MONDAY, JULY 31, 2006

H027724 PEOPLE v. ROSE
The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Premo, J.)
Filed July 31, 2006

H028968 SMITH v. COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, et al.
We affirm the trial court's order denying Smith's petition for writ of mandate, which was entered on April 20, 2005. We remand this matter to the trial court with directions to (1) grant judgment for all defendants as to all causes of action of Smith's complaint and (2) enter that judgment nunc pro tunc as of April 20, 2005. Defendants shall have costs on appeal. (not published)
(McAdams, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.)
Filed July 31, 2006

H029295 PEOPLE v. JUAN N.
By the Court:
Appellant’s request for permission to file untimely request for oral argument is granted and the cause will be set for hearing at a date and time to be determined. On the court's own motion, the submission order in the above-entitled matter dated July 20, 2006, is hereby vacated.
Dated: July 31, 2006 Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.

TUESDAY, AUGUST 1, 2006

H029750 PEOPLE v. BISCOVICH
The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J., McAdams, J.)
Filed August 1, 2006

H027969 PEOPLE v. BJORN
The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Rushing, P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Mihara, J.)
Filed August 1, 2006

H028213 PEOPLE v. RUBIO
The judgment is reversed. The matter is remanded for a new trial. (published)
(Rushing, P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J., McAdams, J.)
Filed August 1, 2006

Tuesday, August 1, 2006 (continued)

H028786 PEOPLE v. ORTIZ
The judgment of conviction is reversed with respect to count 25 and remanded with instructions to either dismiss that count or conduct a new trial in accordance with the views expressed in this opinion. The judgment is affirmed with respect to the remaining counts. (not published)
(Rushing, P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J., McAdams, J.)
Filed August 1, 2006

H026676 PEOPLE v. BLANCARTE et al.
The judgment is reversed and the matter remanded for further proceedings. (not published)
(Rushing, P.J.; I concur: McAdams, J.; Concurring and dissenting opinion by Mihara, J.
Filed August 1, 2006

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 2, 2006

H028837 PEOPLE v. FOSTER
The judgment is reversed for the sole purpose of reexamining defendant's claimed entitlement to presentencing custody credits. (published)
(Rushing, P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J., McAdams, J.)
Filed August 2, 2006

H029087 PEOPLE v. SONGCUAN
The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Premo, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed August 2, 2006

Wednesday, August 2, 2006 (continued)

H029677 In re BLAZE E.; SANTA CRUZ HRA v. MANUEL P.
The order terminating parental rights is conditionally reversed, and the matter is remanded to the juvenile court with directions that the Santa Cruz County Human Resources Agency provide proper notice to all three Choctaw tribes and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The Agency is directed to file proof of receipt of such notice by the tribes and the Bureau of Indian Affairs, along with a copy of the notice and any responses. If, after receiving notice as required by the ICWA, no response indicates that Blaze is an Indian child, or the responses received indicate that he is not an Indian child within the meaning of the ICWA, the order terminating parental rights shall be immediately reinstated. If any tribe determines that Blaze is an Indian child within the meaning of the ICWA, the juvenile court shall conduct further proceedings applying the provisions of the ICWA, Welfare and Institutions Code section 360.6, and rule 1439 of the California Rules of Court. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Premo, J.)
Filed August 2, 2006

THURSDAY, AUGUST 3, 2006

(no minute approved orders)

FRIDAY, AUGUST 4, 2006

H028744 PEOPLE v. AMAYA
The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Premo, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed August 4, 2006

Friday, August 4, 2006 (continued)

The following cases are submitted this date:
H029407 PEOPLE v. DEWEY
H029153 PEOPLE v. NIJAR
H029682 PEOPLE v. CRAIG
H028559 PEOPLE v. ABBOTT
H029209 PEOPLE v. SILVA
H029628 PEOPLE v. BAQIR
H029216 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON
H029700 PEOPLE v. CURLEE
H029673 PEOPLE v. WELLS
H029768 TATE v. FREEHART
H029202 PEOPLE v. OLAYO
H029781 PEOPLE v. PAYNE
H029234 PEOPLE v. CASTILLO
H030027 PEOPLE v. LORENZI
H029701 PEOPLE v. BARAJAS
H028964 PEOPLE v. CHEEK
H029498 PEOPLE v. RICHARDSON
H029703 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
H029456 PEOPLE v. ALMANZA
H029533 PEOPLE v. PIMENTAL
H029305 PEOPLE v. ISRAEL
H029709 PEOPLE v. EDEZA
H029519 PEOPLE v. HOBSON
H028963 PEOPLE v. BROWN
H029371 ANDERSON v. KAUFMAN, et al.
H029943 PEOPLE v. COMPO
H029892 PEOPLE v. SLATER
H030090 PEOPLE v. FRANCO

H028201 PRESERVATION ACTION COUNCIL v. CITY OF SAN JOSE
The trial court's judgment is affirmed. (published)
(Mihara, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed August 4, 2006

H028959 TURNER v. EQUITY RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES, et al.
The order denying the motion for class certification is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: McAdams, J., Duffy, J.)
Filed August 4, 2006