Filed 5/15/09 P. v. Martinez CA2/2

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. MAXIMO JUAREZ MARTINEZ, Defendant and Appellant.	B210940 (Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. NA075646)

THE COURT:*

Maximo Juarez Martinez appeals from the judgment entered following revocation of probation previously granted after his plea of no contest to corporal injury to spouse or cohabitant (Pen. Code, § 273.5, subd. (a)). The trial court placed appellant on three years formal probation on conditions, including the conditions that he spend 180 days in county jail and that if he leaves the country he should not reenter illegally, must report to probation within 72 hours of returning and must present documentation of his legal entry. The trial court found appellant in violation of his probation, revoked probation and thereafter sentenced him to the lower term of two years on his conviction of corporal injury to a spouse. We appointed counsel to represent him on this appeal.

---

* BOREN, P.J., ASHMANN-GERST, J., CHAVEZ, J.

The facts upon which appellant's probation was revoked are as follows: When appellant was originally sentenced in this matter, the trial court informed him of the condition that if he left the United States, he should not reenter illegally, and, if he returned, must report to probation within 72 hours and present documentation of his legal entry. After conviction in this matter, appellant was deported to Mexico. He remained there for approximately one week, returned to the United States illegally and did not report to probation after he was deported. Appellant claimed that he was never informed that he had to report.

After examination of the record, counsel filed an "Opening Brief" in which no issues were raised. On February 5, 2009, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues which he wished us to consider. No response has been received to date.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS.