Filed 8/25/04 P. v. Prince CA2/4

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. TYRONE PRINCE, Defendant and Appellant.	B172334 (Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. YA053874)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Andrew Kauffman, Judge. Affirmed.

Maureen L. Fox, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Tyrone Prince appeals from judgment entered following his guilty plea to one count of first degree burglary (Pen. Code, § 459) and his admission that he had suffered three prior convictions within the meaning of Penal Code sections 1170.12, subdivisions (a) through (d), 667, subdivisions (b) through (i) and 667, subdivision (a)(1) and two prior convictions within the meaning of section 667.5, subdivision (b). He was sentenced to 25 years to life pursuant to the Three Strikes law and to an additional 10 years for two enhancements pursuant to Penal Code section 667, subdivision (a)(1).

After review of the record, appellant's court-appointed counsel filed an opening brief requesting this court to independently review the record pursuant to the holding of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.

On May 26, 2004, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues which he wished us to consider. No response has been received to date.[1]

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that no arguable issues exist, and that appellant has, by virtue of counsel's compliance with the *Wende* procedure and our review of the record, received adequate and effective appellate review of the judgment entered against him in this case. (*Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 278.)

---

[1] On July 19, 2004, appellant filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus (B176624), to which the People have been invited to file preliminary opposition, and which will be decided independent of this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

HASTINGS, J.

We concur:

EPSTEIN, Acting P.J.

GRIMES, J.*

---

*Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.