -- see an option?

14           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Thank you. I appreciate  
15 it. The concept -- It's the concept of 1.2. But as I  
16 understand it, that is not equalized for population. And  
17 the other thing that this does -- this does is this does  
18 connect. We -- We worked very hard to get San Pedro with  
19 the port and with the Alameda Corridor because there's a  
20 real, you know; COI here. So I think in 1.2 it does not  
21 have this aspect. But I think it's the -- the idea  
22 behind 1.2. If you see the way the -- the western parts  
23 are shaped, is that it basically would take a southern  
24 part -- And I -- There are a lot of -- We've had a lot of  
25 testimony -- Again, all of this is competing COI's. This

1 is -- You know, there's conflicting COI's -- I say  
2 competing. That is competing -- conflicting COI's about  
3 all this. And I don't know if we can -- if we can get  
4 all the southern cities that have been identified. But I  
5 think we could get a good amount of the southern cities  
6 together: Lomita, Torrance, Gardena, you know, all the --  
7 -- the -- Redondo Beach down here together. So you'd --  
8 You'd go up 702,000. Because this is -- And I would -- I  
9 don't want to make it so crass that you just -- it's the  
10 numbers --

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

12 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- But at this point, it  
13 is numbers. So you just go up here, 702, right here.  
14 It's just three districts -- One, two, three. So you're  
15 just rearranging these exacts populations in that  
16 configuration. So up 702 and then you'd come down 702.  
17 And then you'd have a middle district that would link the  
18 Inglewood with the airport.

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Commissioners,  
20 that's a major change. How do you all feel about that?  
21 You want to look at that? That's Option 1.2, right?

22 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** No. I -- It's this.  
23 It's similar because this has been -- Ms. Boyle has  
24 already equalized this for population. It's just the  
25 concept around 1.2 to do it north/south splits like that

1 -- or east/west --

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

3 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- splits.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yao?

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: East/west splits.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: Are we proposing to keep the  
7 eastern edge of these three districts identical?

8 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes, it's -- It goes up  
9 here and our -- I think around -- It's just this  
10 district, the blue district, yellow district and --

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: Right.

12 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah.

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: So we're keeping the three  
14 eastern -- this -- the eastern boundaries constant?

15 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think it would a relatively  
17 easy change and we accept the fact that we'll split  
18 whatever City associated with the population division  
19 without making a lot of adjustments, then -- then I'm  
20 more than willing to -- to look at it. It's just that  
21 having to balance -- having to keep --

22 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Correct.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- the city whole, that's  
24 making the -- the decision a lot tougher. And I do  
25 agree, keeping this so-called South Bay together has

1 receive a lot of support. And keeping the Malibu, along  
2 with the hills, that also has receive a lot of support.  
3 The only thing I'm uncertain is whether this region in  
4 the middle is going to receive similar kind of support.  
5 But if you feel that we can -- we don't end up creating a  
6 problem because these individuals really don't see  
7 themselves any part of, quote/unquote, the Beach City --

8 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah, I know. They  
9 wouldn't.

10 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** -- If you -- If you don't feel  
11 that's an issue, then -- then I -- I'm -- I would  
12 absolutely want to explore that.

13 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Blanco?

14 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Okay. So I've -- I -- One  
15 -- If we were to look at this, I want to take us back to  
16 June 10<sup>th</sup> and even to our 1.2 visualization, which is that  
17 when we had these very large coastal districts -- Like in  
18 1.2, we would have one fewer Latino congressional seat  
19 that we 'do in our current map. So I'm -- I'm -- I just  
20 want to weigh in and say that we -- This was something  
21 that we faced before and we need to be very mindful that  
22 when we compress from the sides with the -- that -- and  
23 we build big coastal, we begin to have problems in the  
24 interior. I'm not expressing right now a position. But  
25 I just want to have us think about that as we're working

1 on this.

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Forbes, Barabba, then back to  
3 you.

4           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Well, it seems to me that  
5 this is actually -- We have a big coastal as in very big  
6 coastal and that breaking it into three districts,  
7 east/west, without changing the east line -- eastern  
8 line, there is no impact on the central districts at all.  
9 And so I think -- I mean, I don't have to repeat the --  
10 so -- about the community of interest. And I sort of see  
11 -- I need to sort of see where those lines end up in this  
12 and to see what happens then. But I don't see this  
13 (inaudible) as costing us a Latino district at all  
14 because of the three districts. None of those three  
15 districts are Latino districts.

16           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Barabba? Yao?

17           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** It's been said. Thank  
18 you.

19           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. So let's go ahead  
20 and explore that. DiGuilio, you want to direct the  
21 mappers?

22           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** So I don't think we took  
23 a vote. How many rotations are we --

24           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, let's take --

25           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- How many --

1           CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:  -- a vote.  Let's --  
2           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  -- rotations are we  
3 talking about?  
4           COMMISSIONER WARD:  None.  
5           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  None?  What -- What is  
6 the -- the proposal again?  
7           COMMISSIONER FORBES:  Okay.  You start south --  
8 I'm going to speak for you.  
9           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  Yeah.  (Inaudible)  
10 Whoever.  Just what's the --  
11          COMMISSIONER FORBES:  No, you start south --  
12          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  -- purple zone?  
13          COMMISSIONER FORBES:  -- and go in -- go toward -  
14 -  
15          CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:  Use -- Use your --  
16          COMMISSIONER FORBES:  -- (inaudible)  
17          CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:  -- pointer.  Use your pointer  
18 --  
19          COMMISSIONER FORBES:  I'm sorry.  
20          CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:  -- so everybody's clear on  
21 it.  
22          COMMISSIONER FORBES:  I agree.  Okay.  You start  
23 down here.  Where'd it go?  There you go.  Start there.  
24 Go up 703,000 people.  Go up another 703,000 people.  And  
25 see what you've got.  That where you start.  Correct?

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** That's -- Yeah.  
2 (Inaudible).

3           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So at this  
4 point I think it would be helpful to have Q2 weigh in on  
5 the technical side, what we would be looking at if we  
6 wanted to explore this further. And then once we have  
7 that information, then we can take a straw poll to see  
8 how many commissioners would like to explore it further.

9           **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. So the Torrance two-  
10 district swap would probably take -- You know, there's  
11 always best case/worst case scenario. But you how long  
12 it took us to locate those blocks in Burbank. So that  
13 was about maybe 20 minutes. So Torrance would probably  
14 somewhere around 30 to 45 minutes. And this particular  
15 re-draw, couple hours maybe.

16           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So I think  
17 it's important to get a sense from the commissioners. Do  
18 we have nine commissioners that -- that feel like  
19 exploring this? Not committing us to it long term, but  
20 exploring it is worth the two hours?

21           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Raise your hands.

22           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Well -- Can -- I need a  
23 little more information first.

24           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Yeah.

25           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** A time check and, you

1 know, kind of where we are, what -- what else we have to  
2 do between now and whenever.

3 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Okay. So  
4 where we're at, we have -- we have remaining  
5 congressional districts in Los Angeles and for the  
6 remainder of the State moving north. We have a  
7 particular proposal regarding the Monterey/Santa Cruz  
8 area that has -- is worth consideration, but has  
9 significant impacts throughout the region that may likely  
10 take hours as well. We have Board of Equalization  
11 districts for the State. And I think --

12 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** That's it.

13 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** That's -- That  
14 would be it.

15 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Let -- Let me make one  
16 observation. I think that we -- I think we can get --  
17 you -- get to gross numbers here. I don't think we have  
18 to block every little thing off. I think we can just  
19 simply add up how far up here -- how many cities -- We  
20 have the numbers -- do we have to -- How far do we have  
21 to go to get to 703,000? That will give us an idea. If,  
22 in fact, we like the idea once we see those numbers, then  
23 we -- we can devote the time. If -- If the gross numbers  
24 don't work, then we -- we can forget about it.

25 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yeah. I just want to make clear

1 that what takes the time is the balancing part.

2 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I understand.

3 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Anyone else? Commissioner  
4 DiGuilio, then Parvenu.

5 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** You know, I'm just  
6 wondering if there's a way -- I know that in order for --  
7 Right now we're doing -- working on this one map and,  
8 again, trying to -- In the interests of saving time, is  
9 there something that Ms. Boyle could do off line where,  
10 again, she doesn't have to equalize numbers yet. Don't  
11 invest that time. But just kind of go up, highlight a  
12 few areas, get a total of that area, then highlight a few  
13 areas and get a total of that and even come back to us.  
14 It doesn't even have to be on the statewide map, I mean  
15 before we make significant changes to this.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Parvenu, then Filkins-Webber,  
17 then Barabba.

18 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** In the interests of saving  
19 time also and to -- so we can be as productive as  
20 possible today, I'll mention that there is a map, Option  
21 1.2, exists under previously presented. And you look at  
22 Option number 2011, 7/15, 9:59 p.m., Congress LA Option  
23 1.2. And I think all the details have been worked out.  
24 What we disagreed to when we voted to go with Option 1 as  
25 opposed to 1.2 was that the configuration -- the

1 configuration gave the central -- the core area of Los  
2 Angeles -- more -- that the focus was not on the bay  
3 cities, but the focus was more on the central part of Los  
4 Angeles. And I think that was one of the leading reasons  
5 why we voted collectively to favor Option 1 as opposed to  
6 1.2. But it's there --

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay.

8 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** -- I'm looking at it now.

9 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Filkins-Webber,  
10 one minute and then Barabba, one minute.

11 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm willing to ask  
12 our vendors -- or Q2 -- to use the time. I don't want to  
13 say that we can't do this because it's going to take an  
14 hour or two. What I would suggest is Ms. Alon is here  
15 and we can ask Ms. Boyle if it's possible to step aside  
16 and work on this configuration. We can go through the  
17 congressional up north while Ms. Boyle is working on this  
18 configuration and we can come back to it.

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Yeah. Barabba, you --

20 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** And we can do BOE  
21 too.

22 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** Same point.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Yao?

24 **COMMISSIONER YAO:** I think we can flash 1.2 on  
25 the screen. It really would give us this approximation

1 that we're looking for. And as I mentioned before, the  
2 center section of the district is the one that's most  
3 problematic for us. If we can overcome that, then I --  
4 then I -- then I think 1.2, even though the border along  
5 the southern edge and -- and a little bit along the  
6 northern edge are slightly different for -- for the  
7 information that we want to extract from it, I believe  
8 1.2 would give us the overview that we're asking Q2 to  
9 make at this point in time. So I would encourage us to  
10 simply just show the 1.2 on the screen --

11 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. For those  
12 commissioners that are sitting on the fence and would  
13 like to see 1.2, can we do that, mappers?

14 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yeah, she's loading it right now.

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Galambos-Malloy, did you have  
16 a comment?

17 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** I would like  
18 to see 1.2 before I make my comment. And that's the one  
19 I was referring to earlier. We'll just know that, as  
20 Commissioner Yao said, it's not -- 1.2 doesn't look the  
21 same and on the internal it -- What we're saying is we  
22 would be working with this. The eastern line wouldn't  
23 change. What Commissioner Yao is saying is we look at  
24 1.2 to see what it would -- the concept would look like.  
25 The details will change -- The details will change on the

1 coast because 1.2, you'll see, doesn't even link up the -  
2 - the ports. So the idea is when we're looking at 1.2,  
3 don't look at the east. Look at the general  
4 configuration on the west. The concept is the thirds.

5 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And there is it.

6 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Well, it's --  
7 There are two of them there. So let me just say real  
8 fast while we're looking at -- This line does look a  
9 little different and it would -- My -- The original one  
10 is to stick with what we have because it's already been  
11 equalized. So don't kind of look from this side over,  
12 including this. So -- But the -- It's the concept of  
13 having the South Bay here. You have Inglewood matched up  
14 with the airport. You can get rid of the Dockweiler Bay  
15 -- Beach -- And then you also have -- We've never really  
16 been able to connect all this west side of LA. We've  
17 always kind of gone around in different -- different  
18 levels. And it -- While you keep the Santa Monica Bay  
19 and the Santa Monica Mountains at the Federal level  
20 together still. So -- But I think Commissioner Yao is  
21 right. I think this -- this has -- My point with looking  
22 at this is really is this is a viable option. It does a  
23 lot of COI's, but there is a -- There is an issue here  
24 that we need to discuss how the Commission feels about  
25 that.

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Barabba?

2           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** I think we should combine  
3 -- go back to Commissioner Filkins-Webber's suggestion of  
4 -- Because I think this does demonstrate there might be  
5 some viability to this approach. But to -- Let's go and  
6 have Q2 work on this idea, fixing the east -- eastern  
7 line to the original districts. And then in the  
8 meanwhile, we'd be looking at the rest of the -- the  
9 State.

10          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Q2, can you do that?

11          **MS. MACDONALD:** You know, we're looking through  
12 Nicole's files right now to see if she's ever done an  
13 approximation of what Commissioner DiGuilio is  
14 describing. So if you just give us a couple of minutes,  
15 we might actually have some sort of resemblance of that  
16 because we've re-drawn --

17          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Oh, okay.

18          **MS. MACDONALD:** -- LA so many times.

19          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. So Galambos-  
20 Malloy?

21          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Okay. So many  
22 times.

23          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** You wanted to see this.

24          **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** Well, I think  
25 the -- the concept has been clear. And I think we spent

1 -- We have spent hours and we will likely spend more  
2 hours discussing this on a conceptual level and  
3 potentially have a more tangible visualization. I think  
4 that we are reaching a point as a Commission where we are  
5 each grappling as individuals with how we prioritize  
6 different competing COI's, particularly in some of the  
7 denser urban areas. And I think that we did some very  
8 hard work last week to arrive at the visualization that  
9 we were starting today's conversation from. And I think  
10 we could do some more hard work to have a different  
11 visualization that looks more like one of the  
12 visualizations we started with last week. The truth is I  
13 think we have some differences because of our diversity  
14 from many different aspects across the Commission on how  
15 at the end of the day we are going to feel comfortable  
16 prioritizing different pieces of -- particularly, where  
17 we're looking at that fourth criteria and we're looking  
18 at cities and counties and neighborhoods and communities  
19 of interest. And I think -- I'm not against investing  
20 the time to look at the option. But what I'm observing  
21 is that the other option may have other commissioners  
22 that feel more comfortable with that option and voting  
23 for that option. But it will likely have other  
24 commissioners who don't feel comfortable and won't vote  
25 for that option. And so I think we're reaching a really

1 pragmatic point in our process as well, that we're likely  
2 not to get to a unanimous vote on the congressional maps.  
3 We can have the same debates and conversations that we  
4 had last week. But at some point we're going to have to  
5 make the call.

6 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** But I think that when --  
7 You know, if there are, you know, strong feelings on both  
8 sides, I think then both sides are -- deserve the  
9 courtesy of having their view fleshed out because at  
10 least -- you know, they may or may not win the vote.  
11 Either side may or may not have the -- have the nine  
12 votes necessary. But I think that if you -- if you --  
13 You have to come together at the end, you'll feel much  
14 better about it if, in fact, your view has gotten a full  
15 hearing.

16 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I agree.

17 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** And so I think it's worth it,  
18 if only for that reason.

19 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Parvenu? Did  
20 you have your hand up?

21 **COMMISSIONER PARVENU:** I -- I did. I -- I'll  
22 just pass for now. I'm just -- I came here prepared to  
23 make minor modifications to what we voted on the last  
24 time we discussed this region, not to start all over  
25 again and spend hours again debating conceptually the

1 configurations and going back and discussing this because  
2 I'm not comfortable and I will not vote for this map and  
3 that's the bottom line.

4           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Q2 says they can  
5 get the figures together fairly quickly. And if so,  
6 let's just let them do that.

7           **MS. MACDONALD:** Actually, I think what we said is  
8 we're looking for a visualization that might do what we  
9 think Commissioner DiGuilio has just described. So we're  
10 still looking for that because if we can find that, then  
11 you can look at something very quickly.

12           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Sure.

13           **MS. MACDONALD:** And if not, then we basically  
14 would need some guidance from you on what you want us to  
15 do.

16           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. If they are not  
17 able to get it together very quickly, then we're going to  
18 have to have a show of hands whether we want to fully go  
19 that route as another option. Yao?

20           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Is my assumption correct that  
21 this is -- assuming that the eastern line is what we had  
22 from -- from the State map. Don't ignore the details  
23 associated with the -- with the eastern part of the line.  
24 Is this the most problematic district? I'm asking the  
25 commissioners' opinion on this. It -- I kind of

1 interpret that this -- this is not an -- not a major  
2 issue and we already discussed the bulk of the issue  
3 associated with the east end just yesterday. And the  
4 fact that Malibu got a couple in with Santa Monica, I  
5 think it eased a lot of the concern. So what's left is  
6 really the -- the region in the middle. Is this the  
7 region -- I assume that that's the -- that's the district  
8 that has most of the issues. Is that -- Is my assumption  
9 correct?

10 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** See, I'm not sure until I  
11 see the second visualization of 1.2 fully fleshed out  
12 that this isn't all one district.

13 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** No.

14 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** No, I --

15 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I look at -- I'll -- I'm  
16 just adding up the numbers. I mean, to get to 700,000,  
17 that's 110, 120, 170, 210, 270 or 280, you know, another  
18 145 is 3 -- We're only at 340 or 440 just getting those  
19 gross numbers. So I think the district's actually going  
20 to be more up here. I mean, maybe I'm wrong. But --

21 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Q2 has just  
22 indicated that they're going to take a lot more time to  
23 run it down. Is that correct?

24 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yes. So at this point really  
25 what we would have to do is go to Commissioner Filkins-

1 Webber's suggestion of the swap in/out Nicole and Tamina,  
2 starting with the congressional districts up north and  
3 having Nicole do something not balanced, but basically  
4 just grab some of the bigger pieces just so you can see  
5 this architecture. And then if that's what you --

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right.

7 MS. MACDONALD: -- would like to direct us to do,  
8 that --

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Is that what you want to do?

10 MS. MACDONALD: But I do need Nicole to have a  
11 half hour break.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. You need to raise  
13 your hand when you get -- get some sense of this. All  
14 the way up. All the way up.

15 MS. MACDONALD: Yeah.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: One -- three, four, five, six  
17 -- Only six -- Seven. Again, one, two, three -- Raya,  
18 make your hand --

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm sorry. Well, I'm sorry.  
20 It's to have Q2 take how much time again? I'm sorry. I  
21 was trying to --

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Well, we're going to --

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- look at both --

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: -- We're going to --

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- (inaudible) and --

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- go to the other  
2 congressional districts --  
3           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Right.  
4           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- We're going to move along.  
5 And while we're doing that, they're going to switch  
6 mappers. And one of them will work on this alternative  
7 to try to get some numbers pinned down so we can come  
8 back to it later on.  
9           **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Okay.  
10          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right?  
11          **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Okay.  
12          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** So that we don't --  
13          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Can I just --  
14          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- lose time.  
15          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- Can I say something?  
16 I mean, I think we're -- I mean, we're dancing around an  
17 elephant in the room here. And I don't think -- You  
18 know, I appreciate everyone's willingness to, you know,  
19 go down this path. But you know, we're asking Q2 to do a  
20 lot of work. And I think that if there -- There's  
21 commissioners here that are willing to look at this and  
22 to really examine this issue. But I mean, are we -- Are  
23 the rest of the commissioners willing to do this? I'd  
24 like to see this done. I think it's a real viable  
25 option. I think there's some real legitimacy as to why

1 overall it's better, even though there -- And I'm  
2 recognizing there's still some issues. But my question  
3 is there's a -- There's an issue with the votes here.  
4 And if we don't -- I mean, we all recognize this. We're  
5 not -- We're not done.

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Well, that's --

7 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** But no, my point is --

8 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- the point of the vote.

9 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- My -- No, but my point  
10 is that if people are willing to look at this and go  
11 through, then I'd be happy to do this and to really  
12 consider it. But we all know the consequences of this.  
13 So if we're willing to go down this road, I just want to  
14 make sure we're using everyone's time wisely.

15 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Raya?

16 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Then -- Then I think what we  
17 need to do is simply ask this question because we have  
18 all heard commissioners express a position I will not  
19 vote for the congressional map 1.2. I will not vote for  
20 the one that is currently sitting on the State map. I  
21 think that's really the question. That's the -- That's  
22 the count to take right now. Who will not vote for one  
23 or the other? And then we know the position we're in.

24 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** And I appreciate that,  
25 Commissioner Raya, because I feel like that needs to be

1 put on the table and I don't want to waste time if that's  
2 not -- You know, I don't -- Just -- In all courtesy to  
3 this Commission and to our staff. And I think -- Yeah,  
4 that's (inaudible), so.

5 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. I think that makes a  
6 lot of commonsense. So let me ask all those in favor of  
7 -- voting in favor of the existing district plan, raise  
8 your hand.

9 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Wait. Well, I think it's  
10 more a --

11 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I mean, that's the --

12 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- question of --

13 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- rest of my --

14 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- who will --

15 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- question.

16 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- not.

17 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** That's not the  
18 question.

19 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** That's not the right  
20 question.

21 **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** The question  
22 is who will not -- Who absolutely will not vote for this  
23 map?

24 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. That's --

25 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I mean, like I prefer --

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- the alternative.

2           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- the Orange County --

3           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Who --

4           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- vote, you know, but I  
5 would -- I'm going to -- Even though I didn't win, I'm  
6 going to vote for it anyway because that -- You know, the  
7 common good -- I'm going to say that it is more important  
8 -- I mean, unless -- I would hope we would debate these  
9 and the losers -- And I could well be a loser -- would  
10 have the grace to vote -- to recognize that we need to  
11 keep the common good here in mind and to chose to vote  
12 against this -- against 53 districts for the sake of one  
13 is a mistake and I think a real disservice to the public.

14           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. On this map that  
15 is shown now, how many -- how many are in favor of it?  
16 Raise your hands.

17           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** (Inaudible) the  
18 wrong question.

19           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** How many -- How many would  
20 not vote for this map? Raise your hand.

21           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** And I would vote -- I'll  
22 clarify that. But I will vote no on the statewide maps.

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Again, one more time.

24           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** No, I want to say this  
25 because it's very important.

1           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Let me take that vote again  
2 since it's very important. How many would not vote for  
3 this map? Raise your hands. Three. Comments?

4           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** Would you make the similar  
5 vote the 1.2 version, assuming the eastern border is  
6 identical to this configuration, how many will not vote  
7 for that configuration?

8           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. How many will not vote  
9 for 1.2 configuration? Raise your hands. Okay. We only  
10 have two. Three?

11           **COMMISSIONER YAO:** So we have identical opinions  
12 on both maps. So I think we need to investigate both --

13           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** No. We don't have to. I  
14 should say, though, this is the issue -- right? -- the  
15 two that are saying no -- two of the two that are saying  
16 they won't vote for the other one are from the other  
17 party. So the point is this Commission has to say is it  
18 worth it to lose votes versus one? And I recognize that.  
19 And for me to say no to a whole statewide map, I don't  
20 take lightly at all, as you can tell. But I feel like  
21 the issue of me doing a disservice to the State is  
22 exactly why I would say no because I was put on this  
23 Commission to apply the same rules, whether it's Delmar  
24 or Imperial. And I feel like here because the  
25 configuration of the other party is we don't have a

1 balance in terms of looking at options that are  
2 realistic. And it's not that I would never accept this  
3 district, it's that I think when there's a viable option  
4 and of the -- All the other places we can go, we go to  
5 the East Bay. We can go to Coachella Valley. We can go  
6 everywhere and say we may not agree with that the way it  
7 looks. But it's -- We chose it because it's not the  
8 worst of the options that are out there. It's the best  
9 of the options. And this district is not, in my mind,  
10 the best of the options. So if I vote no for the  
11 statewide maps because of this three area, it's because I  
12 feel like I have to. My conscience will only let me live  
13 what I can live with. And I feel like the reason I was -  
14 - I signed up for this Commission and the reason this  
15 Commission exists is because we're supposed to apply  
16 those criteria everywhere. And I don't feel like -- I  
17 don't feel like we've applied this equally in this one  
18 spot. And the -- And the only reason that we're not  
19 exploring that further is the makeup of the others and  
20 there's -- The two votes will kill things. And so that's  
21 the way it goes. And I accept that. It's the will of  
22 the Commission. But I have to put it on the record as to  
23 why I would be willing to take such drastic actions on a  
24 statewide basis is because I care about the State and I  
25 care about the process. And that's why I was put on this

1 Commission.

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Commissioner Blanco, then  
3 Aguirre.

4           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** You know, there are parts  
5 of some of the maps we have that I don't think are the  
6 best options. I think an area that I come from, the Bay  
7 Area, is -- has serious difficulties, cities that are in  
8 -- adjoin with communities that have nothing to do with  
9 them. And you've heard me talk over and over about  
10 Vallejo and because of American Canyon and other cities  
11 and that we wouldn't cross the bridge and many, many  
12 variables, in addition to population. We have -- I know  
13 there are places in the Bay Area. We have the whole area  
14 with Hayward and East Costa Costa that is really  
15 problematic. And it's not -- I don't think it's the --  
16 the best of all the bad options. It's -- It's a  
17 problematic area that was influenced by a lot of things.  
18 So I -- I don't -- I just want to go on the record saying  
19 this is not -- We don't have all these other districts  
20 where everything worked out and where we have the best of  
21 all the options. And all of a sudden we have one. I --  
22 I think this is a Coastal District. And it is not our  
23 fault that Malibu sits over where it sits with this huge  
24 expanse of zero population because it is a wealthy  
25 community that set itself apart and is there for a reason

1 and that in order to get population to build a district  
2 you have to go all the way down. It's part of the --  
3 These are some of the issues we've dealt with in other  
4 parts of the State, where, you know, you had, you know,  
5 some areas that were dense and then huge areas that were  
6 not populated and we had to join them together. So I am  
7 not prepared to say that this is the only district where  
8 we have -- are choosing an option that is not ideal. And  
9 in fact, we have a lot of community of interest that puts  
10 -- First of all, I really disagree for -- that Hawthorne  
11 is a Beach City. It's been in the district that it's in  
12 now for a long time and it's -- I've never thought of it  
13 as a Beach City. We have a lot of testimony about -- or  
14 both these districts about the airport. I mean, I don't  
15 want to repeat it because we've sat here for the last,  
16 you know, how many months and -- and heard about the  
17 different communities of interest that lie within all  
18 these different congressional districts that we've got up  
19 on the map. Every other regions -- We just went through  
20 some today -- where we had, because of the size of the  
21 congressional districts, three or four different  
22 communities or interest within one congressional  
23 district. They weren't all identical. So the fact that  
24 we have a district here that has Inglewood and Torrance  
25 and Hawthorne, to me, is very similar to districts all

1 over the State where I -- you're -- At this level, you  
2 have many different communities of interest within one  
3 district. The same for the district above it, you know.  
4 So I, you know -- I just wanted to say I don't want it to  
5 -- to go on record like somehow this district stands in  
6 contradiction or in -- in distinction to many other  
7 districts that we have up there across the State on a  
8 congressional level that are large, that are coastal.  
9 Look at what we just did in the foothill areas where we  
10 jumped over some areas to capture, you know, the -- the  
11 foothill areas of, you know, the mountains and split  
12 cities. Is that ideal? I bet you the people in that  
13 area might not think it's ideal. But we went ahead and  
14 we balanced a lot of different things and we came up with  
15 what we thought was, you know, the best among all the  
16 competing interests. So I'm done.

17 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Aguirre?

18 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** Yes. And I would agree  
19 with Ms. Blanco that we're not all happy with every  
20 single district that we have -- that we've drawn.  
21 Perhaps as individuals we have to draw on our  
22 experiences. And my experience is I go up and down the  
23 State on these districts, happens to be from the  
24 perspective of, you know, being -- having been a farm  
25 worker, having been a minority, having been a -- having

1 been homeless, having been non-English speaking. That's  
2 a perspective that I kind of try to approach. But with  
3 my experiences within -- within my life, I understand  
4 that -- that we live in a global community and, you know,  
5 not everybody is going to necessarily get along or share  
6 in the same benefits of society. But -- So in that  
7 regard, then it continues to be a struggle and it's going  
8 to continue to be a struggle after these maps are drawn  
9 and into the coming decade. So for me, I'm not totally  
10 happy with all -- all the districts, the way that they've  
11 been drawn. However, I think as -- as a team, we have --  
12 we developed a strategy. And part of that strategy was  
13 to go through a map by map either approval or rejection.  
14 And up until now I think that we've -- we've gotten  
15 there. And the reason that we've done that is because we  
16 want to make sure that everybody has an opportunity to  
17 express themselves and express their pleasure or  
18 displeasure with each map and then come to some kind of a  
19 consensus so we can move forward. So as -- The votes  
20 that we took a little while ago indicates that there's --  
21 there's a couple of people that would vote for this, two  
22 or three people that would not vote for the other one.  
23 That leaves about ten people in the middle. So in that  
24 regard, then, the suggestion was that we look at that  
25 option and I agree that, you know, that we have a

1 mechanism for looking at that option. So if it happens  
2 that we ask a line drawer to -- to develop a  
3 visualization that we could then look at and if it looks  
4 -- we'll take a vote at that time. And at that time  
5 it'll be between this one and the other one.

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** I agree --

7 **COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE:** So.

8 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** -- Commissioner Raya?

9 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** I just have one question of  
10 the commissioners. And this is not intended to isolate  
11 Commissioner DiGuilio at all. I believe she's very  
12 sincere in her -- her outlook on this area. But I would  
13 like to know if there is anyone else who is unwilling to  
14 vote yes on the maps as a whole based on which way this  
15 district -- if this district goes the way you don't like  
16 it, are you -- Is that enough to -- for you to vote  
17 against the maps? Commissioner Parvenu? Commissioner  
18 Filkins-Webber? And I don't know else. I can't see  
19 behind me, so I don't know who else voted no to one or  
20 the other.

21 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yes. And I base  
22 that solely on the discussion that we had last weekend  
23 regarding this area. And I had made recommendations two  
24 times regarding the manner in which we were holding our  
25 discussion on Friday and Saturday concerning this area.

1 And because this iteration is coming about as a result of  
2 that discussion, which I was not in favor of the manner  
3 in which it was conducted, that is the basis for my  
4 inability to consider voting on all of the maps based on  
5 that discussion. And I can be more blunt, but I won't.  
6 But I had my -- my comments known at that time. I think  
7 that they're flowing over into this. And I think that,  
8 again, there's inconsistencies in recognizing communities  
9 of interest in this area for other factors that may be  
10 unconstitutional.

11 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** And can I just say, too,  
12 and -- Now that I'm not blubbering rose, I can be  
13 rambling rose again. But the reason I got so upset is  
14 because it's -- I don't -- I don't know this area. This  
15 is not my area. I mean, I -- I'm from Ventura on the  
16 coast. I -- so does that make me coastal? My objection  
17 is simply that I think we have a viable option that's  
18 doable and that was what I have a problem with. I  
19 understand that all of the places -- We went through the  
20 Bay Area and -- You know, Commissioner Blanco and  
21 Commissioner Galambos-Malloy and Commissioner Dai worked  
22 with Tamina over and over and over again in congressional  
23 to find -- try and find an option. And it never worked.  
24 So I feel like we had an option. It's a viable option.  
25 And I -- Not the Commission, not everybody else -- It's

1 my personal opinion that there's another option out there  
2 that's viable and better. And as a result, I feel like  
3 we are passing it over for reasons that I don't agree  
4 with. And it goes to the integrity of this process for  
5 me, for me. I'm not saying anything else about the  
6 Commission. For me, this is where there's a loss of  
7 integrity in the process. And that's why I'm willing to  
8 do something that I cannot vote for all of them, even  
9 though I think we've done a very good job balancing  
10 everywhere else. But I didn't get put on here for 50 out  
11 of 53 districts. And that's just -- that's my own  
12 personal line. And I just ask that if we go this way  
13 that other people just respect that. That's all.

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Ward? Then Barabba.

15 **COMMISSIONER WARD:** Yeah. I just want to lend  
16 support to Commissioner DiGuilio. I mean, I know that's  
17 what the issue is for me. It's not a matter of getting a  
18 district drawn the way you think is best or not. It's a  
19 matter of the process. And that's been my frustration.  
20 I think I felt it earlier in Orange County today. And I  
21 feel it about this map. We've received public comment  
22 this morning, input that people -- input that this  
23 district might be unconstitutional. The thought is that  
24 we've -- the thought processes that have gone into making  
25 this, to me, defy the standards we've used in other parts

1 of the State. And I'll leave it at that.

2 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Barabba, then Galambos-  
3 Malloy.

4 **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** I -- it seems -- I wasn't  
5 here for when this debate took place, so I don't know  
6 what everybody's talking about. But the -- We've now  
7 spent enough time that we probably could've looked at the  
8 alternative. And so the initial discussion that was  
9 provided by -- suggestion by Commissioner Filkins-Webber  
10 is that we move on to the northern part of California.  
11 And when Nicole gets rested, that she can -- she can  
12 start working on looking at this alternative because it  
13 would seem, you know, unfortunate to me that we have a --  
14 a -- really a breakup within the Commission because we're  
15 not willing to look at an alternative.

16 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I will just --

17 **MR. BROWN:** And I'd make a --

18 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- I will take it off the  
19 table if you want --

20 **MR. BROWN:** -- suggestion.

21 **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** I'll take it off the  
22 table if you want, if it's the will of the Commission to  
23 save the time.

24 **MR. BROWN:** Can I make a suggestion? It might be  
25 worthwhile to have a short closed session before you move

1 to Northern California.

2           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Before we do  
3 that, let me have Galambos-Malloy.

4           **VICE-CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS-MALLOY:** So I -- when I  
5 remember back to thinking about some of the reasons that  
6 we were all put on the Commission and the process that  
7 the auditors had to go to to figure out who should sit in  
8 these seats of the tens of thousands of qualified people  
9 who put their names in the hat. You know, their goal was  
10 to have people who had a deep appreciation for the  
11 State's diverse demography and geography. And I think  
12 that they have accomplished that. And I think that one  
13 of the side impacts of that is that we also have very  
14 diverse personal and professional expenses that impact  
15 how we as individuals weigh the more subjective areas of  
16 the exercise that we have been put here to conduct. You  
17 know, particularly, I think this has come into play  
18 around the fourth criteria. I know that, despite the  
19 fact we're getting to the point where those differences  
20 are becoming more evident, that -- I do believe that we  
21 all carry the same deep or sense of responsibility to the  
22 process at hand. And so I want to reject on its face any  
23 inference that there are certain commissioners who have a  
24 deeper commitment to the process or have invested more in  
25 the process or have a more -- more neutral eye or

1 whatever you want to call it. I think we have different  
2 perspectives and that this Commission was constructed to  
3 value those. And so here we are. This is -- This is  
4 what it looks like. I think that at this point we are  
5 having to vote where the criteria also meets with our  
6 individual consciences of what we feel like is the higher  
7 good and the right thing to do. Even though I might have  
8 a divergent vote from some of my fellow commissioners, I  
9 can still respect their perspectives of where they're  
10 coming from. I think one of the issues that has been  
11 raised today is the coincidence that one of the other  
12 commissioners who has concerns about this issue is  
13 Commissioner Parvenu. And we both have to be in the  
14 decline to state pool. And I would just remind the  
15 commissioners that we had no control over the fact that  
16 we are in the decline to state pool. And you know, this  
17 is really an interesting predicament that we find  
18 ourselves in here today because all of us are having to  
19 vote with our conscience and there's also a different  
20 impact, a different weight, whether two people from the  
21 decline to state pool decide to vote or not vote for  
22 something, right? With all of this in mind, I personally  
23 did not feel that, knowing that, I couldn't feel  
24 comfortable with the outcome of something akin to a 1.2  
25 because I feel that some of the sacrifices on the

1 communities of interest that would be lost with that  
2 version, I don't personally feel like they're equal to  
3 some of the communities of interest that would be gained.  
4 I feel like there's different issues surrounding  
5 political representation for those different communities.  
6 And that was a choice I had to make. That's a choice  
7 we're each having to make for ourselves. So I personally  
8 didn't think it was the best use of my own time to say  
9 let's investigate this other option because I feel that I  
10 know -- I already have a sense -- that that is not  
11 something that I can feel I can vote for in good  
12 conscience. If it's the will of the Commission and we're  
13 close to nine commissioners who want to see it, I'm happy  
14 to go that direction. And I mean as Chair and Vice  
15 Chair, it's our job to facilitate the process, not  
16 dominate the process. And we're happy to entertain that.  
17 I can't say that is going to change my vote. I am fairly  
18 certain that my vote or my projected vote will probably  
19 stay the same. But I do not want it to be said that all  
20 the commissioners have not had a chance to explore  
21 options and view alternatives if that's what they would  
22 like to do.

23           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. I am going to  
24 request a closed session. So if the public can leave the  
25 room. And please take your possessions.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

(Off the record)

**CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right, we're live.

Commissioners, we want to have a short statement by our legal counsel on the closed session that we had. Marian?

**MS. JOHNSTON:** The Commission met in closed session pursuant to 11126 to discuss strategies in response to potential litigation. No actions were taken.

**CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Thank you. Okay. I -- I think what has happened in the last hour is a very frank and honest discussion on one particular district that -- or two or three -- that has brought together some critical issues, core issues that we need to address as we look at two alternatives for this site. And so we've asked Q2 to go ahead and pursue in rough figures what the alternative would look like. And then we're going to come back and revisit that and have a frank discussion amongst the commissioners between the two districts that are -- that we're looking at. So Q2 is pursuing that. I'm not sure when they're going to be done. But as soon as they are -- the sooner the better -- we can come back to that.

So in the meantime, we are going to go up to the north part of the State and look at the congressional districts, okay? Q2?

**MS. ALON:** Okay. Good afternoon, everyone. Our

1 first district is NOCST.

2           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Want me to talk about it?  
3 Okay. Well, I mean, it's -- it's much like (inaudible).  
4 It's a long coastal district that does -- it is coastal.  
5 And the -- The one thing that came up and it -- it  
6 affects the Yuba District. And I don't know if you have  
7 had a chance to redo this. But we had a request, as we  
8 know, from Lake County to -- they said Lake County does  
9 not face east. It faces west as far as its view. And  
10 there was -- so they -- they said they'd rather as a --  
11 go as a whole county to the west. But if that's not  
12 possible, if they could -- they could split the County.  
13 And there's a -- there's a series of towns that they left  
14 in the correspondence. And I -- as I understand it, we  
15 had asked Q2 to -- or ask Jamie, it was, I think in the  
16 schedule. Perhaps it was you, Tamina. I'm not sure --  
17 to see whether that was possible. The advantage was it  
18 would also have an opportunity to make Fairfield whole in  
19 the Yuba District. So do you -- do you have a -- that  
20 option available? They're looking quizzical.

21           **MS. ALON:** I'm sorry. I don't --

22           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Is that something new?

23           **MS. ALON:** -- did not build an option out for --

24           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** This --

25           **MS. ALON:** -- that.

1           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Okay. Commissioner Dai?

2           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. I passed on the map  
3 earlier to Karin. And Commissioner Forbes, I sent you  
4 the email again. You want to --

5           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Why don't you --

6           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- You want to read --

7           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- (inaudible)

8           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- Do you want to read through  
9 those --

10          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Oh, well, I --

11          **COMMISSIONER DAI:** -- cities?

12          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah.

13          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** And grab your little pin and  
14 help us follow your thinking here, so.

15          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Okay. This is all -- this  
16 is all in this area right in here. And what they wanted  
17 to go to the -- well, they have it down -- they have a  
18 different name for it here. But you'd have -- going to  
19 the west, you'd have Lakeport, Middletown - these are all  
20 town right in here -- Middletown, Soda Bay, Clearlake,  
21 Riviera, Kelseyville, Upper Lake, Nice and Clearlake Oaks  
22 would go west. Remaining in the Yuba District for  
23 population is Clearlake, Hidden Valley, Hidden Valley  
24 Lake, Lower Lake and Spring Valley would remain as part  
25 of Lake County in this. And the exchange would take

1 place in Fairfield.

2 **MS. MACDONALD:** Well, we can look at option right  
3 now if you'd like to.

4 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Okay. Okay. And their  
5 assertion is that that would -- that that is balanced.

6 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** All right. Let's check it  
7 out.

8 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** So just to talk over what the  
9 reasons were behind this, we received a letter from the  
10 Lake County Board of Supervisors and also testimony last  
11 Saturday morning in person and against this weekend that,  
12 you know, they -- they noted that we had looked at their  
13 request previously about being kept whole and -- with the  
14 North Coast and had determined that we couldn't do that  
15 because it would've required splitting other cities. And  
16 a rhetorical question we had asked at the time is, well,  
17 would Lake County rather be split and have some of it go  
18 with the North Coast or not. And so what they proposed,  
19 I think, is very workable. It's basically they would  
20 prefer to be split so that at least part of them could be  
21 with the North Coast and the other half would remain in  
22 the Yuba District. But it does have the advantage of  
23 reuniting Fairfield, which is a city we've had to -- to  
24 split a couple times.

25 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. We'll see. Any

1 comments, by the way? All right. Filkins-Webber?

2 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** I'm just trying to  
3 see. Is this a 2 district?

4 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yes, it is.

5 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Okay, so it doesn't  
6 have any effect on -- or does it? -- on NEBAY? Because  
7 that's where I thought the Fairfield split was.

8 **MS. MACDONALD:** Could I just point out --

9 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Yeah. Or how does  
10 that --

11 **MS. MACDONALD:** I'm sorry. Could I just point  
12 out that this map really doesn't look like our current  
13 lines?

14 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** The sample map that you have  
15 does not match ours?

16 **MS. MACDONALD:** Our --

17 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** They're suggest --

18 **MS. MACDONALD:** No. The red -- The red lines is  
19 what they want us to draw.

20 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Correct.

21 **MS. MACDONALD:** And -- and you're sure that they  
22 drew that on --

23 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Actually, you know, they're  
24 -- they're -- No, I thought it was typo. But they do --  
25 They want to put those into the NE -- Northeast Bay. Do

1 they -- Are they adjacent to that district?

2 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** No.

3 **MS. MACDONALD:** They're not.

4 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** No, they're not. See, I --  
5 so I see that they're just mistaken.

6 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** That's what I was  
7 asking about because I thought it would be going to the N  
8 -- to the North Coast, NOC --

9 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** That's because --

10 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- ST because --

11 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- Because that's how they

12 --

13 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- the orange -- I  
14 mean, the brown right here, they're in NEBAY. That's why  
15 I was wondering what type of swap we were doing because -

16 -

17 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Right.

18 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- Fairfield is  
19 right there.

20 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Right. I think probably  
21 what they're talking about -- because they have -- they  
22 have Hidden Valley Lake staying over here in their list.  
23 But if you could tie down to here, just go south with it.

24 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Because that --

25 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I thought it was a typo --

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: This is Napa --  
2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- because it was --  
3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- right?  
4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes --  
5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: And this --  
6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- exactly.  
7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Because that's  
8 where their community of interest was, with --  
9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Correct.  
10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh --  
11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- Napa. So --  
12 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- Yeah, it must be -- yeah --  
13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah.  
14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yeah.  
15 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- It's NEBAY and Yuba.  
16 Sorry. I misspoke.  
17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And then they -- And they  
18 should have put this in with it, but they did not.  
19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: And that would keep  
20 it at just a two district switch because --  
21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: At -- That would be a --  
22 MS. ALON: Correct.  
23 MS. MACDONALD: Yeah.  
24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- two district switch. So  
25 let's just see what -- if you did -- if you did this to

1 here and then put Fairfield here.

2 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS--WEBBER:** Correct. Okay.

3 Now that's a --

4 **FEMALE COMMISSIONER:** (Inaudible)

5 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS--WEBBER:** -- two district  
6 switch, yeah.

7 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Is that clear, members?

8 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah, they had -- they had  
9 this in the wrong place.

10 **MS. MACDONALD:** Okay. I missed it, actually.

11 Would you mind showing that --

12 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Okay. Sure.

13 **MS. MACDONALD:** -- again?

14 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** It's this.

15 **MS. MACDONALD:** Correct.

16 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** To here. And then  
17 Fairfield to here to make up for the lost population.

18 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah, it's -- it's probably a  
19 --

20 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** They just listed the city  
21 wrong.

22 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Right.

23 **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. Let's watch the  
24 mappers and see if they got it right.

25 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** No. What's Fairfield go?

1 Just leave it right there. Let's -- and let's go down  
2 and look at Fairfield and see if we're close. Yeah, we  
3 got that. What's the population of that? 35,000?

4 **MS. MACDONALD:** Yes, 35,205.

5 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Okay. Let's see if that --  
6 If we make Fairfield whole, what that does for us? Maybe  
7 that'll be right down.

8 **COMMISSIONER RAYA:** Commissioner Forbes, who did  
9 this request come in from?

10 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** The --

11 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Lake County Board of  
12 Supervisors.

13 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah, from Lake County  
14 Board of Supervisors, yeah.

15 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** They've appeared --

16 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Over and over  
17 again.

18 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah, they've appeared in  
19 front of us three times.

20 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Well, I know when they  
21 wanted to be completely out.

22 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Right. But they -- we  
23 asked them and they, you know, if -- so whether that  
24 there's enough population you could pick up in here.

25 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Have we heard from the

1 individual people in these cities that this is how they  
2 would like to be?

3 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Not -- not from the  
4 Fairfield folks. We have heard plenty from the -- from  
5 the Lake County folks, all favors.

6 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** But I mean, that -- the  
7 cities -- some that would stay and the ones that would  
8 not stay with Lake --

9 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** No. No, the --

10 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- I mean, this is proposed  
11 by --

12 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** This is just --

13 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- one group, but we --

14 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** This was proposed by the  
15 government and also we had lots of folks -- just ordinary  
16 -- folks from the Lake -- Lake County -- they didn't  
17 necessarily specify where they were from within Lake  
18 County -- to -- to do this.

19 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** To do the split of Lake  
20 County? I mean, of their --

21 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yes.

22 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- of the -- around the  
23 lake?

24 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yes.

25 **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Oh, okay.

1           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah. These -- These --  
2           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** I knew that they wanted all  
3 to go with the coast --  
4           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah.  
5           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- I didn't know that they  
6 supported a --  
7           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah, we had --  
8           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** -- split.  
9           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- We had asked them and  
10 they -- and this is what they offered in terms of a  
11 split.  
12           **COMMISSIONER BLANCO:** Yeah.  
13           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Barabba, did you have your  
14 hand up?  
15           **COMMISSIONER BARABBA:** No.  
16           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Okay. DiGuilio?  
17           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Yeah. And I think what  
18 we were trying to do since we asked Lake -- since we --  
19 we had mentioned we probably couldn't keep them whole,  
20 that's when they came to provide an acceptable split.  
21 And the idea was that we haven't heard from Fairfield,  
22 one way or the other, but we're trying to minimize a  
23 split that's already occurring.  
24           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** We'd have to -- We'd have  
25 to Green Valley. That's --

1           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** And in fact, we're trying  
2 to take it --

3           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- Solano County.

4           **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** -- take it all back in,  
5 so.

6           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** And just use Solano County  
7 line. See if that does for us. Because the main thing  
8 is to stay away from Vallejo, right?

9           **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Watch --

10          **MS. MACDONALD:** Do you want us to -- I'm sorry.

11          **CHAIRPERSON ONTAI:** Go ahead. Go ahead.

12          **MS. MACDONALD:** I'm sorry. I just wondered, do  
13 you want us to -- to move that over there into the Yuba  
14 District? This is 28,000. And we're looking in the  
15 unincorporated area right now.

16          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah. And you can also --  
17 Why couldn't you draw up here and use the County line?  
18 So that's Solano County there, isn't it?

19          **MS. MACDONALD:** Do you want Green Valley to go?

20          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah. Let's go to this  
21 upper part here and you see -- Okay. Right. We are we  
22 at that point?

23          **MS. MACDONALD:** Thirty-one.

24          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Okay. We -- So we can back  
25 up six because the other -- Wasn't the other 25?

1           **MS. MACDONALD:** Thirty-five.

2           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Thirty-five? The other was  
3 35? So we're still short three?

4           **MS. MACDONALD:** And this is going to be  
5 interesting to balance.

6           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Because there's not that many  
7 people?

8           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah.

9           **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah.

10          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Well, we could -- We could  
11 also go back up above and take one of those cities that  
12 they had put in to -- into Napa --

13          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Oh, in --

14          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- and take it back out and  
15 put it back into Yuba, rather than, you know, try and  
16 fight this.

17          **COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:** Ms. Alon, did you -- I'm  
18 sorry. Ms. Alon?

19          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Did you hear that?

20          **MS. ALON:** I'm sorry?

21          **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Go back up into Lake County  
22 and take one of those towns that they had suggested be  
23 moved into Napa and put it back in Yuba. I mean, we just  
24 the 35 down to 31. Good. But that all stays. That  
25 didn't change. Oh, yeah, I see you've got it marked

1 there. Okay. I mean you might -- see, they put in --  
2 You might run the boundary down the -- they have Nice.  
3 So you might find out what the population of Nice is,  
4 2,700. You might take that out and see what that does  
5 for you. Yeah, we're just trying it. Then go -- yeah,  
6 there. And then go up to Lakeport and see what that  
7 total equals. Yeah, include Lakeport. Yeah, add that  
8 right there. Okay, now. Now what does that do for us?  
9 That got -- we gained population? We got bigger?

10 **MS. MACDONALD:** So this is 39,000.

11 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Right. Commissioner Dai,  
12 do you have any thoughts on this?

13 **COMMISSIONER DAI:** Yeah. Do you actually want to  
14 read the testimony? Because they -- they had a  
15 population balance. I'm just wondering what happened to  
16 that.

17 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** I don't know. I mean, I've  
18 read you the towns that they had in their letter.

19 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** If we can't put in  
20 all the towns --

21 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** That's what I'm saying,  
22 just --

23 **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- I mean, we're  
24 still going to --

25 **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- (inaudible).

1           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- be a split. So  
2 what if we pulled back because we're --

3           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah.

4           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- trying to get  
5 the population down?

6           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yeah. Take out -- Take out  
7 Hidden Valley. Okay. No, you've got -- You've got to  
8 keep Middletown in for the --- for the sake of the road.

9           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Well, I just have  
10 one question. Since that's closer to the border of Napa,  
11 why would we put in Lakeport? Why wouldn't we just pull  
12 down a little bit from this line and cut the population  
13 here and pick it up with Hidden --

14           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Because I think --

15           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- Hidden Valley  
16 Lake is closer, it seems --

17           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** Yes, I'm only --

18           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** -- to Napa.

19           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- thinking -- I think Lake  
20 -- Isn't Lakeport the County seat? That's -- would be  
21 the rationale. But I agree with you. You --

22           **COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER:** Oh.

23           **COMMISSIONER FORBES:** -- could take Lakeport out  
24 and put this part of it back in.

25           **MS. MACDONALD:** Could I just interrupt for a