Filed 6/6/03 In re Jake H. CA5

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

In re JAKE H., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.	
THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. JAKE H., Defendant and Appellant.	F041330 (Super. Ct. No. 01CEJ601014-1) **OPINION**

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Fresno County. Timothy Kams, Judge.

Carol L. Foster, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Jo Graves, Assistant Attorney General, and Carlos A. Martinez, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

---

* Before Dibiaso, Acting P.J., Vartabedian, J., and Harris, J.

On October 21, 2001, Jake H. admitted an allegation filed pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 that he was carrying a loaded firearm in a vehicle (Pen. Code, § 12031, subd. (a)(1)), a felony. Jake was committed to boot camp. On February 14, 2002, Jake admitted he committed misdemeanor assault with a deadly weapon (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1)) on a fellow boot camp resident. The disposition hearing was continued for the preparation of a psychological report. The report noted Jake's defiant behavior and lack of motivation to change made it unlikely psychological treatment would be successful.

On June 10, 2002, after a contested jurisdiction hearing, the juvenile court found true an allegation Jake had committed a misdemeanor battery (Pen. Code, § 242). At the conclusion of the dispositional hearing, the juvenile court committed Jake to the California Youth Authority for three years six months, the maximum term of confinement.

Jake's appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, raises no issues, and requests this court independently to review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) The opening brief also includes the declaration of appellate counsel indicating that Jake was advised he could file his own brief with this court. By letter of February 19, 2003, we invited Jake to submit additional briefing. To date he has not done so.

After independent review of the record, we have concluded no reasonably arguable legal or factual argument exists.

The judgment is affirmed.